Appendix A

 \cdot

Survey Design

Subject

Seal Chambers - **Survey Plan**

1.0 **PURPOSE**

1.1 The purpose of this calculation is to develop survey design for three (3) Seal Chambers. These areas are **Class I** survey units located in the Discharge Tunnel. They are shown in Attachment 1-1 through 1-2, and are listed below. Attachment 1-3 shows a general diagram of one of four steel downcomers located in Seal Chamber 3.

1.2 The estimated area (in square meters) for these survey units are as shown in the following table. Seal Chamber 3 includes the external surface of the four (4) downcomers.

2.0 SUMMARY OF RESULTS

The following information should be used to develop a survey request for this survey design:

- **2.1 Step I GFPC Measurements for Concrete and Clean or Liqhtly Corroded Steel Surfaces**
	- 2.1.1 A gas flow proportional counter (GFPC) shall be used in the beta detection mode for this scan survey work (Ludlum 2350-1 with a 43-68B probe).
	- 2.1.2 The minimum required number of static survey points for each Seal Chamber is **8** (see Attachment 1-4 to 1-7 for locations of random start systematic grid survey points). However, because the down comers in Seal Chamber 3 are separate components, an additional **8** points will be established for these four components.
	- 2.1.3 Scanning criteria using the GFPC for these areas and hardware, are identified below:
		- 2.1.3.1 The GFPC detector must be in contact with the surface when scanning except in areas where this is not physically possible.
		- 2.1.3.2 Areas where gouges exceed 2" **in depth** should not be surveyed using the GFPC (see Section 2.2 for surveys of gouges > 2" in depth).
		- 2.1.3.3 Steel components/hardware that exhibit severely corroded surfaces should not be scanned using the GFPC. See Section 2.2 if this is the case.
		- 2.1.3.4 The GA DCGLw is **6,407 dpm/100** cm2 or 646 **cpm** above background. This is the static measurement criteria.
		- 2.1.3.5 The action level during first phase scanning is **300 cpm** above background. If this level is reached, the surveyor should stop and perform a count of at least **1/2 minute** duration to identify the actual count rate.

Seal Chambers - **Survey Plan**

- 2.1.3.6 Areas greater than the DCGLw **(646** ncpm) must be identified, bounded and documented to include an area estimate.
- 2.1.3.7 Other instruments of the type specified in 2.1.1 above may be used, but all instruments must demonstrate an efficiency at or above **25.4%** (see Attachment 2-1).
- 2.1.4 Any location or equipment that cannot be adequately surveyed with the GFPC as described in 2.1.2 above, should be identified for Nal scanning IAW Section 2.2.

NOTE: Scan MDC values for the GFPC instrument are listed in Section 4.15, and have been shown to be adequate for this survey work.

2.2 *Step* **2 - Na!** Scannina **of** Extremely Corroded *Steel or Rough* Concrete **Surfaces**

- 2.2.1 The purpose of Nal scanning is to locate elevated measurement locations and mark them for sampling. The following criteria apply:
	- 2.2.1.1 Volumetric DCGLw values for concrete is **4.78 PCi/q Cs-137** (administrative limit).
	- 2.2.1.2 The scan speed is set at **5** cm/second when scanning with a **2" by** 2" Nal detector while moving side to side in a serpentine pattern over a 12" diameter area, within 2" from the surface. The stand-off distance (2") should be monitored frequently during the scanning process.
	- 2.2.1.3 The action level is **200 gross cpm.** The location should be clearly marked for sampling when this level is reached or exceeded. These areas shall be identified, bounded and documented.
- 2.2.2 The conversion factor for the Nal used in cpm/mR/h, shall not be less than **176,080** cpm/mR/h (see Attachment 3-1 and 3-2) for a typical Nal instrument calibration report).

2.3 **Step 3** - **Static Measurements Using the Na! Detector**

- 2.3.1 These measurements are to be performed using a fixed geometry of 2" above concrete surfaces at the locations shown on Attachment 1-4 to 1-6. These locations are the same locations developed for GFPC static measurements. Downcomer **static** measurement **locations** shall **be** omitted.
- 2.3.2 The detection system shall be a 2" by 2" Nal detector of the type previously used in Section 2.2 above, or may be replaced with a multi-channel analyzer system used IAW Reference 3.1.
- 2.3.3 The instrument(s) shall be operated in the integral (scalar) mode to allow application of counting statistics to the results.
	- 2.3.3.1 Count times for static Nal measurements shall initially be 5 minutes in duration but may be adjusted IAW the need to attain a desired MDC.
	- 2.3.3.2 If a multi-channel analyzer system is used lAW Reference 3.1, data shall be recorded on copies of Attachment 4-1 (or equivalent).
- 2.3.5 The decision error rates for Nal static points is assumed the same as those developed for static GFPC measurements i.e., 0.05 for the α value and 0.1 for the β value.

Subject

Seal Chambers - **Survey Plan**

2.3.6 If remediation is performed as a result of this survey work, this survey design must be revised or re-written entirely.

2.4 **Step 4** - **Sampling of Concrete and Steel Surfaces**

- 2.4.1 Sample concrete at any location above the action level cited is Section 2.1 (Step 1) or Section 2.2 (Step 2). A 4" long core bore sample is preferred so that the depth of penetration can be identified. However, when a core bore cannot be taken because of the quality of the concrete, or because of limited access in an area, sampling should remove the first 1" of concrete and yield a volume of at least **200 cc** to ensure an adequate counting MDA for Cs-137 (a 4" diameter area by $1"$ deep = -200 cc).
- 2.4.2 For steel surfaces above the action level for either detection system (200 gross cpm Nal or 300 ncpm GFPC), scrape the surface to collect a sample for gamma scanning by removing as much material as possible in the suspect area. Document the approximate size of the area where the materials were removed. Whenever possible, obtain a volume of no less then 25 cc's (200 cc's is preferred).
- 2.4.3 In general, samples shall be collected at all locations where measurements indicate elevated count rates, or where measurement capability is deemed inadequate due to poor geometry.
- 2.4.4 One sample of concrete will be collected at the highest measured location in each Seal Chamber as determined by Nal static measurements (Section 2.3).

