(5-2004) ASSESSMENT OF PROPO	OSED INFORMATION COLLECTION		
TO: INFORMATION MANAGEMENT COORDINATOR	TITLE OF INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUIRE	EMENT	
Carrie Brown, NMSS	10 CFR Parts 20, 32, and 150, "National So High-Risk Sources" proposed rule	urce Trac	cking of
HE FOLLOWING FACTORS WERE CONSIDERED IN EVALUATING THE PROPOSED NFORMATION COLLECTION REQUIREMENT. (If the response is not so, check "NO" and explain		YES	NO
1. The requirement is needed. (It is the best means to achieve a necessary regulatory objective.)		J	
The requirement has practical utility, i.e., the NRC has the capability to use the information in a timely and useful fashion.		J	
3. The schedule for imposing the requirement is reasonable.		V	
 The requirement selected is the least burdensome method of achieving a necessary regulator objective. 		J	
5. The requirement does not duplicate or overlap requirements imposed by the NRC.		J	
 The requirement does not duplicate or overlap requirements imposed by other Government agencies. 			
7. The method used to estimate the burden is adequate.			
 The burden estimates are reasonable when compared with similar requirements previously submitted. 			
The methods proposed for collecting or keeping the information are consistent with sound record management practices.			
10. The records retention period is sufficiently definitive and reasonable.			
 The requirement adequately identifies the records to be maintained and the information to be reported. 			
12. NRC administrative support requirements are suff	ficient to manage the information collection.	1	
13. The information collection will not cause NRC to exceed its Information Collection Budget.			

OCIO REVIEWER - (Assigned Analyst) Beth C. St. Mary Records and FOIA/Privacy Services Branch/IRS	D/OIS SIGNATURE	DATE	07/ 19 /2005
OCIO APPROVAL - (Branch Level) Brenda Jo. Shelton NRC Clearance Officer/OIS	SIGNATURE	DATE DATE	07/2 /2005
NRC FORM 408 (5-2004)			PRINTED ON RECYCLED PAPER