

Facility: <u>LYMEHICK/PB ISRO EXAMS</u>		Date of Examination: <u>6/13-6/23/05</u> <u>VALIDATION 5/16-5/19/05</u>
Examinations Developed by: Facility / NRC (circle one)		
Target Date*	Task Description (Reference)	Chief Examiner's Initials
-180	1. Examination administration date confirmed (C.1.a; C.2.a and b)	<i>[Signature]</i>
-120	2. NRC examiners and facility contact assigned (C.1.d; C.2.e) <i>2/13</i>	<i>[Signature]</i>
-120	3. Facility contact briefed on security and other requirements (C.2.c) <i>2/13</i>	<i>[Signature]</i>
-120	4. Corporate notification letter sent (C.2.d) <i>2/13</i>	<i>[Signature]</i>
[-90]	[5. Reference material due (C.1.e; C.3.c; Attachment 2)] <i>3/15</i>	<i>[Signature]</i>
(-75)	6. Integrated examination outline(s) due, including Forms ES-201-2, ES-201-3, ES-301-1, ES-301-2, ES-301-5, ES-D-1's, ES-401-1/2, ES-401-3, and ES-401-4, as applicable (C.1.e and f; C.3.d) <i>3/30</i> <i>4/1, actual</i>	<i>[Signature]</i>
-70	7. Examination outline(s) reviewed by NRC and feedback provided to facility licensee (C.2.h; C.3.e) <i>4/14</i> <i>4/18</i>	<i>[Signature]</i>
(-45)	8. Proposed examinations (including written, walk-through JPMs, and scenarios, as applicable), supporting documentation (including Forms ES-301-3, ES-301-4, ES-301-5, ES-301-6, and ES-401-6), and reference materials due (C.1.e, f, g and h; C.3.d) <i>4/29</i>	<i>[Signature]</i>
(-30)	9. Preliminary license applications (NRC Form 398's) due (C.1.i; C.2.g; ES-202) <i>5/13</i>	<i>[Signature]</i>
(-14)	10. Final license applications due and Form ES-201-4 prepared (C.1.i; C.2.i; ES-202) <i>5/30</i>	<i>[Signature]</i>
-14	11. Examination approved by NRC supervisor for facility licensee review (C.2.h; C.3.f) <i>5/30</i>	<i>[Signature]</i>
-14	12. Examinations reviewed with facility licensee (C.1.j; C.2.f and h; C.3.g) <i>5/30</i>	<i>[Signature]</i>
-7	13. Written examinations and operating tests approved by NRC supervisor (C.2.i; C.3.h) <i>6/6</i>	<i>[Signature]</i>
-7	14. Final applications reviewed; examination approval and waiver letters sent (C.2.i; Attachment 4; ES-204) <i>6/6</i>	<i>[Signature]</i>
-7	15. Proctoring/written exam administration guidelines reviewed with facility licensee (C.3.k) <i>6/6</i>	<i>[Signature]</i>
-7	16. Approved scenarios, job performance measures, and questions distributed to NRC examiners (C.3.i) <i>6/6</i>	<i>[Signature]</i>
<p>* Target dates are keyed to the examination date identified in the corporate notification letter. They are for planning purposes and may be adjusted on a case-by-case basis in coordination with the facility licensee.</p> <p>[] Applies only to examinations prepared by the NRC.</p>		

