

**RESPONSE TO FREEDOM OF  
INFORMATION ACT (FOIA) / PRIVACY  
ACT (PA) REQUEST**

2004-0314

5

RESPONSE TYPE ☐ FINAL ☒ PARTIAL

REQUESTER

David Lochbaum

DATE

AUG 29 2005

**PART I. -- INFORMATION RELEASED**

- ☐ No additional agency records subject to the request have been located.
- ☐ Requested records are available through another public distribution program. See Comments section.
- ☐ **APPENDICES**  
Agency records subject to the request that are identified in the listed appendices are already available for public inspection and copying at the NRC Public Document Room.
- ☒ **APPENDICES**  
**G,H** Agency records subject to the request that are identified in the listed appendices are being made available for public inspection and copying at the NRC Public Document Room.
- ☐ Enclosed is information on how you may obtain access to and the charges for copying records located at the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, NW, Washington, DC.
- ☒ **APPENDICES**  
**G,H** Agency records subject to the request are enclosed.
- ☐ Records subject to the request that contain information originated by or of interest to another Federal agency have been referred to that agency (see comments section) for a disclosure determination and direct response to you.
- ☒ We are continuing to process your request.
- ☐ See Comments.

**PART I.A -- FEES**

AMOUNT \*

\$

\* See comments  
for details

- ☐ You will be billed by NRC for the amount listed. ☐ None. Minimum fee threshold not met.
- ☐ You will receive a refund for the amount listed. ☐ Fees waived.

**PART I.B -- INFORMATION NOT LOCATED OR WITHHELD FROM DISCLOSURE**

- ☐ No agency records subject to the request have been located.
- ☒ Certain information in the requested records is being withheld from disclosure pursuant to the exemptions described in and for the reasons stated in Part II.
- ☒ This determination may be appealed within 30 days by writing to the FOIA/PA Officer, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001. Clearly state on the envelope and in the letter that it is a "FOIA/PA Appeal."

**PART I.C COMMENTS (Use attached Comments continuation page if required)**

The incoming FOIA/PA-2004-0314 request is located in ADAMS at ML042160475.

SIGNATURE - FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT AND PRIVACY ACT OFFICER

Russell A. Nichols

NRC FORM 464 Part II (6-1998)	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION	FOIA/PA	DATE
<b>RESPONSE TO FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT (FOIA) / PRIVACY ACT (PA) REQUEST</b>		2004-0314	AUG 29 2005

**PART II.A -- APPLICABLE EXEMPTIONS**

APPENDICES  
**H, I**

Records subject to the request that are described in the enclosed Appendices are being withheld in their entirety or in part under the Exemption No.(s) of the PA and/or the FOIA as indicated below (5 U.S.C. 552a and/or 5 U.S.C. 552(b)).

- ☐ Exemption 1: The withheld information is properly classified pursuant to Executive Order 12958.
- ☒ Exemption 2: The withheld information relates solely to the internal personnel rules and procedures of NRC.
- ☐ Exemption 3: The withheld information is specifically exempted from public disclosure by statute indicated.
- ☐ Sections 141-145 of the Atomic Energy Act, which prohibits the disclosure of Restricted Data or Formerly Restricted Data (42 U.S.C. 2161-2165).
- ☐ Section 147 of the Atomic Energy Act, which prohibits the disclosure of Unclassified Safeguards Information (42 U.S.C. 2167).
- ☐ 41 U.S.C., Section 253(b), subsection (m)(1), prohibits the disclosure of contractor proposals in the possession and control of an executive agency to any person under section 552 of Title 5, U.S.C. (the FOIA), except when incorporated into the contract between the agency and the submitter of the proposal.
- ☐ Exemption 4: The withheld information is a trade secret or commercial or financial information that is being withheld for the reason(s) indicated.
- ☐ The information is considered to be confidential business (proprietary) information.
- ☐ The information is considered to be proprietary because it concerns a licensee's or applicant's physical protection or material control and accounting program for special nuclear material pursuant to 10 CFR 2.790(d)(1).
- ☐ The information was submitted by a foreign source and received in confidence pursuant to 10 CFR 2.790(d)(2).
- ☒ Exemption 5: The withheld information consists of interagency or intraagency records that are not available through discovery during litigation. Applicable privileges:
- ☐ Deliberative process: Disclosure of predecisional information would tend to inhibit the open and frank exchange of ideas essential to the deliberative process. Where records are withheld in their entirety, the facts are inextricably intertwined with the predecisional information. There also are no reasonably segregable factual portions because the release of the facts would permit an indirect inquiry into the predecisional process of the agency.
- ☐ Attorney work-product privilege. (Documents prepared by an attorney in contemplation of litigation)
- ☒ Attorney-client privilege. (Confidential communications between an attorney and his/her client)
- ☐ Exemption 6: The withheld information is exempted from public disclosure because its disclosure would result in a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.
- ☒ Exemption 7: The withheld information consists of records compiled for law enforcement purposes and is being withheld for the reason(s) indicated.
- ☒ (A) Disclosure could reasonably be expected to interfere with an enforcement proceeding (e.g., it would reveal the scope, direction, and focus of enforcement efforts, and thus could possibly allow recipients to take action to shield potential wrongdoing or a violation of NRC requirements from investigators).
- ☒ (C) Disclosure would constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.
- ☐ (D) The information consists of names of individuals and other information the disclosure of which could reasonably be expected to reveal identities of confidential sources.
- ☐ (E) Disclosure would reveal techniques and procedures for law enforcement investigations or prosecutions, or guidelines that could reasonably be expected to risk circumvention of the law.
- ☐ (F) Disclosure could reasonably be expected to endanger the life or physical safety of an individual.
- ☒ OTHER (Specify)
- Disclosure would risk circumvention of a legal requirement.

