RIC 2005
Session F-1
ROP / Inspection Program

TOP Three Challenges /Solutions for CY2005

Gary N. Wright, Assistant Director
Illinois Emergency Management Agency
Division of Nuclear Safety
March 8, 2005

Challenge # 1 - SDP STILL TOO COMPLEX AND SUBJECTIVE

True significance of inspection findings can be obscured

- **Davis-Besse**
  - **3/6/02, vessel head wastage characterized**
  - **late March 02, SDP outcome is undecided, “either” yellow or red**
  - **2/25/03, NRC issues “preliminary” finding of red**
  - **5/29/03, NRC issues final determination of red**
- **SDP not always reproducible between analysts**

Solution # 1 - SDP STILL TOO COMPLEX AND SUBJECTIVE

- Consider reducing complexity of SDP by better use of simplifying techniques such as those used in the ASP

Challenge # 2 – Problem Identification & Resolution Program (PI&R)

- PI&R program receives too little NRC attention under the Baseline Inspection program.

Solution # 2 – Problem Identification & Resolution Program (PI&R)

- Consider making Problem Identification & Resolution (PI&R) a Performance Indicator

Challenge # 3 - ROP LIMITS STATE INSPECTIONS UNDER MOU'S

- Public Radiation Safety Inspections Limited
- Emergency Response Inspections Limited
- ASME Compliance Inspections Limited

Solution #3 - ROP LIMITS STATE INSPECTIONS UNDER MOU'S

- Reduce constraints by which state and NRC inspectors are allowed to enter supplemental inspection procedures