Facility:		Date of Examination:		
Item	Task Description	Initials		
		a	b*	c#
1. W R I T T E N	a. Verify that the outline fits the model in accordance with ES-701.	CSB	L	JL
	b. Assess whether the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with Section D.1 of ES-401 and whether all K/A categories are sampled at least once.	CSB	L	JL
	c. Assess whether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.	CSB	L	JL
	d. Assess whether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate.	CSB	L	JL
2. O P E R A T I N G	a. Verify that the overall operating test: (1) includes at least two tasks that require the use of technical specifications (2) does not duplicate any tasks from the applicants' audit test(s)	CSB	L	JL
	b. Verify that the administrative tasks: (1) are distributed among the four administrative topics described in ES-301 (2) include no more than one repeat from the last two NRC licensing examinations (3) include at least one task that is new or significantly modified	CSB	L	JL
	e. Verify that the systems walk-through includes: (1) two tasks requiring the manipulation of fuel handling equipment (2) two additional tasks related to Tier 2 systems other than fuel handling equipment (3) two tasks requiring implementation of alternative path procedures (4) no more than one repeat from the last two NRC licensing examinations (5) at least one task that is new or significantly modified	CSB	L	JL
	d. Verify that the E/APE walk-through includes: (1) three JPMs based on the Tier 1 evolutions, including a refueling accident (2) one task requiring implementation of an alternative path procedure (3) no more than one repeat from the last two NRC licensing examinations (4) at least one task that is new or significantly modified	CSB	L	JL
	e. Determine whether there are enough different outlines to test the projected number of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on subsequent days.	CSB	L	JL
	3. G E N E R A L	a. Assess whether plant-specific priorities (including PRA and IPE insights) are covered in the appropriate exam section.	CSB	L
b. Assess whether the 10 CFR 55.41/43 and 55.45 sampling is appropriate.		CSB	L	JL
c. Assess whether the sampling process adequately considered plant-specific refueling components, systems, and procedures that are not included in the generic models.		CSB	L	JL
d. Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.		CSB	L	JL
e. Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections.		CSB	L	JL
f. Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.		CSB	L	JL
g. Assess whether the proposed sample is consistent with the LSRO's job responsibilities.		CSB	L	JL
a. Author	Cecy B. Gott / <i>[Signature]</i>		Date	4/1/05
b. Facility Reviewer (*)	Carl E. Kim / <i>[Signature]</i>		Date	4/1/05
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)	Tasha Edwards / <i>[Signature]</i>		Date	4/1/05
d. NRC Supervisor	R.J. Conte / <i>[Signature]</i>		Date	4/18/05

Note: # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.

* NOTE: Lim/PB TALLER exam will have to be formally submitted & approved by CSB - licensee has tentative plans that are acceptable based on Conference Call 3/21/05. Guehrer, Carl Kim, JHSL

Lesson I

ES-701

LSRO Written Examination
Quality Checklist

Form ES-701-6

Facility: <u>LCS PBATS</u>				Date of Exam: <u>6/13/05</u>		
Item Description	Initial					
	a	b*	c*			
1. Questions and answers are technically accurate and applicable to the facility.	<u>CSB</u>	<u>L</u>	<u>JH</u>			
2. a. NRC K/As are referenced for all questions (as applicable). b. Facility learning objectives are referenced as available.	<u>CSB</u>	<u>L</u>	<u>JH</u>			
3. Questions are appropriate for LSRO applicants.	<u>CSB</u>	<u>L</u>	<u>JH</u>			
4. Question selection and duplication from the last two NRC licensing exams appears consistent with a systematic sampling process.			<u>JH</u>			
5. Question duplication from the license screening/audit exam was controlled as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate: <input type="checkbox"/> the audit exam was systematically and randomly developed <input type="checkbox"/> the audit exam was completed before the license exam was started <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> the examinations were developed independently <input type="checkbox"/> the licensee certifies that there is no duplication <input type="checkbox"/> other (explain)	<u>CSB</u>	<u>L</u>	<u>JH</u>			
6. Bank use meets limits (no more than 30 questions from the bank, at least 4 new, and the rest modified); enter the actual question distribution at right. *	Bank <u>6</u>	Modified <u>7</u>	New <u>37</u>	<u>CSB</u>	<u>L</u>	<u>JH</u>
7. Between 50 and 60 percent (20 and 24) of the questions on the exam are written at the comprehension/analysis level; enter the actual question distribution at right. *	Memory <u>21</u>	C/A <u>29</u>		<u>CSB</u>	<u>L</u>	<u>JH</u>
8. References/handouts provided do not give away answers or aid in eliminating distractors.	<u>CSB</u>	<u>L</u>	<u>JH</u>			
9. Question content conforms with specific K/A statements in the previously approved examination outline and is appropriate for the Tier to which they are assigned; deviations are justified.	<u>CSB</u>	<u>L</u>	<u>JH</u>			
10. Question psychometric quality and format meet guidelines in ES Appendix B.	<u>CSB</u>	<u>L</u>	<u>JH</u>			
11. The exam contains 40 one-point, multiple choice items; the total is correct and agrees with value on cover sheet.	<u>CSB</u>	<u>L</u>	<u>JH</u>			
Printed Name / Signature a. Author <u>Corey B. Goff / Corey B. Goff</u> b. Facility Reviewer (*) <u>Carl E. Rich Jr. / Carl E. Rich Jr.</u> c. NRC Chief Examiner (#) <u>John Canuso / John Canuso</u> d. NRC Regional Supervisor <u>P.J. Canale / P.J. Canale</u>				Date <u>6/6/05</u> <u>6-7-05</u> <u>6/8/05</u> <u>6/9/05</u>		
Note: • The facility reviewer's initials/signature are not applicable for NRC-developed examinations. # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.						

