Danielle Brian, Executive Director Project on Government Oversight 666 11th Street, NW, Suite 500 Washington, DC 20001-4542

Dear Ms. Brian:

Thank you and Mr. Stockton for meeting with the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff on July 11, 2005, to discuss your letter to the Chairman dated June 10, 2005, expressing your thoughts and concerns regarding issues pertaining to work hour limits for security force personnel at nuclear power plants (ADAMS Accession No. ML0517201851). The NRC staff believes this was a beneficial meeting to discuss your concerns and answer your questions. During this meeting we reviewed portions of the Fatigue Order (EA-03-038) to address specific concerns in your letter.

As a followup to our meeting, NRC staff spoke directly with the contact you mentioned, and determined that his view of the current situation was favorable. The contact stated that he believes there has been a significant change in fatigue issues and improvements have created a better work environment. We will continue to monitor these issues at all plants through our baseline inspection process.

In addition, we also discussed some differences between the current Fatigue Order and the proposed rule for 10 CFR Part 26. We encourage you to take part in the rulemaking process by submitting your comments during the public comment period.

I again, want to thank you for meeting with us and sharing your insights on these matters. Should you have any further comments or questions regarding this matter, please contact Mr. Garmon West at (301) 415-0211.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Glenn M. Tracy, Director Division of Nuclear Security Office of Nuclear Security and Incident Response Danielle Brian, Executive Director Project on Government Oversight 666 11th Street, NW, Suite 500 Washington, DC 20001-4542

Dear Ms. Brian:

Thank you and Mr. Stockton for meeting with the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff on July 11, 2005, to discuss your letter to the Chairman dated June 10, 2005, expressing your thoughts and concerns regarding issues pertaining to work hour limits for security force personnel at nuclear power plants (ADAMS Accession No. ML0517201851). The NRC staff believes this was a beneficial meeting to discuss your concerns and answer your questions. During this meeting we reviewed portions of the Fatigue Order (EA-03-038) to address specific concerns in your letter.

As a followup to our meeting, NRC staff spoke directly with the contact you mentioned, and determined that his view of the current situation was favorable. The contact stated that he believes there has been a significant change in fatigue issues and improvements have created a better work environment. We will continue to monitor these issues at all plants through our baseline inspection process.

In addition, we also discussed some differences between the current Fatigue Order and the proposed rule for 10 CFR Part 26. We encourage you to take part in the rulemaking process by submitting your comments during the public comment period.

I again, want to thank you for meeting with us and sharing your insights on these matters. Should you have any further comments or questions regarding this matter, please contact Mr. Garmon West at (301) 415-0211.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Glenn M. Tracy, Director Division of Nuclear Security Office of Nuclear Security and Incident Response

*see previous concurrence

DISTRIBUTION:

DNS r/f

SISP Review Completed by: Garmon West , 8/22/05

ACCESSION NO.: ML052060230 TEMPLATE NO.: NSIR-002 9 Non-Public : Public 9 Sensitive : Non-Sensitive

| OFFICE | DNS:LPSS | N | DNS:LPSS | N | DNS | С | DNS | |
|--------|-------------|---|-----------|---|----------|---|-----------|--|
| NAME | ESkarpac:ml | | GWest | | VOrdaz | | GTracy | |
| DATE | 7/ 21/05 | | 7/ 22 /05 | | 7/29 /05 | | 8/ 25 /05 | |