UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
REGION II
SAM NUNN ATLANTA FEDERAL CENTER
61 FORSYTH STREET, SW, SUITE 23T85
ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30303-8931

August 5, 2005

Tennessee Valley Authority
ATTN: Mr. K. W. Singer
Chief Nuclear Officer and
Executive Vice President
6A Lookout Place
1101 Market Street
Chattanooga, TN 37402-2801

SUBJECT: ERRATA LETTER FOR BROWNS FERRY NRC INTEGRATED INSPECTION REPORT 05000259/2005003, 05000260/2005003, AND 05000296/2005003

Dear Mr. Singer:

It has come to our attention that the Browns Ferry Integrated Inspection Report which was dated July 29, 2005, contains a footnote in Section 1R22 which incorrectly indicates that a listed procedure included testing of a containment isolation valve. It should have referenced RCS leakage detection testing. The ADAMS Accession Number for that document was ML052130484. Please substitute the enclosed amended page into all copies of the subject Integrated Inspection Report. We apologize for any inconvenience caused by this error.

Should you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Stephen J. Cahill at (404) 562-4520.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Stephen J. Cahill, Chief
Reactor Projects Branch 6
Division of Reactor Projects

Docket Nos. 50-259, 50-260, 50-296
License No. DPR-33, DPR-52, DPR-68

Enclosures: 1. Errata Sheet
2. Integrated Inspection Report Amended Page

cc w/encls: (See page 2)

cc w/encl:
Ashok S. Bhatnagar
Senior Vice President
Nuclear Operations
Tennessee Valley Authority
Electronic Mail Distribution

Larry S. Bryant, General Manager
Nuclear Engineering
Tennessee Valley Authority
Electronic Mail Distribution

Brian O'Grady
Site Vice President
Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant
Tennessee Valley Authority
Electronic Mail Distribution

Robert J. Beecken, Vice President
Nuclear Support
Tennessee Valley Authority
Electronic Mail Distribution

General Counsel
Tennessee Valley Authority
Electronic Mail Distribution

John C. Fornicola, Manager
Nuclear Assurance and Licensing
Tennessee Valley Authority
Electronic Mail Distribution

Bruce M. Aukland, Plant Manager
Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant
Tennessee Valley Authority
Electronic Mail Distribution

Glenn W. Morris, Manager
Corporate Nuclear Licensing
and Industry Affairs
Tennessee Valley Authority
Electronic Mail Distribution

William D. Crouch, Manager
Licensing and Industry Affairs
Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant
Tennessee Valley Authority
Electronic Mail Distribution

State Health Officer
Alabama Dept. of Public Health
RSA Tower - Administration
Suite 700
P. O. Box 303017
Montgomery, AL 36130-3017

Chairman
Limestone County Commission
310 West Washington Street
Athens, AL 35611

Jon R. Rupert, Vice President
Browns Ferry Unit 1 Restart
Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant
Tennessee Valley Authority
P. O. Box 2000
Decatur, AL 35609

Robert G. Jones, Restart Manager
Browns Ferry Unit 1 Restart
Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant
Tennessee Valley Authority
P. O. Box 2000
Decatur, AL 35609

Distribution w/encl: (See page 3)

Distribution w/encl:
M. Chernoff, NRR
E. Brown, NRR
L. Slack, RII EICS
RIDSRIDSNRRDIPMLIPB
PUBLIC

☐ SISP REVIEW COMPLETE: Initials: _____ ☐ SISP REVIEW PENDING*: Initials: _____ *Non-Public until the review is complete
☐ PUBLICLY AVAILABLE ☐ NON-PUBLICLY AVAILABLE ☐ SENSITIVE ☐ NON-SENSITIVE
ADAMS: ☐ Yes ACCESSION NUMBER:_____

OFFICE	DRP:RII						
SIGNATURE	SJC for						
NAME	RCarrion						
DATE	08/05/2005						
E-MAIL COPY?	YES NO	YES NO	YES NO	YES NO	YES NO	YES NO	YES NO

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY DOCUMENT NAME: E:\Filenet\ML052170215.wpd

Correction Action Program

The inspectors reviewed PERs generated during U2C13 to verify that initiation thresholds, priorities, mode holds, and significance levels were assigned as required. Resolution and implementation of corrective actions of several PERs were also reviewed for completeness.

b. Findings

No findings of significance were identified.

1R22 Surveillance Testing

a. Inspection Scope

The inspectors either witnessed portions of surveillance tests or reviewed test data for the seven risk-significant SSC's listed below to verify that the tests met TS surveillance requirements, UFSAR commitments, and in-service testing (IST) and licensee procedure requirements. The inspectors' review was to confirm that the testing effectively demonstrated that the SSCs were operationally capable of performing their intended safety functions and fulfilled the intent of the associated surveillance requirement. Applicable IST data was compared against the requirements of licensee procedures 0-TI-362, Inservice Testing of Pumps and Valves; 0-TI-230, Vibration Monitoring and Diagnostics.

- Unit 2 Standby Liquid Control System Functional Test per 2-SR-3.1.7.7
- 1-2A EDG Monthly Surveillance Test per 0-SR-3.8.1.1(A)
- Unit 2 Turbine Control Valve Fast Closure Test per 2-SR-3.3.1.1.8(9)

a. Unit 3 HPCI Main and Booster Pump Set Developed Head and Flow Rate Test at Rated Reactor Pressure per 3-SR-3.5.1.7 *

- Unit 2 RCIC Comprehensive Test per 2-SR-3.5.3.3
- Unit 3 Drywell Floor Drain Sump Flow Integrator Calibration per 3-SR-3.4.5.3 **
- Unit 3 Diesel Generator 24 Hour Run per 3-SR-3.8.1.7(3A)

*This procedure included inservice testing requirements.
**This procedure included testing of a containment isolation valve.

b. Findings

No findings of significance were identified

Correction Action Program

The inspectors reviewed PERs generated during U2C13 to verify that initiation thresholds, priorities, mode holds, and significance levels were assigned as required. Resolution and implementation of corrective actions of several PERs were also reviewed for completeness.

b. Findings

No findings of significance were identified.

1R22 Surveillance Testing

a. Inspection Scope

The inspectors either witnessed portions of surveillance tests or reviewed test data for the seven risk-significant SSC's listed below to verify that the tests met TS surveillance requirements, UFSAR commitments, and in-service testing (IST) and licensee procedure requirements. The inspectors' review was to confirm that the testing effectively demonstrated that the SSCs were operationally capable of performing their intended safety functions and fulfilled the intent of the associated surveillance requirement. Applicable IST data was compared against the requirements of licensee procedures 0-TI-362, Inservice Testing of Pumps and Valves; 0-TI-230, Vibration Monitoring and Diagnostics.

- Unit 2 Standby Liquid Control System Functional Test per 2-SR-3.1.7.7
- 1-2A EDG Monthly Surveillance Test per 0-SR-3.8.1.1(A)
- Unit 2 Turbine Control Valve Fast Closure Test per 2-SR-3.3.1.1.8(9)
- Unit 3 HPCI Main and Booster Pump Set Developed Head and Flow Rate Test at Rated Reactor Pressure per 3-SR-3.5.1.7 *
- Unit 2 RCIC Comprehensive Test per 2-SR-3.5.3.3
- Unit 3 Drywell Floor Drain Sump Flow Integrator Calibration per 3-SR-3.4.5.3 **
- Unit 3 Diesel Generator 24 Hour Run per 3-SR-3.8.1.7(3A)

*This procedure included inservice testing requirements.
**This procedure included RCS leakage detection testing.

b. Findings

No findings of significance were identified