3.0 REFERENCES

- 3.1 SNEC procedure E900-OPS-4524.43, "Operation of the Portable Gamma Spectroscopy System".
- 3.2 ISO 7503-1, Evaluation of Surface Contamination, Part 1: Beta-emitters (maximum beta energy greater than 0.15 MeV) and alpha-emitters, 1988.
- 3.3 SNEC Calculation No. 6900-02-028, GFPC Instrument Efficiency Loss Study.
- 3.4 GPU Nuclear, SNEC Facility, SSGS Footprint, Drawing, SNECRM-040, Sheet 1 & 2.
- 3.5 Plan SNEC Facility License Termination Plan.
- 3.6 SNEC Calculation No. E900-03-029, Balance of SSGS Footprint 2 Survey Plan.
- 3.7 SNEC Calculation No. E900-03-027, Balance of SSGS Footprint Survey Plan.
- 3.8 SNEC Calculation No. E900-03-025, SSGS Area Trench & Sump Survey Design.
- 3.9 SNEC procedure E900-IMP-4520.06, "Survey Unit Inspection in Support of FSS Design".
- 3.10 SNEC Procedure E900-IMP-4500.59, "Final Site Survey Planning and DQA".
- 3.11 MicroShield, Computer Radiation Shielding Code, Version 5.05-00121, Grove Engineering.
- 3.12 NUREG-1507, "Minimum Detectable Concentrations With Typical Radiation Survey Instruments for Various Contaminants and Field Conditions," June 1998.
- 3.13 SNEC procedure E900-IMP-4520.04, "Survey Methodology to Support SNEC License Termination".

Calculation Number Page Number Revision Number Page Number Page Number

Subject

Seal **Chambers** - **Survey Plan**

- 3.14 NUREG-1575, 'Multi-Agency Radiation Survey and Site Investigation Manual", August, 2000.
- 3.15 Microsoft Excel 97, Microsoft Corporation Inc., SR-2, 1985-1997.
- 3.16 Compass Computer Program, Version 1.0.0, Oak Ridge Institute for Science and Education.
- 3.17 Visual Sample Plan, Version 2.0 (or greater), Copyright 2002, Battelle Memorial Institute.
- 3.18 SNEC Calculation No. E900-03-012, Effective DCGL Worksheet Verification.

4.0 ASSUMPTIONS AND BASIC DATA

4.1 Remediation History

Remediation of the Seal Chambers began with removal of ground water in these semiisolated structures. Gross decontamination followed to include the removal of contaminated hardware and piping that passed into and through these chambers. One pipe originating in the SNEC Nuclear facility, terminated in Seal Chamber 1. This is thought to be the main source of contamination entering the Discharge Tunnel. Of interest, is the fact that this pipe did not significantly contaminate Seal Chamber 1 which contained the least amount of radiological contamination of the three chambers. Instead, contaminated water and steam from the SSGS Coal Fired Steam plant passing into and through Seal Chamber 3 appears to have had a more significant impact with regard to contaminating the Seal Chamber 3 area. Seal Chamber 2 was also contaminated, but not at the level exhibited by Seal Chamber 3, which required a larger concrete removal effort. Because these chambers are below grade level, surface water in-leakage was a problem and some patching of cracked concrete was necessary to prepare these areas for final status survey work.

Surface cleaning of these areas was performed by removing a thickness of concrete in affected areas. Core bores were taken to determine the depth of the contamination and to estimate remediation effectiveness during and after this process. Remaining piping systems were sampled and gamma scanned to determine the existing concentrations. Obstructions were cut off and concrete surfaces were scraped free of scale when necessary. Remediation efforts included combinations of the following cleaning techniques:

- . scabbling
- * grinding and use of an oxy/acetylene torch to remove metal obstructions and pipe
- surface scraping
- water flush
- 4.2 Cs-137 accounts for the majority of the total activity in the modified sample result (see Attachment 5-1 and 5-2).
	- The SNEC modified sample is greater than 96% Cs-137. The next most prevalent radionuclide is Ni-63 (2.6%).
	- Cs-137 therefore, provides the only reasonably detectable radionuclide in this mix.

Cs-137's detection efficiency has been checked by SNEC personnel using ISO standard 7503-1 methodology (Reference 3.2). The SNEC facility uses only the lowest reported GFPC efficiency for any of the instruments available for the survey work as input to the

MostEnergy SNEC CALCULATION SHEET

Calculation Number Page Number Revision Number Page Number Page Number

E900-03-030 \vert 0 \vert Page 6 of $6\frac{1}{2}$

Subject

Seal Chambers - **Survey Plan**

survey design process. Attachment 2-1, indicates an instrument efficiency of *0.509.* The ISO value of **0.5** is used as the source efficiency. A Ludlum 2350 is used to determine this value (instrument S/N 95352 - probe S/N is 94818).

NOTE

Other GFPC instruments may be used during the FSS but they must demonstrate an instrument efficiency at or above 0.509.

