

From: E. Jacobs-Baynard
To: Tammy Croote
Date: 4/25/05 3:58PM
Subject: Response: Re: FY05 final fee rule

Tammy:

NMSS concurs on the FY 2005 Final License Fee Rule, with the suggested changes attached. Let me know if you have any questions.

Liz

>>> Tammy Croote 04/14/05 03:38PM >>>

Attached is the FY05 final fee rule for office review and concurrence. To assist in your review, also attached is (1) a summary of the changes in the FY05 final rule (including changes since the FY05 proposed fee rule) with reference to the rule pages that discuss these changes, (2) a table with FY05 final annual fees, compared to FY05 proposed and FY04 final annual fees.

We are requesting concurrences from the following offices by COB Friday, April 22: NRR, NMSS, NSIR, RES, OIP, OCIO, OSTP, ADM, and OGC. We are requesting EDO concurrence on Tuesday, April 26 (subsequent to OCFO/DFM concurrence to be received on April 22). For program offices, please notify both Connie Schum and myself when you have concurred. I will send a hard copy of the rule to office directors and EDO.

Please let me know if you have any questions or comments. Thanks for your help in reviewing.

Tammy

CC: Claudia Seelig; Constance Schum; Doug Broaddus; E. William Brach; Jack Strosnider; Joseph Holonich; Margaret Federline; Mary Rodgers; Michael Layton; Renu Suri; Robert Carlson; William Dean

Mail Envelope Properties (426D4BE3.64C : 0 : 19125)

Subject: Response: Re: FY05 final fee rule
Creation Date: 4/25/05 3:58PM
From: E. Jacobs-Baynard

Created By: EJB2@nrc.gov

Recipients

nrc.gov

owf5_po.OWFN_DO
 CCS CC (Constance Schum)
 WMD CC (William Dean)

nrc.gov

twf2_po.TWFN_DO
 JJH1 CC (Joseph Holonich)
 MCL CC (Michael Layton)

nrc.gov

twf4_po.TWFN_DO
 CAS CC (Claudia Seelig)
 DAB CC (Doug Broaddus)
 EWB CC (E. William Brach)
 MLR CC (Mary Rodgers)

nrc.gov

twf5_po.TWFN_DO
 JRS2 CC (Jack Strosnider)
 MVF CC (Margaret Federline)
 RDC CC (Robert Carlson)
 RXS6 CC (Renu Suri)
 TXC1 (Tammy Croote)

Post Office

owf5_po.OWFN_DO
 twf2_po.TWFN_DO
 twf4_po.TWFN_DO
 twf5_po.TWFN_DO

Route

nrc.gov
 nrc.gov
 nrc.gov
 nrc.gov

Files

MESSAGE 1878
 FY 2005 FINAL License Fee Rule.wpd

Date & Time

04/25/05 03:58PM
 10038 04/25/05 03:49PM

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

NMSS Suggested Comments on Final FY 2005 License Fee Rule

Page 5

- second paragraph, third line ...budget to the generic assessment under part 171, while only 28 percent is recovered... On page 7, last line, ...hourly rates will increase fee recovery under part 170 from approximately 29 percent... The recommendation is to make the percentages the same number—whichever is more accurate.

Page 22

- A commenter stated that NRC's fees should reflect efficiencies and made suggestions for efficiencies, different uses of program activities. NMSS believes the comment warrants a more direct response acknowledging our current efforts, and would recommend inclusion of the following sentence at the beginning of the response. "NRC appreciates the importance of identifying, and implementing process efficiencies on an ongoing basis. Every year, NRC offices conduct process reviews, and rely on risk-informed practices to develop the most cost-efficient budgets, that will allow them to achieve Strategic Plan mission objectives. Nonetheless, the NRC's budget..." Also, NMSS would like to review, for future consideration, any specific recommendations made by this commenter that pertained to the materials program.

Page 54

- second paragraph, seventh line, ...fee will decrease for these licensees due to a reduction in budgeted resources for this license fee class compared to FY 2004.

Page 55

- second paragraph, seventh line, ...fee for the operating rare earth facility will decrease due to a slight decrease in generic activities performed for this license fee class compared to FY 2004.

Page 56

- second full paragraph, last line. ...of NRC human medical use licenses.