* 50 QUESTION EXAM

Revised I

ES-701

LSRO Operating Test Quality Checklist

Form ES-701-7

Facility: <u>LCS/PBAPS</u>		Date of Examination: <u>6/13/05</u>		Operating Test Number:	
----------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	--	------------------------	--

Item Description	Initials		
	a	b*	c#
1. The operating test conforms with the LSRO's job responsibilities and the previously approved outline (Form ES-701-4).	CSA	L	JH
2. Any changes from the previously approved outline have not caused the test to deviate from any of the acceptance criteria (e.g., item distribution, bank use, repetition from the last two NRC examinations) specified on the outline.	CSA	L	JH
3. There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination.	CSA	L	JH
4. The operating test does not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s). (See Section D.1.a of ES-301).	CSA	L	JH
5. Overlap between the written examination and the operating test is within acceptable limits.	CSA	L	JH
6. It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants.	CSA	L	JH
7. Each JPM includes the following, as applicable: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • initial conditions • initiating cues • references and tools, including associated procedures • reasonable and validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if deemed to be time-critical by the facility licensee • specific performance criteria that include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> — detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature — system response and other examiner cues — statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant — criteria for successful completion of the task — identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards — restrictions on the sequence of steps, if applicable 	CSA	L	JH

	Date
a. Author <u>Corey B. Goff / [Signature]</u>	6/16/05
b. Facility Reviewer(*) <u>Carl E. Rich Jr. / [Signature]</u>	6-7-05
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#) <u>John G. Canuso / [Signature]</u>	6/8/05
d. NRC Supervisor <u>Richard J. Conkle / [Signature]</u>	6/9/05

NOTE: * The facility signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests.
Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.

Remain ~~10~~

ES-701

LSRO Examination Outline Quality Checklist

Form ES-701-5

Facility:		Date of Examination:		
Item	Task Description	Initials		
		a	b*	c#
1. W R I T T E N	a. Verify that the outline fits the model in accordance with ES-701.	CSA	✓	
	b. Assess whether the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with Section D.1 of ES-401 and whether all K/A categories are sampled at least once.	CSA	✓	
	c. Assess whether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.	CSA	✓	
	d. Assess whether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate.	CSA	✓	
2. O P E R A T I N G	a. Verify that the overall operating test: (1) includes at least two tasks that require the use of technical specifications (2) does not duplicate any tasks from the applicants' audit test(s)	CSA	✓	
	b. Verify that the administrative tasks: (1) are distributed among the four administrative topics described in ES-301 (2) include no more than one repeat from the last two NRC licensing examinations (3) include at least one task that is new or significantly modified	CSA	✓	
	e. Verify that the systems walk-through includes: (1) two tasks requiring the manipulation of fuel handling equipment (2) two additional tasks related to Tier 2 systems other than fuel handling equipment (3) two tasks requiring implementation of alternative path procedures (4) no more than one repeat from the last two NRC licensing examinations (5) at least one task that is new or significantly modified	CSA	✓	
	d. Verify that the E/APE walk-through includes: (1) three JPMs based on the Tier 1 evolutions, including a refueling accident (2) one task requiring implementation of an alternative path procedure (3) no more than one repeat from the last two NRC licensing examinations (4) at least one task that is new or significantly modified	CSA	✓	
	e. Determine whether there are enough different outlines to test the projected number of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on subsequent days.	CSA	✓	
3. G E N E R A L	a. Assess whether plant-specific priorities (including PRA and IPE insights) are covered in the appropriate exam section.	CSA	✓	
	b. Assess whether the 10 CFR 55.41/43 and 55.45 sampling is appropriate.	CSA	✓	
	c. Assess whether the sampling process adequately considered plant-specific refueling components, systems, and procedures that are not included in the generic models.	CSA	✓	
	d. Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.	CSA	✓	
	e. Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections.	CSA	✓	
	f. Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.	CSA	✓	
	g. Assess whether the proposed sample is consistent with the LSRO's job responsibilities.	CSA	✓	
a. Author <u>Carey B. Goff / C.B. Goff</u> b. Facility Reviewer (*) <u>Carl E. Kirt Jr. / C.E.K.</u> c. NRC Chief Examiner (#) _____ d. NRC Supervisor _____		Date <u>4/1/05</u> <u>4/1/05</u>		
Note: # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.				

