



**RIC 2005**  
**Session W-A3**  
**Risk-Informing Special Treatment**  
**Requirements 10 CFR 50.69**

**Timothy Reed, Senior Project Manager**  
**USNRC**  
**March 9, 2005**



# 10 CFR 50.69 BACKGROUND



- **10 CFR 50.69 is a voluntary alternative regulation**
  - ❑ Risk-inform the scope of special treatment requirements (“assurance requirements”)
  - ❑ No change in design basis or technical functional requirements
  
- **Basic structure of section 50.69**
  - ❑ Built around categorization (paragraph (c)) – includes an expert panel that categorizes SSCs into “RISC” bins using PRA and deterministic insights/information
  - ❑ Apply treatment requirements (paragraph (d)) as a function of RISC bin
  
- **Implemented via license amendment**
  - ❑ NRC staff reviews/approves categorization process



# 10 CFR50.69 STATUS



- **Final rule - 10 CFR 50.69 was approved by the Commission on October 7, 2004 (affirmation session)**
- **Commission revised the RISC-3 treatment requirements to adopt a more performance-based approach (next slide)**
- **Staff published the final rule in the Federal Register on November 22, 2004 (69 FR 68008)**
- **Became effective on December 22, 2004**
- **Implementation Guidance (NEI 00-04 and RG 1.201)**
  - ❑ NEI provided a revised NEI 00-04 on October 29, 2004
  - ❑ Staff and NEI met on Dec 14, 2004 to discuss NEI 00-04
  - ❑ NEI plans to provide revised NEI 00-04 input in Jan 2005
  - ❑ Staff plans to revise RG 1.201 to remove unneeded clarifications/exceptions as a result of revised guidance - approx mid 2005 (requires ACRS interaction)



# REVISED RISC-3 TREATMENT REQUIREMENTS



- Commission deleted (from draft final rule language)
  - Design control requirements
  - Procurement requirements
- Commission revised 50.69(d)(2) to
  - Remove programmatic provisions
  - Move design basis (DB) language (was in old 50.69(d)(2)(i)) to preamble requirement - (d)(2)
  - Simplified corrective action
  - Now uses “ensure reasonable confidence”



# REVISED RISC-3 TREATMENT REQUIREMENTS CONT'



- **Design control requirements change**
    - ❑ 50.69 not changing DB functional requirements
    - ❑ 50.59 still applies to all non-treatment related changes
  
  - **Procurement requirements change**
    - ❑ Deleted - licensees have flexibility to control procurement and receipt inspection to meet 50.69(d)(2) DB language change:
    - ❑ Removes parenthetical language – and moves it to 50.69(d)(2)
    - ❑ SOC provides the staff's position on DB
  
  - **Corrective Action requirement change**
    - ❑ Removes documentation requirements (directly worded)
    - ❑ Keeps significant condition corrective action requirement
  
  - **50.69(d)(2) uses “ensure with reasonable confidence”**
    - ❑ Means the licensee is required to provide “reasonable confidence”
-



# 10 CFR 50.69 IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES



- 50.69(b)(2)(iv) – key issue as to what is needed for the submittal to discuss known degradation and CCF
- Delta-risk sensitivity vs monitoring and corrective action – what is acceptable ?
- “Trial Use” designation for RG 1.201
- License condition approval – categorization change control language



# IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE



## ➤ **Schedule for Final 50.69 rule implementation**

- Expect NEI to provide revised NEI 00-04 pages per Dec 14 2004 meeting – in mid January 2005
- Trial application from Surry – expected June 2005
- Will need to go back to ACRS to discuss RG 1.201 in light of changes to RG 1.201/NEI 00-04 (from pilot feedback and interactions)
- Pilot effort will support determination of details needed to support a real 50.69 submittal