- 4.3 An GFPC detector stand-off distance of 2" is assumed to compensate for rough surfaces in the SSGS area. This factor corrects the overall efficiency by a factor of 0.33 (Reference 3.3), as shown on Attachment 6-1.
- 4.4 The detectors physical probe area is 126 cm², and the instrument is calibrated to the same source area for Cs-137. The gross activity DCGLw is taken to be 6,407 dpm/100 cm² x (126) cm² physical probe area/100 cm²) = 8,073 x (0.964 disintegration of Cs-137/ disintegration in mix) x ε_i (0.478) x ε_s (0.5) x 0.33 (distance factor) which yields ~648 net cpm above background (Compass calculates 646 ncpm as the gross beta DCGLw). The 0.08 count per disintegration counting efficiency considers only the Cs-137 contaminant present in the sample material matrix, and is calculated by: ε_i (0.509) x ε_s (0.5) x 0.964 disintegration of Cs-137/disintegration in mix x 0.33 (efficiency loss factor due to distance from surface) = *0.08 cts/disintegration.*
- 4.5 Surface defects (gouges, cracks, etc.), are present in these survey units, but a portion of the surface area is relatively smooth. Thus the average concentration of the source term will be overestimated by using a distance correction factor of 0.33 for all areas within these survey units (GFPC only).
- 4.6 Inaccessible areas or corroded steel surfaces, or any area where a 43-68 beta probe can not be used, will be scanned using a 2" x 2" Nal detector. These detectors are set-up and calibrated with a Cs-137 window setting typical to that described within Attachment 3-1 and 3-2, with a conversion factor equal to or greater than 176,080 cpm/mRlh.
- 4.7 MicroShield models of concrete slabs containing Cs-137 were developed for this survey design. Two slab models were used for scanning:
	- 1) a 3" thick slab of concrete 12" in diameter with a density of 2/3 that of concrete to simulate an extremely rough surface (many pits and valleys), and
	- 2) a 1" thick slab of concrete 12" in diameter to simulate a small but relatively smooth surface area.

These models assume that the majority of the activity resides in no more than the first three (3) inches of remaining concrete and that elevated areas are small in diameter. These models are based on remediation information.

4.8 The modeled concentration used was I pCilg Cs-137 and the full density of concrete is assumed to be 2.35 g/cc. Then the concentration of Cs-137 in the first model is 2.35g/cc x 2/3 x 1 pCi/g or 1.6E-06 uCi/cc of Cs-137 for the rough model, and 2.35E-06 uCi/cc for the 1" slab model. The calculated MDCscan for these two models is shown in the following table for a typical 2" by 2" Nal detector.

Seal Chambers - **Survey Plan**

- 4.9 The results of the MicroShield modeling indicate that an exposure rate of approximately 7.888E-05 mR/h is obtained at a distance of 3" (2" inches from the face of the detector), from the surface of the smaller slab model, and 1.179E-04 mR/h is seen 3 inches from the surface of the thicker rough surface model. Exposure rate is measured to the center of the detector and therefore the air gap between the surface of both models is taken to be 2".
- 4.10 A third MicroShield model of a surface deposition containing Cs-137 was also developed for this survey design (see Attachment 9-1 to 9-8). For this scenario, the modeled area is assumed to be a 12 " diameter disk source with a 1 pCi/cm² Cs-137 activity evenly dispersed over the surface. The source area is assumed to be a corroded steel plate or a surface deposited concrete source. This model incorporates a 2 mm thickness of iron oxide $(F_{\epsilon_2}O_3)$ to simulate a corroded steel surface or a near surface deposit in concrete. The resulting mR/h value was 1.546E-05. Then the calculated $pCi/cm²$ MDCscan is 22.5 pCi/cm² (4993 dpm/100 cm²) for a background count rate of 100 cpm. This model is applicable for steel or concrete surface deposits.
- 4.11 These survey units are below grade and are surrounded by concrete walls and therefore the original GFPC related background values have been adjusted to compensate for shielding effects of these walls. This results in a conservative estimate of background.
- 4.12 A GFPC variability measurement set was performed in each Seal Chamber (see Attachment 10-1 to 10-3.
- 4.12.1 Seal Chamber 2 exhibits the largest mean unshielded GFPC value and the largest standard deviation (244 cpm **±** 68.1). Using this value to calculate the MDCscan and static values will produce the most conservative result. The shielded reading for this data yields 140 cpm \pm 21.8, while Seal Chamber 1 exhibits the lowest mean concentration and therefore is the closest to natural background for any of these survey units. Therefore, Seal Chamber 1 data will be used to adjust the Intake Tunnel entrance background data to compensate for the below ground shielding effect. Then:

Mean Intake Tunnel entrance data = 340 cpm \pm 56.8 unshielded, and

Difference in shielded readings $= 255 - 138 = 117$ cpm

Mean IT entrance unshielded data corrected = $340 - 117$ cpm = 223 cpm ± 56.8

The following correction may be used to correct the Williamsburg steel background data:

ExstEnergy SNEC CALCULATION SHEET

Calculation Number Page Number Page Number Revision Number Page Number

Subject

Seal Chambers - **Survey Plan**

 $= 153$ cpm \pm 8.6 shielded

Difference in shielded readings $= 200 - 153 = 47$ cpm

Mean Williamsburg unshielded data corrected = $211 - 47$ cpm = 164 cpm \pm 17.7

NOTE

The steel data from Seal Chamber 3 are from data points 32 to 39 on Attachment 10-3. Williamsburg steel data are shown on Attachment 10-4 and Intake Tunnel entrance nonimpacted concrete background data is shown on Attachment 10-5.

- 4.13 The majority of the structural surface area in these chambers is concrete. However, the downcomers in Seal Chamber 3 are steel. If concrete measurements are used to estimate the MDCscan or static values for a steel surface, the result will be an elevated estimate of these values since steel has a lower background. When the data are analyzed using the WRS criteria, the correct background values will be subtracted.
- 4.14 For static Nal measurements of concrete, background values are determined by taking measurements at an on-site non-impacted structure (see Attachment 11-1). The mean nonimpacted area value is similar to the current mean general area values in the Seal Chambers, and thus can be used to estimate static and scan MDC values.
- 4.15 The GFPC scan MDC calculation is determined based on a 2.2 cm/sec scan rate, a 1.38 index of sensitivity (95% correct detection probability and 60% false positive), 0.08 counts/disintegration and a 126 cm² probe area. In all cases, the scan MDC is less than the gross activity DCGLw for these survey units. Therefore, there is no need to add additional survey points to this survey design for purposes of meeting hot spot design criteria.