Review 0

ES-701

LSRO Written Examination
Quality Checklist

Form ES-701-6

Facility: <u>LGS/PBAPS</u>		Date of Exam: <u>JUNE 13, 2005</u>		
Item Description	Initial			
	a	b*	c*	
1. Questions and answers are technically accurate and applicable to the facility.	<u>CBG</u>	<u>DAM</u>		
2. a. NRC K/As are referenced for all questions (as applicable) b. Facility learning objectives are referenced as available	<u>CBG</u>	<u>DAM</u>		
3. Questions are appropriate for LSRO applicants.	<u>CBG</u>	<u>DAM</u>		
4. Question selection and duplication from the last two NRC licensing exams appears consistent with a systematic sampling process.				
5. Question duplication from the license screening/audit exam was controlled as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate: <input type="checkbox"/> the audit exam was systematically and randomly developed <input type="checkbox"/> the audit exam was completed before the license exam was started <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> the examinations were developed independently <input type="checkbox"/> the licensee certifies that there is no duplication <input type="checkbox"/> other (explain)	<u>CBG</u>	<u>DAM</u>		
6. Bank use meets limits (no more than 30 questions from the bank, at least 4 new, and the rest modified); enter the actual question distribution at right	Bank <u>9</u>	Modified <u>7</u>	New <u>34</u>	
7. Between 50 and 60 percent (20 and 24) ^① of the questions on the exam are written at the comprehension /analysis level; enter the actual question distribution at right	Memory <u>20</u>	C/A <u>30</u>		
8. References/handouts provided do not give away answers or aid in eliminating distractors.	<u>CBG</u>	<u>DAM</u>		
9. Question content conforms with specific K/A statements in the previously approved examination outline and is appropriate for the Tier to which they are assigned; deviations are justified	<u>CBG</u>	<u>DAM</u>		
10. Question psychometric quality and format meet the guidelines in ES Appendix B.	<u>CBG</u>	<u>DAM</u>		
11. The exam contains 40 one-point, multiple choice items; the total is correct and agrees with value on cover sheet ^②	<u>CBG</u>	<u>DAM</u>		
a. Author <u>Corey B. Goff / Corey B. Goff</u> b. Facility Reviewer (*) <u>DAVID A. MALINOWSKI / David A. Malinowski</u> c. NRC Chief Examiner (#) _____ d. NRC Regional Supervisor _____				
Note: * The facility reviewer's initials/signature are not applicable for NRC-developed examinations. # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c;" chief examiner concurrence required.				

① EXAM IS 50 QUESTIONS PER AGREEMENT WITH NRC CBG/DAM
 ② POINT TOTAL WILL BE 50 FOR 50 QUESTIONS PER AGREEMENT WITH NRC CBG/DAM

Facility:		Date of Exam:		Exam Level: RO/SRO		
Item Description		Initials				
		a	b	c		
1.	Clean answer sheets copied before grading	caf	✓	✓		
2.	Answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented	caf	✓	✓		
3.	Applicants' scores checked for addition errors (reviewers spot check > 25% of examinations)	caf	✓	✓		
* 4.	Grading for all borderline cases (80 ±2% overall and 70 or 80, as applicable, ±4% on the SRO-only) reviewed in detail	caf	✓	✓		
5.	All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified	caf	✓	✓		
6.	Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by half or more of the applicants	caf	✓	✓		

	Printed Name/Signature	Date
a. Grader	Craig A Fritz / <i>Craig A Fritz</i>	6/16/05
b. Facility Reviewer(*)	Carl E. Rich Jr. / <i>Carl E. Rich Jr.</i>	6/17/05
c. NRC Chief Examiner (*)	John G. Brown / <i>John G. Brown</i>	7/18/05
d. NRC Supervisor (*)	R. J. Cente / <i>R. J. Cente</i>	7/18/05

(*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations graded by the NRC; two independent NRC reviews are required.