**PART II.B -- DENYING OFFICIALS**

Pursuant to 10 CFR 9.25(g), 9.25(h), and/or 9.65(b) of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission regulations, it has been determined that the information withheld is exempt from production or disclosure, and that its production or disclosure is contrary to the public interest. The person responsible for the denial are those officials identified below as denying officials and the FOIA/PA Officer for any denials that may be appealed to the Executive Director for Operations (EDO).

DENYING OFFICIAL	TITLE/OFFICE	RECORDS DENIED	APPELLATE OFFICIAL		
			EDO	SECY	IG
Samuel J. Collins	Regional Administrator, RI	Appendix H/1, 2, 5, 8, Appendix I/1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
William D. Travers	Regional Administrator, RII	Appendix H/4	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Guy P. Caputo	Director, Office of Investigations	Appendix H/6, 7, 10	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
	CONT'D ON NEXT PAGE				

Appeal must be made in writing within 30 days of receipt of this response. Appeals should be mailed to the FOIA/Privacy Act Officer, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, for action by the appropriate appellate official(s). You should clearly state on the envelope and letter that it is a "FOIA/PA Appeal."

2004-0314

5

**RESPONSE TO FREEDOM OF INFORMATION  
ACT (FOIA) / PRIVACY ACT (PA) REQUEST (Continued)**

DATE

**PART I.C COMMENTS (Continued)**

**DENYING OFFICIALS CONT'D**

<b>DENYING OFFICIAL</b>	<b>TITLE/OFFICE</b>	<b>RECORDS DENIED</b>	<b>APPELATE OFFICIAL</b>
Roy P. Zimmerman	Director, Office of Nuclear Security and Incident Response	Appendix H/3	EDO
Michael R. Johnson	Director, Office of Enforcement	Appendix H/9	EDO

**APPENDIX G  
RECORDS BEING RELEASED IN THEIR ENTIRETY**

<u>NO.</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION/(PAGE COUNT)</u>
1.	5/28/98	E-Mail from Claxton to WJM1, OI #2-1998-008 (1 page)
2.	5/11/99	E-Mail from Pedersen to Vito, Allegation Response Input (1 page)
3.	5/10/99	E-Mail from Lee to Vito, Allegation Referral (1 page)
4.	10/15/99	E-Mail from Letts to Vito, Salem Allegation RI-99-A-0114 Salem FFD Issue (1 page)
5.	10/26/99	E-Mail from Letts to Vito, Ad-hoc ARB needed for RI-99-A-0114 (Salem/HC DUI Issue) (1 page)
6.	4/18/02	E-Mail from Ordaz to Haney, Congressman Markey Ltr (1 page)
7.	4/18/02	E-Mail from Arildsen to Carmon, Congressman Markey Ltr (1 page)
8.	10/16/02	E-Mail from Cwalina to Vito, McGaffigan Meeting (1 page)
9.	1/8/03	E-Mail from Vito Neff, Transcript for Allegation #0137 (1 page)
10.	01/29/03	E-Mail from Barber to Vito, My review of transcript/allegation file re: the Salem Hilti bolt guy (2 pages)
11.	4/15/03	E-Mail from Vito to Beall, Sentences (1 page)
12.	8/15/03	E-Mail from Jolicoeur to Harrison, Status from Commissioner's Office (1 page)
13.	3/8/04	E-Mail from Neff to Vito, New Allegation (1 page)
14.	4/15/03	E-Mail from Vito to Nieh, Your Voice Mail (1 page)
15.	01/27/04	E-Mail from Fretz to Barber, Salem/HC Work Environment Letter (1 page)
16.	2/28/03	E-Mail from Teator to Veto, Drfat NOV's (1 page)
17.	10/1/02	E-Mail from Mohrwinkel to Vito, Call to Alleger for 2002-A-0116 (1 page)

**APPENDIX H  
RECORDS WITHHELD IN PART**

<u>NO.</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION/(PAGE COUNT)/EXEMPTIONS</u>
1.	8/26/98	Allegation Disposition Record (1 page) Ex. 7C
2.	9/8/99	Allegation Disposition Record (1 page) Ex. 7C
3.	4/18/02	E-Mail from Vito to Twigg, Markey Response (1 page) EX. 2
4.	5/14/02	E-Mail from Boland to White, Assertions relative to some unnamed East Coast plant (1 page) Ex. 7C
5.	5/25/04	E-Mail from Passarelli to Holody, FYI regarding HC allegation with ack letter due this week (1 page) Ex. 7C
6.	8/2/04	E-Mail from Schoppy to Teator, re: { } (1 page) Ex. 7A
7.	9/12/02	E-Mail from Rzepka to Vito, Alleger re: RI-2002-A-0113 { } (1 page) Ex. 7C
8.	12/26/02	E-Mail from Vito to Wilson, 12/16/02 Phone Call re: potential H&I Issues (1 page) EX. 5
9.	11/21/01	E-Mail from Baker to Vito, Local News Report re: Security at Salem/HC (1 page) Ex. 2
10.	4/9/04	E-Mail from Barber to Teator re: { } (1 page) Ex. 7C

APPENDIX I  
RECORDS WITHHELD IN THEIR ENTIRETY

<u>NO.</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION/(PAGE COUNT)/EXEMPTIONS</u>
1.	11/7/02	E-Mail from Fewell to Vito, Salem/HC RI-2002-A-0137 (1 page) Ex. 5