NOTE: Compass does not use the 126 cm² probe correction factor in the MDCscan equation.

- 4.16 The survey units described in this survey design were inspected after remediation efforts were completed. A copy of portions of the SNEC facility post-remediation inspection report are included (see Attachment 13-1 to 13-6).
- 4.17 No special area characteristics including any additional residual radioactivity (not previously noted during characterization) have been identified in these survey units.
- 4.18 Special measurements are included for this survey design. These special measurements are Nal based static measurements that use a Cs-137 window set around the peak energy of 0.622 MeV. The specifications for these measurements are defined in Section 2.3.
	- 4.18.1 The Nal static measurement MDC is based on a background value determined for concrete at an on-site non-impacted concrete structure (see Attachment 11-1). The MicroShield model used assumes a cylindrical source geometry with a diameter of 12" and a depth of 1". The size of the modeled area is comparable to typical elevated areas of concrete found in the SSGS area and surrounding tunnels during previous survey work (see Attachment 9-7 and 9-8).
	- 4.18.2 A background count rate of 100 counts/min (typical) yields a MDCstatic value of **0.713 pCi/g** Cs-137. (see Attachment 9-7 and 9-8).
- 4.19 Compass output is presented in Attachment 14-1 to 14-10.

Subject

Seal Chambers - Survey Plan

- 4.20 The applicable SNEC site radionuclides and their associated DCGLw values are listed on Exhibit 1 of this calculation.
- 4.21 The survey design checklist is listed in Exhibit 2.
- 4.22 Diagrams shown in this survey design have been developed from Reference 3.4.
- 4.23 The Area Factors for this survey unit is shown below (Co-60). These values (as applicable), were input to the Compass computer program and are the same as those reported in Reference 3.5. The lower limit area factor for areas less than 1 square meter is 10.1. Area factors for values between the values listed in the following table, are interpolated from the data by Compass.

5.0 **CALCULATIONS**

5.1 All complex calculations are performed internal to applicable computer codes or within an Excel spreadsheet previously identified.

6.0 APPENDICES

- 6.1 **Attachment** 1-1 to 1-3, diagrams of Seal Chambers and Downcomers.
- 6.2 **Attachment 1-4** to 1-7, VSP output showing static measurement points.
- 6.3 **Attachment** 2-1, is the calibration information for the lowest efficiency GFPC detector.
- 6.4 **Attachment** 3-1 to 3-2, are typical calibration sheets for a 2" by 2" Nal detection system.
- 6.5 **Attachment 4-1,** is a data collection sheet for a gamma-ray spectrometry system (typical).
- 6.6 **Attachment** 5-1 to **5-2,** are calculation sheets used to determine the effective volumetric and surface concentration limits (Effective DCGL Calculator).
- 6.7 **Attachment** 6-1, is a calculation result for determining efficiency loss for a GFPC detector as a function of distance from a source.
- 6.8 **Attachment** 7-1 to 7-3, is calculation sheets to determine the scan MDC for a Nal detection system using a typical background count rate and a 12" diameter 1" thick MicroShield model.
- 6.9 **Attachment** 8-1 to **8-2,** is calculation sheets to determine the scan MDC for a Nal detection system using a typical background count rate and a 12" diameter 3" thick MicroShield model.
- 6.10 **Attachment 9-1** to 9-8, are calculation sheets used to determine the scan MDC for a Nal detection system using a typical background count rate and surface deposition model from MicroShield.

Subject

Seal Chambers - Survey Plan

- 6.11 **Attachment** 10-1 to 10-3, are GFPC variability measurements from the Seal Chamber areas.
- 6.12 **Attachment** 10-4 to 10-5, are background measurements using a GFPC instrument in nonimpacted areas.
- 6.13 **Attachment** 11-1, is Nal background measurements in a non-impacted area.
- 6.14 **Attachment** 12-1 to 12-3, are calculation sheets used to determine the scan MDC for a GFPC detection system using a typical background count rate associated with steel and concrete surfaces.
- 6.15 **Attachment** 13-1 to **13-6,** are sections of survey unit inspection reports for the Seal Chamber areas.
- 6.16 **Attachment** 14-1 to 14-10, are Compass output results for the Seal Chambers.

Free SNEC CALCULATION SHEET
Calculation Number | Revision Number

Calculation Number Page Number Revision Number Page Number

E900-03-030 **I I D I D I D I Page 11 of** $6\frac{\sqrt{}}{2}$

Subject

Seal Chambers - Survey Plan

Exhibit **I**

SNEC Facility DCGL Values^(a)

NOTES:

(a) While drinking water DCGLs will be used by SNEC to meet the drinking water 4 mrem/y goal, only the DCGL values that constitute the 25 mremly regulatory limit will be controlled under this LTP and the NRC's approving license amendment

(b) Usted values are from the subsurface model. These values are the most conservative values between the two models (i.e., surface & subsurface).

in the SNEC CALCULATION SHEET
Calculation Number
Revision Number

Calculation Number Page Number Revision Number Page Number

 $E900-03-030$ **D** $Page 12 of 64$

Subject

Seal Chambers - Survey Plan

Exhibit 2

Survey Design Checklist (From Reference **3.5)**

NOTE: a copy of this completed form or equivalent, shall be included within the survey design calculation. **(**)

13 of 64

ATTACHMENT 1

Seal Chamber 3 Downcomers (4 ea)

 $16 + 64$

17 4 64

Seal Chamber 2

 $A+$ achment $1-5$

Seal Chamber 3

 $\ddot{}$

 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}

 $\ddot{}$

 \mathbf{r}

 \mathbf{r}

ATTACHMENT_{2.1}

 $\frac{e^{20}}{40}$ db $\frac{e/2}{20}$

 21 of 64

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

 ω

Duratek Instrument Services 628 Gallaher Road
Kingston, TN 37763
Phone: (865) 376-8337
Fax: (865) 376-8331

 \sim \sim

LUDLUM MODEL 44-10 HIGH VOLTAGE PLATEAU DATA SHEET

 $\sqrt{a^2 + 4}$ Performed By: Del Como G. Reviewed By: __

Date: $\frac{10}{3}/33$.

Date: $11 - 4 - 03$

ATTACHMENT 3 . 2

GPUNUCLEAR

BI 46353811

SNEC FACILITY GAMMA-RAY SPECTROMETRY DATA SHEET

SURVEY REQUEST No.

DATE __________: TIME_________

 23 of 64

 $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$

ATTACHMENT 5 .1

 $\frac{1}{2}$ of $\frac{64}{5}$

 $\frac{25}{10}$ + 0 24

ATTACHMENT₂

Contract Contract State

 \sim

 $\frac{2}{6}$

 \overline{f}

 $\frac{1}{2}$

 28 of 64

where:

- *b = background in counts per minute*
- *bi= background counts in observation interval*
- *Conv = Na! manufacturers reported response to energy of contaminant (cpmfuRfh)*
- *d = index of sensitivity (Table 6.5 MARSSIM), 1.38 = 95% of correct detection's, 60%false positives*
- $H S_d$ = hot spot diameter (in centimeters)

MDC_{scan} = *Minimum Detectable Concentration for scanning (pCi/g)*

MDCR, = Minimum Detectable Count Rate (ncpm)

 $MDCR_{survey} = MDCR_i corrected by human performance factor (ncpm)$

MDER = Minimum Detectable Exposure Rate (uR/h)

MS_{output} = MicroShield output exposure rate for *I pCi/g of contaminant (mR/h)*

0i = obervation Interval (seconds)

p = human performance factor

SR = scan rate in centimeters persecond

ATTACHMENT

 36

 f°

 $\overline{f\overline{g}}$

MicroShield v5.05 (5.05-00121)

Case Title: 12" Cylinder

 $Page$ $\overline{11}$ DOS File : SLAB3.MS5 Run Date : November 12, 2003 Run Time : 1:43:03 PM Duration : 00:00:01

File Ref: Date: By: Checked:

Source Input Grouping Method : Actual Photon Energies

Buildup
The material reference is : Source

Integration Parameters

ATTACHMENT. $\overline{\bm{\mathcal{N}}}$ $\boldsymbol{\omega}$

11/12/2003

MicroShield v5.05 (5.05-00121)
GPU Nuclear

乳

File Ref: Date: $By.$ Checked:

 $\overline{5}$

 \mathbb{Z}

Case Title: 12" Cylinder
Description: Cs-137 @ 1 pCi/g in 3" Thick Slab - Partial Density
Geometry: 8 - Cylinder Volume - End Shields

Source Input Grouping Method: Actual Photon Energies

Buildup The material reference is: Source

Integration Parameters

Dooulto

ATTACHMENT_ ∣≪\

 32 of 64

Sodium Iodide Scan MDC Over a Plane Source

A scan MDC for a Nal detector is subject to the following input data:

1) The rate of movement of the detector over the surface

2) The height of the detector over the surface

- 3) The size of the Nal detector being used
- 4) The density of any surface contaminant that covers the source term

5) The radionuclide being measured

6) The background level in the area including that from the type of materials being surveyed

7) The surveyors efficiency (usually taken to be 50%)

8) The discriminator level setting of the count rate instrument

9) The plausible radionuclide mix for the area and its detectable gamma emission rate per unit area representing a valid surface model.

Since it is necessary to know these parameters before calculating the MDC scan, the following information/assumptions will be used to identify initial MDC scan results for a 2" by 2" Nal detector under select site conditions.

Basic Instrument Assumptions

1) Scanning is performed using a 2" by 2" Nal detector operated with in a window set around the Cs-137 peak area (-100 keV width) .

2) The background count rate is primarily due to naturally occurring radionuclides found in site structural materials plus ambient cosmic interactions.

3) Scanning speed is initially assumed to be 0.05 meters/second at a distance of 2" above the surface from the face of the detector. For a 2" by 2" Nal detector this is the same as being 3" from the center of the detector volume.

4) The initial observation interval is 6.1 seconds.

5) Level of performance for first stage scanning is 95% for the true positive rate, and 60% for the false positive rate which yields a d' value of 1.38. Second stage scanning is reviewed on page 2 of this Attachment.

Basic Modeling Assumptions

1) Corrosion materials on steel surfaces have a density the same as iron oxide (5.1 g/cc) with a nominal thickness of 2 mm.

2) The areal hot spot size is assumed to be ~ 0.073 m².

- 3) The modeling diameter is 0.3048 meters (12" diameter circle).
- 4) The distance of the detector above the surface is assumed to be \sim 5 centimeters.
- 5) A surface is uniformly contaminated within the modeled area.

Nal Scan MDC Calculation - Surface $\frac{33}{64}$

Scan Rate Determination

Signal Detection Theory. Personnel conducting radiological surveys for residual contamination must interpret the audible output of a portable survey instrument to determine when the signal (or "clicks") exceed the background level by a margin sufficient to conclude that contamination is present. It is difficult to detect low levels of contamination because both the signal and the background vary widely. Signal detection theory provides a framework for the task of deciding whether the audible output of the survey meter during scanning is due to background or signal plus background levels. An index of sensitivity (d') that represents the distance between the means of the background and background plus signal in units of their common standard deviation, can be calculated for various decision errors (correct detection and false positive rate).