* NOTE: JOE D'ANTONIO second checked grading

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 6/13/05 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 6/13/05. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE NOTE
1. <u>COREY GOTT</u>	<u>INSTRUCTOR</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>3-15-05</u>	<u>Carey B. Gott</u>	<u>6/20/05</u>
2. <u>Carl E. Kuhn Jr.</u>	<u>OTM</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>3-16-05</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>6/15/05</u>
3. <u>TIMOTHY Y. KAN</u>	<u>INSTRUCTOR</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>3-21-05</u>	<u>Timothy Y. Kan</u>	<u>6/20/05</u>
4. <u>JEREMY P. KLASZKY</u>	<u>PB OTM</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>4-7-05</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>6/20/05</u>
5. <u>DAVID MALINOWSKI</u>	<u>INSTRUCTOR</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>4-7-05</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>6/21/05</u>
6. <u>JOSEPH H. MURRAY</u>	<u>OTPS</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>4-8-05</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>6/20/05</u>
7. <u>CRAG HANSON</u>	<u>OPERATOR</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>4-20-05</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>9/22/05</u>
8. <u>WILLIAM BIERME</u>	<u>LSRD</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>5/17/05</u>	<u>William F. Bierme</u>	<u>5/15/05</u>
9. <u>GRAIG A. FRITZ</u>	<u>Instructor</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>6/16/05</u>	<u>Graig A. Fritz</u>	<u>6/16/05</u>
10.					
11.					
12.					
13.					
14.					
15.					

NOTES:

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 6/13/05 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 6/13/05. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE NOTE
1. Carey Goff	INSTRUCTOR	<i>Carey Goff</i>	3-15-05		
2. Carl E. Kuchta	OTM	<i>Carl E. Kuchta</i>	3-16-05		
3. Timothy Y. Kan	INSTRUCTOR	<i>Timothy Y. Kan</i>	7-21-05		
4. John Papadimitriou	PB OTM	<i>John Papadimitriou</i>	4-7-05	<i>J. Papadimitriou</i>	6-16-05
5. David Malinowski	INSTRUCTOR	<i>David Malinowski</i>	4-7-05		
6. Subil McLartney	Instructor	<i>Subil McLartney</i>	4-8-05	<i>Subil McLartney</i>	4/16/05
7. James B. Gang Jr.	Instructor	<i>James B. Gang Jr.</i>	4/16/05	<i>James B. Gang Jr.</i>	6/23/05
8. Michele D. Amberson	Clerical Support	<i>Michele D. Amberson</i>	4-18-05	<i>Michele D. Amberson</i>	6-23-05
9. Virginia M. Sillings	Clerical Support	<i>Virginia M. Sillings</i>	4-18-05	<i>Virginia M. Sillings</i>	6-23-05
10. John J. Nelson	LSRO/INSTRUCTOR	<i>John J. Nelson</i>	4/20/05	<i>John J. Nelson</i>	6/24/05
11. Philip E. Newton	INSTRUCTOR	<i>Philip E. Newton</i>	4-21-05	<i>Philip E. Newton</i>	6/24/05
12.					
13.					
14.					
15.					

NOTES:

ES-601

Examination Security Agreement

Form ES-601-1

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC requalification examinations scheduled for the week(s) of _____ as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC's chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those operators scheduled to be administered these examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC's chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC requalification examinations administered during the week(s) of _____. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those operators who were administered these examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

	PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE
1.	Jeff Holley	Reactor Sng.	Signature for Jeff Holley	5/17/05	Signature for Jeff Holley	6-24-05
2.						
3.	SPURR Gray	LMT OUTAGE SVC	Signature for SPURR Gray	5-19-05	Signature for SPURR Gray	6-17-05
4.	Thomas Mulvihill	LMT OUTAGE SVC	Signature for Thomas Mulvihill	5-19-05	Signature for Thomas Mulvihill	6-15-05
5.						
6.						
7.						
8.						
9.						
10.						
11.						
12.						
13.						
14.						
15.						

NOTES: