VARIOUS CHECKLISTS

FOR THE CLINTON INITIAL EXAMINATION - JULY 2005

Facility: Clinton Date of Examination: 7/18-22/2005

Examinations Developed by: (Facility) / NRC (circle one)

Target Date*	Task Description (Reference)	Chief Examiner's Initials
-180	1. Examination administration date confirmed (C.1.a; C.2.a and b)	
-120	2. NRC examiners and facility contact assigned (C.1.d; C.2.e)	
-120	3. Facility contact briefed on security and other requirements (C.2.c)	
-120	4. Corporate notification letter sent (C.2.d)	
[-90]	[5. Reference material due (C.1.e; C.3.c; Attachment 2)]	
{-75}	6. Integrated examination outline(s) due, including Forms ES-201-2, ES-201-3, ES-301-1, ES-301-2, ES-301-5, ES-D-1's, ES-401-1/2, ES-401-3, and ES-401-4, as applicable (C.1.e and f; C.3.d)	
{-70}	{7. Examination outline(s) reviewed by NRC and feedback provided to facility licensee (C.2.h; C.3.e)}	
{-45}	8. Proposed examinations (including written, walk-through JPMs, and scenarios, as applicable), supporting documentation (including Forms ES-301-3, ES-301-4, ES-301-5, ES-301-6, and ES-401-6), and reference materials due (C.1.e, f, g and h; C.3.d)	
-30	9. Preliminary license applications (NRC Form 398's) due (C.1.l; C.2.g; ES-202)	
-14	10. Final license applications due and Form ES-201-4 prepared (C.1.l; C.2.i; ES-202)	
-14	11. Examination approved by NRC supervisor for facility licensee review (C.2.h; C.3.f)	
-14	12. Examinations reviewed with facility licensee (C.1.j; C.2.f and h; C.3.g)	
-7	13. Written examinations and operating tests approved by NRC supervisor (C.2.i; C.3.h)	
-7	14. Final applications reviewed; 1 or 2 (if >10) applications audited to confirm qualifications / eligibility; and examination approval and waiver letters sent (C.2.i; Attachment 4; ES-202, C.2.e; ES-204)	
-7	15. Proctoring/written exam administration guidelines reviewed with facility licensee (C.3.k)	
-7	16. Approved scenarios, job performance measures, and questions distributed to NRC examiners (C.3.i)	

* Target dates are generally based on facility-prepared examinations and are keyed to the examination date identified in the corporate notification letter. They are for planning purposes and may be adjusted on a case-by-case basis in coordination with the facility licensee.
[Applies only] {Does not apply} to examinations prepared by the NRC.

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 7/18/05 / 7/25/05 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 7/18, 7/25/05. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

	PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1.	Mark Vandermyde	Reactor Engineer Supervisor		4/11/05		7/27/05	
2.	David B Livingston	REACTOR OPERATOR		4/20/05		8/8/05	
3.	RICHARD R KISS	Senior Reactor Operator		4/20/05		7-27-5	
4.	KEVIN P. KANE	REACTOR OPERATOR		4-20-05		7-27-05	
5.	Rick Champley	Reactor Operator		4-23-05		8-3-05	
6.	Timothy A Staber	Senior Reactor Operator		4/25/05		8/8/05	
7.	Michael J Griffin	Reactor Operator		4/25/05		8/8/05	
8.	Jeff Nader	SENIOR REACTOR OPERATOR		4-25-05		8/8/05	
9.	Douglas Hunter	Senior Reactor Operator		4-28-05		7-27-05	
10.	JAMES LUCAS	REACTOR OPERATOR		5-10-5		7/27/5	
11.	RANDALL S Sympson	REACTOR OPERATOR		5-10-05		8/3/05	
12.	Lynn A. Baker	Sr. Analyst		5-10-05		8/5/05	
13.	David A. Smith	Lead Analyst / ITA STC		5-10-05	See Attached Fax		
14.	THOMAS A. BAUER	COMPUTER TECH		5-10-05		7/28/05	
15.	Mark Bonham	Reactor Operator		5-11-05		8-10-05	

NOTES: See attached page for SIGNATURE (2) for Line 13.

Clinton

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 7/18/05 & 7/25/05 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 7/18/05, 7/25/05. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

	PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1.	Gary Sutter	Author		1/28/05		7/26/05	
2.	Will Ryder	EXAM DEVELOPER		01/28/05	See Attached fax	7/29/05	
3.	DALLAS CLINES	EXAM DEVELOPER		1/28/05		8/3/05	
4.	JEFF DILLS	EXAM DEVELOPER		1/28/05	See Attached fax	8/1/05	
5.	SCOTT DEPRACE	OTPS		1/31/05		7/24/05	
6.	PK RYAN	FACILITY REP		1/31/05		7/29/05	
7.	JAMES M. HONZELL	SIMULATOR COORDINATOR		2/2/05		7/26/05	
8.	MARIA CONRAD	SIMULATOR ANALYST		2/2/05		7/28/05	
9.	JIM BUNNING	FACILITY REVIEWER		2/3/05		7/27/05	
10.	~~GD Sutter~~ 2/3/05						
11.	TOM PICKLEY	INSTRUCTOR		3/14/05		7/26/05	
12.	Joseph Crump	Technician		3-15-05		8/12/05	
13.	LIN CHENG	Simulator Analyst		3-19-05	See Attached Fax	8/2/05	
14.	PATRICK GIANCATARINO	SRO		3/24/05		8/10/05	
15.	WILLIAM G. STALTER	LOCT RP INSTRUCTOR		4/8/05		7/26/05	

NOTES: See attached pages for SIGNATURE (2) for Lines 2, 4, and 13.

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 7/18/05 / 7/25/05 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 7/18/05, 7/25/05 from the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1. Ted Delaney	Reactor Operator	Ted W. Delaney	5-11-5	Ted W. Delaney	7/27/5	
2. [illegible]	Fin SRO	[illegible]	5/16/5	[illegible]	8/19/5	
3. JEFF KRUSKE	SRO	[illegible]	5/19/05	[illegible]	7/26/05	
4. MATT EVANS	SHIFT MANAGER	[illegible]	6/6/5	[illegible]	7/27/5	
5. Steve Menz	Shift Manager	[illegible]	6/15/05	[illegible]	8/8/05	
6. Stacey D Hagan	SRO	[illegible]	6/15/05	[illegible]	7-27-05	
7. Brian Zimmerman	RO	[illegible]	6/20/05	[illegible]	07/27/05	
8. Stephen Kulka	RO	[illegible]	6/20/05	[illegible]	8/3/05	
9. MAXWELL L KIER	SRO	[illegible]	6-21-5	[illegible]	8-10-5	
10. EDWARD J KENNEDY	RO	Edward J Kennedy	6-23-05	Edward J Kennedy	7-27-05	
11. JOHN R. McMILLION	NLO	[illegible]	6-27-05	[illegible]	7-27-05	
12. KEVIN ZIPPRICH	NLO	[illegible]	6-27-05	[illegible]	8-8-05	
13. Jason Speagle	NLO	[illegible]	6-27-05	[illegible]	7-27-05	
14. ROBERT SPENCE	ILT LEAD	[illegible]	7/13/05	[illegible]	7/14/05	
15. Al Bailey	OPS Training Manager	[illegible]	7/18/05	[illegible]	7/29/05	

NOTES:

Clinton

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 7/18, 7/25/05 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 7/18, 7/25/05. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

	PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1.	Paul M. Higginbotham	Instructor	Paul M. Higginbotham	7/18/05	Paul M. Higginbotham	7/26/05	
2.	CARL LEACH	Instructor	Carl Leach	7/18/05	Carl Leach	7/26/05	
3.	TED COE	INSTRUCTOR	Ted Coe	7/18/05	Ted Coe	7/26/05	
4.	Mark Friedmann	EP Mgr	Mark Friedmann	7/19/05	Mark Friedmann	7/26/05	
5.	Robert Swenson	MIT TRAIN MANAGER	[illegible]	7/19/05	[illegible]	7/26/05	
6.	Tim Jefferle	Instructor - Surrogate	T. Jefferle	7/20/05	T. Jefferle	7/25/05	
7.	W.B. Causey	Shift Operations Supt	W.B. Causey	7/21/05	W.B. Causey	7/26/05	
8.	[illegible]	RO	[illegible]	6-20-05	[illegible]	7-27-05	
9.							
10.							
11.							
12.							
13.							
14.							
15.							

NOTES:

Facility: Clinton Date of Examination: 7/11/05 Operating Test Number: 04-1

	1. GENERAL CRITERIA	Initials a	Initials b*	Initials c#
a.	The operating test conforms with the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with sampling requirements (e.g., 10 CFR 55.45, operational importance, safety function distribution).		R	
b.	There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination.		R	
c.	The operating test shall not duplicate items fom the applicants' audit test(s)(see Section D.1.a).		R	
d.	Overlap with the written examination and between different parts of the operating test is within acceptable limits.		R	
e.	It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants at the designated license level.		R	
	2. WALK-THROUGH CRITERIA	–	–	–
a.	Each JPM includes the following, as applicable: • initial conditions • initiating cues • references and tools, including associated procedures • reasonable and validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if deemed to be time critical bythe facility licensee • operationally important specific performance criteria that include: - detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature - system response and other examiner cues - *statements describing important* observations to be made bythe applicant - criteria for successful completion of the task - identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards - restrictions on the sequence ofsteps, if applicable		R	
a.	Ensure that any changes from the previously approved systems and administrative walk-through outlines (Forms ES-301-1 and 2) have not caused the test to deviatefom any of the acceptance criteria (e.g., item distribution, bank use, repetition fom the last 2 NRC examinations) specified on those forms and Form ES-201-2.		R	
	3. SIMULATOR CRITERIA	–	–	–
	The associated simulator operating tests (scenario sets) have been reviewed in accordance with Form ES-301-4 and a copyis attached.		R	

	Printed Name / Signature	Date
a. Author	GD Setser	5/25/05
b. Facility Reviewer (*)	PK Ryan	5/25/05
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)	Charles J Phillips	6/9/05
d. NRC Supervisor	Hironori Peterson	7/7/05

NOTE: * The facility signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests
Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence is required.

Facility: Clinton	Date of Exam: 7/11/05	Scenario Numbers: 1 / 2 / 3	Operating Test Number: 04-1

	QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES	Initials a	b*	c#
1.	The initial conditions are realistic, in that some equipment and/or instrumentation maybe out of service, but it does not cue the operators into epected events.			
2.	The scenarios consist mostlyof related events.			
3.	Each event description consists of • the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated • the malfunction(s) that are entered to initiate the event • the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew • the expected operator actions (byshift position) • *the event termination point (ifapplicable)*			
4.	No more than one non-mechanistic failure (e.g., pipe break) is incorporated into the scenario without a credible preceding incident such as a seismic event.			
5.	The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics.			
6.	Sequencing and timing of events is reasonable, and allows the examination team to obtain complete evaluation results commensurate with the scenario objectives.			
7.	If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summaryclearly so indicates. Operators have sufficient time to carryout expected activities without undue time constraints. Cues are given.			
8.	The simulator modeling is not altered.			
9.	The scenarios have been validated. Pursuant to 10CFR55.46(d), anyopen simulator performance deficiencies or deviations from the referenced plant have been evaluated to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the planned scenarios.			
10.	Every operator will be evaluated using at least one newor significantly modified scenario. All other scenarios have been altered in accordance with Section D.5 of ES-301.			
11.	All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified using Form ES-301-6 (submit the form along with the simulator scenarios).			
12.	Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number oftransients and events specified on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios).			
13.	The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each crew position.			

	Target Quantitative Attributes (Per Scenario; See Section D.5.d)	Actual Attributes	--	--	--
1.	*Total malfunctions* (5-8)	5 / 5 / 5			
2.	Malfunctions after EOP entry (1-2)	1 / 1 / 1			
3.	Abnormal events (2-4)	3 / 4 / 4			
4.	Major transients (1-2)	2 / 2 / 1			
5.	EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1-2)	2 / 2 / 1			
6.	EOP contingencies requiring substantive actions (0-2)	0 / 1 / 1			
7.	Critical tasks (2-3)	2 / 2 / 2			

Facility: Clinton | Date of Exam: 7/11/05 | Operating Test Number: ILT0401-1

APPLICANT	EVENT TYPE	Scenarios 1 CREW POSITION SRO	1 ATC	1 BOP	2 CREW POSITION SRO	2 ATC	2 BOP	3 CREW POSITION SRO	3 ATC	3 BOP	4 CREW POSITION SRO	4 ATC	4 BOP	TOTAL	MINIMUM
RO **SRO-I** SRO-U	RX	1			3			7						1	1*
	NOR	3			1			2						1	1*
	I/C	2,4, 6,7, 10			4-7			3-6						4	4*
	MAJ	8,9			8,9			9						2	2
	TS	3,5			2,5			1,8						2	2
RO/BOP SRO-I SRO-U	RX		1			3			7					1	1*
	NOR			3			1			2				1	1*
	I/C		2,7	4,6, 10		4,6	5,7		4,6	3,5				4	4*
	MAJ		8,9	8,9		8,9	8,9		9	9				2	2
	TS													N/A	2
RO **SRO-I** SRO-U	RX		1			3			7					1	1*
	NOR													N/A	1*
	I/C		2,7			4,6			4,6					2	4*
	MAJ		8,9			8,9			9					2	2
	TS													N/A	2
RO SRO-I **SRO-U**	RX	1			3			7						1	1*
	NOR	3			1			2						1	1*
	I/C	2,4, 6,7, 10			4-7			3-6						4	4*
	MAJ	8,9			8,9			9						2	2
	TS	3,5			2,5			1,8						2	2

Instructions:

1. Circle the applicant level and enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each event type; TS are not applicable for RO applicants. ROs must serve in both the "at-the-controls (ATC)" and "balance-of-plant (BOP)" positions; Instant SROs must do one scenario, including at least two instrument or component (I/C) malfunctions and one major transient, in the ATC position.
2. Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or *controlled* abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.5.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D. * Reactivity and normal evolutions may be replaced with additional instrument or component malfunctions on a 1-for-1 basis.
3. Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward the minimum requirement.

Author: G.D. Setter

NRC Reviewer:

Facility: Clinton	Date of Examination: 7/11/05												Operating Test No.: 04-1			
Competencies	APPLICANTS															
	SRO-I				RO - ATC				RO - BOP				SRO-U			
	SCENARIO				SCENARIO				SCENARIO				SCENARIO			
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Interpret/ Diagnose Events and Conditions	1-10	1-9	1-9		1,2, 7-9	3,4, 6,8, 9	4,6, 7,9		3,4, 6,8-10	1,5, 7-9	2,3, 5,9, 9		1-10	1-9	1-9	
Comply With and Use Procedures (1)	1-10	1-9	1-9		1,2, 7-9	3,4, 6,8, 9	4,6, 7,9		3,4, 6,8-10	1,5, 7-9	2,3, 5,9, 9		1-10	1-9	1-9	
Operate Control Boards (2)	N/A	N/A	N/A		1,2, 7-9	3,4, 6,8, 9	4,6, 7,9		3,4, 6,8-10	1,5, 7-9	2,3, 5,9, 9		N/A	N/A	N/A	
Communicate and Interact	1-10	1-9	1-9		1,2, 7-9	3,4, 6,8, 9	4,6, 7,9		3,4, 6,8-10	1,5, 7-9	2,3, 5,9, 9		1-10	1-9	1-9	
Demonstrate Supervisory Ability (3)	1-10	1-9	1-9		N/A	N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A		1-10	1-9	1-9	
Comply With and Use Tech Specs. (3)	1-10	1-9	1-9		N/A	N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A		1-10	1-9	1-9	

Notes:
(1) Includes Technical Specification compliance for an RO.
(2) Optional for an SRO-U.
(3) Only applicable to SROs.

Instructions:

Circle the applicant's license type and enter one or more event numbers that will allow the examiners to evaluate every applicable competency for every applicant.

