

July 8, 2005

Mr. Ronnie L. Gardner
Manager, Site Operations
and Regulatory Affairs
Framatome ANP
3315 Old Forest Road
Lynchburg, VA 24501

SUBJECT: DRAFT SAFETY EVALUATION FOR FRAMATOME ANP TOPICAL REPORT
(TR) BAW-10179(P), REVISION 6, "SAFETY CRITERIA AND METHODOLOGY
FOR ACCEPTABLE CYCLE RELOAD ANALYSES" (TAC NO. MC6394)

Dear Mr. Gardner:

On March 15, 2005, Framatome ANP (FANP) submitted BAW-10179(P), Revision 6, "Safety Criteria and Methodology for Acceptable Cycle Reload Analyses" to the staff for review. Enclosed for FANP's review and comment is a copy of the staff's draft safety evaluation (SE) for the TR.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 2.390, we have determined that the enclosed draft SE does not contain proprietary information. However, we will delay placing the draft SE in the public document room for a period of ten working days from the date of this letter to provide you with the opportunity to comment on the proprietary aspects. If you believe that any information in the enclosure is proprietary, please identify such information line-by-line and define the basis pursuant to the criteria of 10 CFR 2.390. After ten working days, the draft SE will be made publicly available, and an additional ten working days are provided to you to comment on any factual errors or clarity concerns contained in the SE. The final SE will be issued after making any necessary changes and will be made publicly available. The staff's disposition of your comments on the draft SE will be discussed in the final SE.

To facilitate the staff's review of your comments, please provide a marked-up copy of the draft SE showing proposed changes and provide a summary table of the proposed changes.

If you have any questions, please contact Michelle C. Honcharik at 301-415-1774.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Robert A. Gramm, Chief, Section 2
Project Directorate IV
Division of Licensing Project Management
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Project No. 728

Enclosure: Draft Safety Evaluation

cc w/encl: See next page

July 8, 2005

Mr. Ronnie L. Gardner
Manager, Site Operations
and Regulatory Affairs
Framatome ANP
3315 Old Forest Road
Lynchburg, VA 24501

SUBJECT: DRAFT SAFETY EVALUATION FOR FRAMATOME ANP TOPICAL REPORT (TR)
BAW-10179(P), REVISION 6, "SAFETY CRITERIA AND METHODOLOGY FOR
ACCEPTABLE CYCLE RELOAD ANALYSES" (TAC NO. MC6394)

Dear Mr. Gardner:

On March 15, 2005, Framatome ANP (FANP) submitted BAW-10179(P), Revision 6, "Safety Criteria and Methodology for Acceptable Cycle Reload Analyses" to the staff for review. Enclosed for FANP's review and comment is a copy of the staff's draft safety evaluation (SE) for the TR.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 2.390, we have determined that the enclosed draft SE does not contain proprietary information. However, we will delay placing the draft SE in the public document room for a period of ten working days from the date of this letter to provide you with the opportunity to comment on the proprietary aspects. If you believe that any information in the enclosure is proprietary, please identify such information line-by-line and define the basis pursuant to the criteria of 10 CFR 2.390. After ten working days, the draft SE will be made publicly available, and an additional ten working days are provided to you to comment on any factual errors or clarity concerns contained in the SE. The final SE will be issued after making any necessary changes and will be made publicly available. The staff's disposition of your comments on the draft SE will be discussed in the final SE.

To facilitate the staff's review of your comments, please provide a marked-up copy of the draft SE showing proposed changes and provide a summary table of the proposed changes.

If you have any questions, please contact Michelle C. Honcharik at 301-415-1774.

Sincerely,
/RA/

Robert A. Gramm, Chief, Section 2
Project Directorate IV
Division of Licensing Project Management
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Project No. 728

Enclosure: Draft Safety Evaluation

cc w/encl: See next page

DISTRIBUTION:

PUBLIC (No DPC for 10 working days)
PDIV-2 Reading
RidsNrrDlpmLpdiv (HBerkow)
RidsNrrDlpmLpdiv2 (RGramm)
RidsNrrPMMHoncharik
RidsNrrLALFeizollahi RidsNrrDlpmLpdiv1DTerao
RidsOgcRp RidsAcrsAcnwMailCenter

ADAMS Accession No.: ML051790231

NRR-043

OFFICE	PDIV-2/PM	PDIV-2/LA	SRXB	PDIV-2/SC	PDIV/D
NAME	MHoncharik	LFeizollahi	FAkstulewicz	RGramm	HBerkow
DATE	7/8/05	7/8/05	7/8/05	7/8/05	7/8/05

DOCUMENT NAME: E:\Filenet\ML051790231.wpd

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

DRAFT SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION

BAW-10179(P), REVISION 6, "SAFETY CRITERIA AND METHODOLOGY FOR

ACCEPTABLE CYCLE RELOAD ANALYSES"

FRAMATOME ANP

PROJECT NO. 728

1.0 INTRODUCTION

By letter dated March 15, 2005 (ADAMS Accession No. ML051660522), Framatome ANP (FANP) submitted Topical Report (TR) BAW-10179(P), Revision 6, "Safety Criteria and Methodology for Acceptable Cycle Reload Analysis" for NRC staff review. BAW-10179(P) provides the criteria and methodology for determining cycle-specific limits and setpoints that are included in the Core Operating Limits Reports (COLRs) for the Babcock and Wilcox (B&W) 177-fuel assembly class of nuclear power plants. The TR serves as the approved methodology that is referenced in the COLR portion of the Administrative Controls section of a plant's technical specifications.

2.0 REGULATORY EVALUATION

In Revision 6 to BAW-10179(P), FANP added one TR that have been approved by the NRC since Revision 5 of BAW-10179(P) was approved. The TR is briefly described in Appendix X, and the description includes the conditions and limitations on the applicability of the TR.

The following has been incorporated in BAW-10179P, Revision 6:

Appendix X: BAW-10241P-A, Revision 1, "BHTP DNB Correlation Applied With LYNXT," (upon approval).

The NRC staff reviewed the safety evaluation that was issued for the above TR, FANP's descriptions of the TR, and the limitations on the applicability to B&W 177-fuel assembly class of nuclear power plants. The NRC staff finds that the summary for the TR, including limitations on applicability to the B&W 177-fuel assembly class of nuclear power plants, is adequate.

3.0 CONCLUSION

The NRC staff concludes that BAW-10179(P), Revision 6, adds a TR that is approved for B&W 177-fuel assembly class of nuclear power plants, and that the summary of the TR is adequate. Therefore, Revision 6 may be referenced in a plant's technical specifications as the current approved version of BAW-10179(P).

Principal Contributor: M. Honcharik

Date: