

0-1 Comments
on FYOS Final
see rule

Dennis Sollenberger 4/19/05

page 4 IOAA "Special" benefits Is it "specific"?

page 11, take out "to" on the last line of the 1st ¶

✓ page 12, Response 3rd line extra space

✓ page 13, 5th line extra space before incitation

page 15, no discussion of Utah becoming Agreement state, that affected the 2005 fee in the Response. (4-Part 170 2-Part 171)
 (decrease in license)
make change in 171

page 16, 1st paragraph also does not discuss Utah becoming Agreement state

✓ page 23, Response add a , , take out a space

✓ page 41 2nd Break out the comparison of proposed to Final from the comparison of FY05 to FY04. See the last sentence

"Within ... earth miles" ¶ needs to be closer to the comparison of FY05 to FY04

✓ Page 42 Table III mixing of class/categories may be confusing to reader think it's OK

✓ page 50 3rd line add be after "wall" 2 places

page 50 mention reduced # of licenses due to Utah becoming part of Agreement State in the 1st ¶ as a reason for the increase
(175)

pp. 60-61 the paragraph is not clear as to what it is saying. Beginning with "Additionally..." Category think it's OK

✓ p. 89 18 B. Uranium Mill Tailings Radiation Act

✓ p. 114 18 B. "does not match above" but it is correct with the addition of s to "Tailings"