Author: GD Setser

NRC Reviewer: Charles J Phillips

Facility: Clinton	Date of Exam: 7/11/05	Exam Level: RO/SRO

	Item Description	Initial a	Initial b*	Initial c#
1.	Questions and answers are technically accurate and applicable to the facility.			
2.	a. NRC K/As are referenced for all questions. b. Facility learning objectives are referenced as available.			
3.	SRO questions are appropriate in accordance with Section D.2.d of ES-401			
4.	If more than four RO and two SRO questions are repeated from the last two NRC licensing exam, the facility licensee's sampling process was random and systematic.			
5.	Question duplication from the license screening/audit exam was controlled as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate: X the audit exam was systematically and randomly developed X the audit exam was completed before the license exam was started __ the examinations were developed independently X the licensee certifies that there is no duplication __ other (explain)			
6.	Bank use meets limits (no more than 75 percent from the bank, at least 10 percent new and the rest new or modified); enter the actual RO / SRO-only question distribution(s) at right.	Bank: 1/0 Modified: 0/0 New: 74/25		
7.	Between 50 and 60 percent of the questions on the RO exam are written at the comprehension /analysis level; the SRO exam may exceed 60 percent if the randomly selected KAs support the higher cognitive levels; enter the actual RO / SRO question distribution(s) at right.	Memory: 33 / 6 C/A: 42 / 19		
8.	References/handouts provided do not give away answers or aid in the elimination of distractors.			
9.	Question content conforms with specific K/A statements in the previously approved examination outline and is appropriate for the Tier to which they are assigned; deviations are justified			
10.	Question psychometric quality and format meet the guidelines in ES Appendix B.			
11.	The exam contains the required number of one-point, multiple choice items; the total is correct and agrees with value on cover sheet			

	Printed Name / Signature	Date
a. Author	GD Setser	6/28/05
b. Facility Reviewer (*)	~~PK Ryan~~ 7/5/05	7/5/05
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)	Charles J. Phillips	7/6/05
d. NRC Regional Supervisor	Hironori Peterson	7/7/05

Note: * The facility reviewer's initials/signature are not applicable for NRC-developed examinations.
Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.

Facility: Clinton | Date of Exam: 7/11/05 | Exam Level: RO/SRO

	Item Description	Initial a	Initial b*	Initial c#
1.	Questions and answers are technically accurate and applicable to the facility.		R	nav
2.	a. NRC K/As are referenced for all questions. b. Facility learning objectives are referenced as available.		R	nav
3.	SRO questions are appropriate in accordance with Section D.2.d.of ES-401		R	nav
4.	If more than four RO and two SRO questions are repeated from the last two NRC licensing exam, the facility licensee's sampling process was random and systematic.			
5.	Question duplication from the license screening/audit exam was controlled as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate: ✓ the audit exam was systematically and randomly developed ✓ the audit exam was completed before the license exam was started __ the examinations were developed independently ✓ the licensee certifies that there is no duplication __ other (explain)		R	nav
6.	Bank use meets limits (no more than 75 percent from the bank, at least 10 percent new and the rest new or modified); enter the actual RO / SRO-only question distribution(s) at right.	Bank: 1/0; Modified: 0/0; New: 74/25	R	nav
7.	Between 50 and 60 percent of the questions on the RO exam are written at the comprehension /analysis level; the SRO exam may exceed 60 percent if the randomly selected KAs support the higher cognitive levels; enter the actual RO / SRO question distribution(s) at right.	Memory: 32 / 6; C/A: 43 / 19	R	nav
8.	References/handouts provided do not give away answers or aid in the elimination of distractors.		R	nav
9.	Question content conforms with specific K/A statements in the previously approved examination outline and is appropriate for the Tier to which they are assigned; deviations are justified		R	nav
10.	Question psychometric quality and format meet the guidelines in ES Appendix B.		R	nav
11.	The exam contains the required number of one-point, multiple choice items; the total is correct and agrees with value on cover sheet		R	nav

	Printed Name / Signature	Date
a. Author	GD Setser	5/23/05
b. Facility Reviewer (*)	PK Ryan	5/25/05
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)		6/9/05
d. NRC Regional Supervisor	Hironori Peterson	7/7/05

Note: * The facility reviewer's initials/signature are not applicable for NRC-developed examinations.
Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.

Facility: CPS Date of Exam: 7/25/05 Exam Level: RO X SRO X

	Item Description	Initials a	Initials b	Initials c
1.	Clean answer sheets copied before grading		R	
2.	Answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented		R	
3.	Applicants' scores checked for addition errors (reviewers spot check > 25% of examinations)		R	
4.	Grading for all borderline cases (80 ±2% overall and 70 or 80, as applicable, ±4% on the SRO-only) reviewed in detail		R	
5.	All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified		R	
6.	Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by half or more of the applicants		R	

	Printed Name/Signature	Date
a. Grader	Gary Seter /	7/29/05
b. Facility Reviewer(*)	PK RYAN /	7/29/05
c. NRC Chief Examiner (*)		8/9/2005
d. NRC Supervisor (*)	Hironori Peterson	8/10/05

(*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations graded by the NRC; two independent NRC reviews are required.