Mark Rubin

To:

David Solorio; Robert Palla

Date:

1/28/04 1:02PM

Subject:

Re: Fwd: Status of NUREG - 1738

Bob:

You're right, I'm not sure we were tasked for action. SPLB does have the lead on SFP, but we provided almost all the technical input. Please pulse Dave as you are doing, and get me feedback on who owns the letter response.

MM

MRR

>>> Robert Palla 01/28/04 12:34PM >>>

Mark - are you sure this was sent to us for our action, since the e-mail from Mike J was sent "FYI". Also, Dave Solorio had stopped by my office last week with similar questions, and appeared to be reponding to something that sounds like the same thing. Pls let me know.

Dave - can you help clarify whether this is related to the action you were following up on? Thanks

Bob

>>> Mark Rubin 01/28/04 10:04AM >>>

Bob:

Would you coordinate a response to this request on status of 1738. Update with the RES work and their review by the national acadamy.

Maybe we should characterize this as early work, that provided some conservative and bounding risk insights, that have and are being updated by more comprehensive activities that are ongoing, including put from the National Academy of Sciences. Glenn has info on that activity.

Response within a week to ten days if possible. RES contact probably Charlie, but Glenn should know.

CC:

Michael Johnson; Michael Tschiltz

H/8/11

Michael Johnson

To:

Kelly, Glenn; Palla, Robert; Rubin, Mark; Tschiltz, Michael

Date:

1/28/04 7:30AM

Subject:

Fwd: Status of NUREG - 1738

Fyi.

Brian Sheron

To: Date: MRJ1; ScB 1/27/04 7:51AM

Subject:

Fwd: Status of NUREG - 1738

FYI.

Jim Dyer Tad Marsh

To:

Date:

1/27/04 6:32AM

Subject:

Fwd: Status of NUREG - 1738

Tad,

As we discussed at the ET/LT meeting yesterday, please work with the right parts of NRR, RES and OGC to make sure we give a coordinated response. tks Jim.

CC:

Brian Sheron; Eric Benner; John Craig; Michael Case; Richard Borchardt