As an example, for a correct detection rate of 95% (complement of a false negative rate of 5%) and a false positive rate of 5%, d' is 3.29 (similar to the static MDC for the same decision error rates). The index of sensitivity is independent of human factors, and therefore, the ability of an ideal observer (theoretical construct), may be used to determine the minimum d'that can be achieved for particular decision errors. The ideal observer makes optimal use of the available information to maximize the percent correct responses, providing an effective upper bound against which to compare actual surveyors. Table 6.5 lists selected values of d'.

Two Stages of Scanning. The framework for determining the scan MDC is based on the premise that there are **two** stages of scanning. That is, surveyors do not make decisions on the basis of a single indication, rather, upon noting an increased number of counts, they pause briefly and then decide whether to move on or take further measurements. Thus, scanning consists of two components: continuous monitoring and stationary sampling. In the first component, characterized by continuous movement of the probe, the surveyor has only a brief look at potential sources, determined by the scan speed. The surveyor's willingness to decide that a signal is present at this stage is likely to be liberal, in that the surveyor should respond positively on scant evidence, since the only cost of a false positive is a little time. The second component occurs only after a positive response was made at the first stage. This response is marked by the surveyor interrupting his scanning and holding the probe stationary for a period of time, while comparing the instrument output signal during that time to the background counting rate. Owing to the longer observation interval, sensitivity is relatively high. For this decision, the criterion should be more strict, since the cost of a yes decision is to spend considerably more time taking a static measurement.

Nal Scan MDC Calculation - Surface

 34 of 64

Since scanning can be divided into two stages, it is necessary to consider the survey's scan sensitivity for each of these stages. Typically, the minimum detectable count rate (MDCR) associated with the first scanning stage will be greater due to the brief observation intervals of continuous monitoring-provided that the length of the pause during the second stage is significantly longer. Typically, observation intervals during the first stage are on the order of 1 or 2 seconds, while the second stage pause may be several seconds long. The greater value of MDCR from each of the scan stages is used to determine the scan sensitivity for the surveyor.

Determination of MDCR and Use of Surveyor Efficiency. The minimum detectable number of net source counts in the interval is given by s . Therefore, for an ideal observer, the number of i source counts required for a specified level of performance can be arrived at by multiplying the square root of the number of background counts by the detectability value associated with the desired performance (as reflected in d') as shown in Equation 6-8 (MARSSIM):

Prior to performing field measurements, an investigator must evaluate the detection sensitivity of the equipment proposed for use to ensure that levels below the DCGL can be detected (see Section 4.3). After a direct measurement has been made, it is then necessary to determine whether or not the result can be distinguished from the instrument background response of the measurement system. The terms that are used in this manual to define detection sensitivity for fixed point counts and sample analyses are:

Critical level (L_C)

Detection limit (L_D)

Minimum detectable concentration (MDC)

The critical level (L_c) is the level, in counts, at which there is a statistical probability (with a C predetermined level of confidence) of incorrectly identifying a measurement system background value as being greater than background. Any response above this level is considered to be greater than background.

The detection limit (L_D) is an a priori estimate of the detection capability of a D measurement system, and is also reported in units of counts. The minimum detectable concentration (MDC) is the detection limit (counts) multiplied by an appropriate conversion factor to give units consistent with a site guideline, such as Bq/kg. The following discussion provides an overview of the derivation contained in the well known publication by Currie (Currie 1968) followed by a description of how the resulting formulae should be used. Publications by Currie (Currie 1968, NRC 1984) and Altshuler and Pastemack (Altshuler and Pasternak 1963) provide details of the derivations involved.

The two parameters of interest for a detector system with a background response greater than zero are:

L_C - the net response level, in counts, at which the detector output can be considered "above background" L_D - the net response level, in counts, that can be expected to be seen with a detector with a fixed level of certainty

Assuming that a system has a background response and that random uncertainties and systematic uncertainties are accounted for separately, these parameters can be calculated using Poisson statistics. For these calculations, two types of decision errors should be considered. A Type I error (or "false positive") occurs when a detector response is considered to be above background when, in fact, only background radiation is present. A Type II error (or "false negative") occurs when a detector response is considered to be background when in fact radiation is present at levels above background. The probability of a Type I error is referred to as a (alpha) and is associated with L_C ; the probability of a Type II error is referred to as B (beta) and is associated **LD.** Figure 6.2 (MARSSIM) graphically illustrates the relationship of these terms with respect to each other and to a normal background distribution.

36 of 64

where:

- *b = background in counts per minute*
- *b= background counts in observation interval*
- *Conv = Nal manufacturers or calibration information reported response to energy of contaminant (cpmfuRlh)*
- *d = index of sensitivity (Table 6.5 MARSSIM), 1. 38 = 95% of correct detection's, 60°/6false positives*
- HS_d = hot spot diameter (in centimeters)
- *MDC_{scan}* = Minimum Detectable Concentration for scanning (pCi/cm²)
- *MDCR, = Minimum Detectable Count Rate (ncpm)*
- *MDCR_{survevor}* = *MDCR_i* corrected by human performance factor (ncpm)
- *MDER = Minimum Detectable Exposure Rate (uR/h)*
- MS_{output} = MicroShield output exposure rate for 1 pCi/cm² of contaminant (mR/h)
- *Oj = obervation Interval (seconds)*
- *p* = *human performancefactor*
- *SR = scan rate in centimeters per second*

MicroShield v5.05 (5.05-00121)
GPU Nuclear

<u>SAJ</u>

 \mathcal{L}

 $\frac{1}{2}$

 \overline{F}

Case Title: Disk Description: Cs-137 Surface Source with Fe2O3 @ 0.2 cm Geometry: 3 - Disk

