

SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECTED:
Engineering Partners Co. LLC
530 Garfield Ave
Duluth, MN 55802
REPORT **2005001**

2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE
US NRC Region 111
2443 Warrnville Rd
Suite 210
Lisle, IL 60532

3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)
03036915

4. LICENSEE NUMBER(S)
22-32567-01

5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION
July 11, 2005

LICENSEE:

The inspection was an examination of the activities conducted under your license as they relate to radiation safety and to compliance with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) rules and regulations and the conditions of your license. The inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observations by the inspector. The inspection findings are as follows:

- 1. Based on the inspection findings, no violations were identified.
- 2. Previous violation(s) closed.
- 3. The violation(s), specifically described to you by the inspector as non-cited violations, are not being cited because they were self-identified, non-repetitive, and corrective action was or is being taken, and the remaining criteria in the NRC Enforcement Policy, NUREG-1600, to exercise discretion, were satisfied.

Non-Cited Violation(s) was/were discussed involving the following requirement(s) and Corrective Action(s):

- 4. During this inspection certain of your activities, as described below and/or attached, were in violation of NRC requirements and are being cited. This form is a NOTICE OF VIOLATION, which may be subject to posting in accordance with 10 CFR 19.11.

(Violations and Corrective Actions)

Licensee's Statement of Corrective Actions for Item 4, above.

I hereby state that, within 30 days, the actions described by me to the inspector will be taken to correct the violations identified. This statement of corrective actions is made in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 2.201 (corrective steps already taken, corrective steps which will be taken, date when full compliance will be achieved). I understand that no further written response to NRC will be required, unless specifically requested.

Title	Printed Name	Signature	Date
LICENSEE'S REPRESENTATIVE			
NRC INSPECTOR	G. Tolson		7/11/05

**Docket File Information
SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT
AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION**

1. LICENSEE Engineering Partners Co REPORT NUMBER(S) 2005-001		2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE Region III	
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S) 030-36915	4. LICENSE NUMBER(S) 22-32567-01	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION July 11, 2005	
6. INSPECTION PROCEDURES USED 87124	7. INSPECTION FOCUS AREAS 03.01 - 03.07		

SUPPLEMENTAL INSPECTION INFORMATION

1. PROGRAM CODE(S) 03121	2. PRIORITY 5	3. LICENSEE CONTACT Chad Lowney	4. TELEPHONE NUMBER 218/590-3519
-----------------------------	------------------	------------------------------------	-------------------------------------

Main Office Inspection Next Inspection Date: 7/10

Field Office _____

Temporary Job Site _____

PROGRAM SCOPE

The licensee is a civil engineering firm located in Duluth, Minnesota. They perform soil density and asphalt testing in northern Minnesota and Wisconsin. The licensee has one Troxler 3440 series gauge. The gauge is normally stored at licensee's facility or at the project office for temporary job sites. For use at temporary job sites, the gauges are stored in locked construction trailers. The licensee does not performed any service or maintenance activities on the gauges. The licensee employees forty gauge users who have completed the manufacturers training.

Performance Observations

Licensee described procedures used at a temporary jobsite since no such jobsite was available at the time of this inspection. Interviews of licensee personnel indicated an adequate level of knowledge of radiation safety.

Independent surveys of the gauge storage area indicated minimal results. Although licensee personnel are badged, readings for the past year were nominally at the minimum level. Licensee has implemented the new gauge security policy. Adequate security is provided for in the storage location and in the truck used to transport the gauge. Surveys of the storage area by the inspector revealed only minimal results. No violations of requirements were noted.