



August 3, 2005

NRC 2005-0098
10 CFR 26.71(d)

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
ATTN: Document Control Desk
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Point Beach Nuclear Plant, Units 1 And 2
Dockets 50-266 and 50-301
License Nos. DPR-24 and DPR-27

Fitness-For-Duty (FFD) Program Report

In accordance with 10 CFR 26.71(d), enclosed is Point Beach Nuclear Plant
Fitness-for-Duty performance data for the six-month period ending June 30, 2005.

This letter contains no new commitments and no revisions to existing commitments.

J. H. McCarthy for
Dennis L. Koehl
Site Vice-President, Point Beach Nuclear Plant
Nuclear Management Company, LLC

Enclosure

cc: Administrator, Region III, USNRC
Project Manager, Point Beach Nuclear Plant, USNRC
Resident Inspector, Point Beach Nuclear Plant, USNRC

A021

Fitness for Duty Program
Performance Data
Personnel Subject to 10 CFR 26

<u>Nuclear Management Company, LLC</u> Company	<u>June 30, 2005</u> 6 Months Ending
<u>Point Beach Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2</u> Location	
<u>Don Popp</u> Contact Name	<u>(920) 755-7756</u> Phone (include area code)
Cutoffs: Screen/Confirmation (ng/ml)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appendix A to 10 CFR 26
Marijuana /	Amphetamines /
Cocaine /	Phencyclidine /
Opiates /	Alcohol (% BAC) /

Testing Results		Licensee Employees		Contractor Personnel	
		# Tested	# Positive	# Tested	# Positive
Average Number with Unescorted Access		832		354	
Categories		# Tested	# Positive	# Tested	# Positive
Pre-Access		61	0	377	2
For Cause	Post accident	0	0	0	0
	Observed behavior	5	0	5	3
Random		210	0	102	0
Follow-up		46	0	19	0
Other		0	0	0	0
Total		322	0	503	5

Random test rate for the semi-annual period ending 06/30/2005	52%
---	-----

Breakdown of Confirmed Positive Tests for Specific Substances

	Marijuana	Cocaine	Opiates	Methamphetamines	Phencyclidine	Alcohol	Refusal to Test	1	2	3	4	5
Licensee Employees	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Contractors	1	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	1	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	5

On January 20, 2005, one contractor employee tested positive for alcohol on a for-cause drug/alcohol test. The contractor employee was denied access. The contractor employee was provided the opportunity to appeal the denial through the Nuclear Management Company, LLC (NMC) appeal process. There was no appeal.

On February 28, 2005, one contractor employee tested positive for alcohol on a pre-access drug/alcohol test. The contractor employee was denied access. The contractor employee was provided the opportunity to appeal the denial through the NMC appeal process. The contractor employee requested to have a blood alcohol test completed. Results of the blood test confirmed the positive alcohol results obtained by the Breathalyzer.

On March 21, 2005, one contractor employee tested positive for alcohol on a for-cause drug/alcohol test. The contractor employee was denied access. The contractor employee was provided the opportunity to appeal the denial through the NMC appeal process. There was no appeal.

On March 23, 2005, one contractor employee tested positive for marijuana on a pre-access drug/alcohol test. The contractor employee was denied access. The contractor employee was provided the opportunity to appeal the denial through the NMC appeal process. The contractor employee requested an analysis of the split specimen. Results of the test confirmed the positive results for marijuana.

On April 6, 2005, one contractor employee tested positive for alcohol on a for-cause drug/alcohol test. The contractor employee was denied access. The contractor employee was provided the opportunity to appeal the denial through the NMC appeal process. The contractor employee requested to have a blood alcohol test completed. Results of the blood test confirmed the positive alcohol results.

Reportable Events

On May 17, 2005, at 0905 hours a contractor discovered that a can of beer had been inadvertently placed in his lunchbox instead of a can of soda. The individual notified his supervisor at 0910 hours. The individual was escorted offsite and Security was notified at 0933 hours. The individual was interviewed by the NMC FFD Program Manager to determine the facts associated with the event. It was determined that the introduction of alcohol into the Protected Area was not an intentional act. The individual's access to the Protected Area was reinstated.