Source Input Grouping Method: Actual Photon Energies

Buildup
The material reference is : Shield 1

Integration Parameters

$D = -114$

of 64

39 of 64

where:

 $B =$ background count in time T_B (counts)

 $CF = conversion factor for instrument calibration (cpm/mR/h)$

Ci = number of curies in MicroShield model

K = instrument efficiency and other correction factors used to convert to appropriate units

 L_C = critical level (in counts)

 L_D = detection limit (in counts)

Mass = mass of model in grams

MDC = Minimum Detectable Concentration (pCig)

MSO = MicroShield output in mR/h

 R_B = background count rate (cpm)

 T_{SB} = sample count time (in minutes)

 T_B = background count time (in minutes)

 $ATTACHMENT$ 9.8

LI/o 0-

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$. In the case of

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

ATTACHMENT 10. 1

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \,$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$

 41 of 64

 \sim

ATTACHMENT 10. 2

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$

42 of 64

ATTACHMENT 10.3

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

of 64

 44 of 64

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}})) \leq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$

Contract Contract

ATTACHMENT 10 .5

 \mathcal{L}

and the state of the state of

 45 of 64

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{$

ATTACHMENT 11.

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$

 \sim \sim

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{2}d\mu\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)\frac{d\mu}{d\mu}d\mu\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right).$

L11 0f-

Beta Scan Measurement MDC Calculation

Steel in Seal Chamber **3**

$$
\varepsilon_i := .509 \cdot .33 \cdot .96364 \qquad \varepsilon_s := .5 \qquad b := 158 \qquad p := 0.5 \qquad W_d := 8.8 \qquad S_r := 2.2 \qquad d := 1.38
$$

A :=100

 $\frac{W_d}{S_r}$ = 4

 $b_i := \frac{(b \cdot 0_i)}{60}$

Observation Interval (seconds) Sr -

Observation Interval (seconds)

b 1 = 10.5 Counts in observation Interval

$$
C := \frac{1}{\left(\varepsilon_i \cdot \varepsilon_s \frac{A}{100}\right) \sqrt{p}}
$$

C=17.474

$$
MDCR_i := \left(d \cdot \sqrt{b_i}\right) \frac{60}{O_i}
$$

 $MDCR_1 = 67.2$ *net counts per minute*

MDCR i +b =225.182 gross counts per minute

 $\frac{MDCR}{I} = 16.8$ *°i*

net counts per minute in observation interval

MDCscan := C.MDCR i

 $A_{\text{D}}C_{\text{scan}} = 1.174 \cdot 10^3$ *dpm per 100 cm*²

 $\ddot{}$

$$
\text{MARSSIM, Pages 6-38 to 6-43} \quad 3 \quad \text{ATTACHMENT} \quad \text{11/12/2003}
$$

 47 of 64

 $A := 100$

Beta Scan Measurement MDC Calculation

Concrete in Seal Chambers

 $b := 244$

$$
\varepsilon_i := .509.33.96364
$$

$$
p := 0.5
$$
 $W_d := 8.8$ $S_r := 2.2$ $d := 1.38$

$$
\frac{W_d}{S_r} = 4
$$

Observation Interval (seconds)

 ε _s:=.5

Observation Interval (seconds)

$$
b_i := \frac{(b \cdot O_i)}{60}
$$

 $b_i = 16.3$ Counts in observation Interval

 $\ddot{}$

$$
C := \frac{1}{\left(\varepsilon_f \cdot \varepsilon_s \frac{A}{100}\right) \sqrt{p}}
$$

 $C = 17.474$

$$
MDCR_i := \left(d \cdot \sqrt{b_i}\right) \frac{60}{O_i}
$$

 $MDCR = 83.5.$

net counts per minute

 $\hat{\boldsymbol{\cdot} }$

 $MDCR_i + b = 327.487$

 $\bar{\nu}$

gross counts per minute

$$
\frac{MDCR_i}{O_i} = 20.9
$$

net counts per minute in observation interval

 $MDC_{scan} := C \cdot MDCR_i$

$$
MDC_{scan} = 1.459 \cdot 10^3
$$

$$
dpm\ per\ 100\ cm^2
$$

 48 of 64

where:

b = background counts per minute

bi= background counts in observation interval

p = human performancefactor

 W_d = *detector* width in centimeters

S. = scan rate in centimeters per second

d = index of sensitivity (Table 6.5 MARSSIM), 1.38 = 95% ofcorrect detection's, 60%false positives MDC_{scan} = *Minimum Detectable Concentration for scanning (dpm/100 square centimeters)*

C = constant used to convert MDCR to MDC

 ε_i = instrument *efficiency (counts/emission)*

 ε _s = source efficiency (emissions/disintegration)

A = instrument physical probe area (in square centimeters)

 49 of 64

Number

Saxton Nuclear Experimental Corporation SAXTON NUCLEAR Facility Policy and Procedure Manual E900-IMP-4520.06 Revision No. **Survey Unit Inspection in Support of FSS Design** 0 **ORIGINAL EXHIBIT 3** Surface Measurement Test Area (SMTA) Data Sheet **STATUTE SECTION 1 SPESCRIPTION FREEMS ALSO SERVER AND REPORT** TANELEM TANK HEAD SMTA - $SSS-I$ -1 **Survey Unit Number SMTA Number** 588west will **SMTA Location** Seal Chmher 1420 SNUSKIN Date $11/6/03$ Time **Survey Unit Inspector** SECTION 2 - CALIPER INFORMATION & PERSONNEL INVOLVED **Caliper Model Number** C ៷៲៲ͿϺ៰ϗͻ **Caliper Manufacturer** Calibration Due Date (as applicable) 763893 \mathcal{M} A-**Caliper Serial Number** Time Date f/a MA-Rad Con Technician MA-**Date** /०२ JDUSKIN Survey Unit Inspector Approval SECTION 3 - MEASUREMENT RESULTS a sa nagy a szerint a formal a szerint a
A polygyi a formal a szerint a SMTA Grid Map & Measurement Results in Units of mm Comments (Insert Results in White Blocks Below) $[13]$ -19 25 31 $Stek$ for 4 $Stek$ for $Stek$ 77 \mathbb{Z} 1. 0.9 2.0 ں ا $.9$ ე . ე 0.3 S_M+A , is TTPICAL or Rougher that the Remander $\frac{1}{2}$ -20 \therefore 26 $: 32:$ में व4ा पू 2.853 v. 8 $\mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{9}$ o•S \mathfrak{g} .) ∪.∂ o٠l $.27.$ ِ وو با: 收通 \sim 21 $^{\circ}$ 893 R $\mathsf{L}^{\mathbf{v}}$ \mathcal{L} $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\mathsf{b}$ 0.4 0.7 o3 $O·$: من 26 \mathbb{Z}^{36} $22.$ 974. $\mathbf{10},$ 9.6 0.4 7.3 12 7.6 ا ۱ $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ liz \mathbb{R} $29:$ \cdot 35 ્રાઇ \cdot 23. 35 J, ζ 0.) 5.4 6.7 Ω ± 30 $\%$ ્ર36 ્રિટ \mathbb{Z}^{n} ં આવે -6.5 5.12 11 $\overset{\cdot}{\mathfrak{b}}$. \mathbf{b} o .3 ო-1 6.1 ک ه ે σ mm Average Measurement Additional Measurements Required $558 - 1 - 001$ 13.7 mm ($2^{7}x3^{7}$) $s_{30} - 1 - 001$ 13.1 mm (2x3)
 $s_{36} - 1 - 02$ 35.2 mm (3x4")
 $s_{5} - 1 - 02$ 53.1 m, (36X 64")
 $s_{5} - 1 - 02$ 53.1 m, (36X 64")
 $s_{5} - 1 - 02$ 11.89 mm (12' 76")
 $s_{5} - 3 - 02$ 27.4 (8" X5") ATTACHMENT 13.

50 0 64

Number

SAXTON NUCLEAR

VИI Saxton Nuclear Experimental Corporation
Facility Policy and Procedure Manual

E900-IMP-4520.06

Revision No.

Survey Unit Inspection in Support of FSS Design

 $\mathbf{0}$

 ω

 $57 - 64$

Number

E900-IMP-4520.06

 $\bf{0}$

SAXTON NUCLEAR

 \sim \sim

Saxton Nuclear Experimental Corporation
Facility Policy and Procedure Manual

Revision No.

Survey Unit Inspection in Support of FSS Design

EXHIBIT 3 Surface Measurement Test Area (SMTA) Data Sheet

ORIGINAL

SAXTON NUCLEAR

 \mathbf{A}

Saxton Nuclear Experimental Corporation Facility Policy and Procedure Manual

Number

7 کی

 $\mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{w}^{\star}}$

E900-IMP-4520.06
Revision No. Title Revision No. 2014

 $0 + 64$

Survey Unit Inspection in Support of FSS Design 0

EXHIBIT 1 ORIGINAL

Survey Unit Inspection Check Sheet

 $\mathcal{L}=\{ \mathbb{R}^m \}$, and \mathbb{R}^n

_513 **Number**

رن
مانو الرو

Saxton Nuclear Experimental Corporation
Facility Policy and Procedure Manual SAXTON NUCLEAR Facility Policy and Procedure Manual

E900-IMP-4520.06

Title Revision No. 2006. The Revision No. 2006. In the Revision No. 2006. In the Revision No. 2006. In the Revision No. 2007. In the Revision No

Survey Unit Inspection in Support of FSS Design **and COVID-10** 0

 \sim \sim

EXHIBIT **I**

Survey Unit Inspection Check Sheet

5\$3' *C.)*

 \mathcal{L}

.

ORIGINAL

Saxton Nuclear Experimental Corporation

SAXTON NUCLEAR Facility Policy and Procedure Manual

E900-IMP-4520.06
Revision No. Tritle Revision of the contract of the contrac

Number

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{C})$

Survey Unit Inspection in Support of FSS Design and the contract of PSS Design and the contract of the contract o

EXHIBIT I

Survey Unit **Inspection Check Sheet**

 55 ot 64

Site Summary

Site Name: Seal Chambers 1, 2 and 3

Planner(s):

BHB

Contaminant Summary

NOTE: Surface soil DCGLw units are pCi/g.
Building surface DCGLw units are dpm/100 cm².

 $\ddot{}$

56 of 64

Survey Plan Summary

Prospective Power Curve

COMPASS v1.0.0 $\overline{11/12/2003}$
ATTACHMENT $\overline{14}.$ ATTACHMENT_

Contaminant Summary

Beta Instrumentation Summary

Concrete 7 223 56.8 719

ATTACHMENT 14 - 3

 $\ddot{}$

ATTACHMENT_14 - $\left| \cdot \right|$

 797995

59 of 64

Survey Plan Summary

Prospective Power Curve

 $\ddot{\cdot}$

6 0 otoA

Contaminant Summary

Beta Instrumentation Summary

ATTACHMENT 14 - 6

 $\frac{61}{66}$ +0 $\frac{61}{6}$

-I **9r.** \mathbb{Z}_1

 $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}$

 $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$

 62 of 64

Survey Plan Summary

Prospective Power Curve

Contaminant Summary

Beta Instrumentation Summary

 \sim μ \sim μ $\overline{\mathfrak{d}}$ $\ddot{}$

 $\hat{\boldsymbol{\cdot}$

 49 of 64