L. M. Stinson (Mike) Vice President Southern Nuclear Operating Company, Inc. 40 Inverness Center Parkway Post Office Box 1295 Birmingham, Alabama 35201

Tel 205.992.5181 Fax 205.992.0341



June 23, 2005

NL-05-1061

Docket No.: 50-348

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Washington, D. C. 20555-0001

Joseph M. Farley Nuclear Plant – Unit 1 Licensee Event Report 2005-001-00 Technical Specification 3.3.2.C Violation due to Solid State Protection System Card Failure Troubleshooting

Ladies and Gentlemen:

Joseph M. Farley Nuclear Plant – Licensee Event Report (LER) No. 2005-001-00 is being submitted in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B).

This letter contains no NRC commitments. If you have any questions, please advise.

Sincerely,

L. M. Stinson

LMS/was/sdl

Enclosure: Licensee Event Report 2005-001-00

 cc: <u>Southern Nuclear Operating Company</u> Mr. J. T. Gasser, Executive Vice President
Mr. J. R. Johnson, General Manager – Plant Farley RTYPE: CFA04.054; LC# 14291

> <u>U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission</u> Dr. W. D. Travers, Regional Administrator Mr. R. E. Martin, NRR Project Manager – Farley Mr. C. A. Patterson, Senior Resident Inspector – Farley

JEZZ

| NRC FORM 366 U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION | | | | | | | | APPRO | VED BY OMB | : NO. 3150-01 | 04 | EXPIRES | 6: 06/30/2007 | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|---------------|--|--|
| (See reverse for required number of digits/characters for each block) | | | | | | | | Estimated burden per response to comply with this mandatory collection request: 50 hours. Reported lessons learned are incorporated into the licensing process and fed back to industry. Send comments regarding burden estimate to the Records and FOIA/Privacy Service Branch (T-5 F52), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, or by internet e-mail to Infocollects@nrc.gov, and to the Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, NEOB-10202, (3150-0104), Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503. If a means used to impose an information collection does not display a currently valid OMB control number, the NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, the information collection. | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. FACILITY NAME Joseph M. Farley Nuclear Plant – Unit 1 | | | | | | | 2. DOC 0 | кет NUMB 5000348 | ER | 3. PAGE 1 | OF | 4 | | | | | |
| 4. TITLE Technical Specification 3.3.2.C Violation due to Solid State Protection System Card Failure Troubleshooting | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5. E | VENT D | ATE | 6. 1 | LER NU | JMBER | 1 | 7. R | 7. REPORT DATE | | | 8. OTHER FACILITIES INVO | | | | LVED | | |
| MONTH | DAY | YEAR | YEAR | SEQUE NUM | ENTIAL BER | REV NO. | MONTH | DAY | YEAF | FACIL | ITY NAME | | | DOCKET | NUMBER | | |
| 04 | 28 | 2005 | 2005 | - 00 |)1 - | 00 | 06 | 23 | 200 | 5 FACIL | ITY NAME | | | DOCKET | NUMBER 000 | | |
| 9. OPERATING MODE 11. THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFRS: (Check all that apply) | | | | | | | | | | apply) | | | | | | | |
| 10. POW | 1 Ver Lev 100 | 'EL | 20.2201(b) 2 20.2201(d) 2 20.2203(a)(1) 2 20.2203(a)(2)(i) 5 20.2203(a)(2)(ii) 5 20.2203(a)(2)(iii) 5 20.2203(a)(2)(iii) 5 20.2203(a)(2)(iv) 5 20.2203(a)(2)(v) 5 20.2203(a)(2)(v) 5 20.2203(a)(2)(v) 5 20.2203(a)(2)(v) 5 | | | | □ 20.2203(a)(3)(i) □ 20.2203(a)(3)(ii) □ 20.2203(a)(4) □ 50.36(c)(1)(i)(A) □ 50.36(c)(1)(ii)(A) □ 50.36(c)(2) □ 50.46(a)(3)(ii) □ 50.73(a)(2)(i)(A) X 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B) | | | □ 50.73(a) □ 50.73(a) □ 50.73(a) □ 50.73(a) □ 50.73(a) □ 50.73(a) □ 50.73(a) □ 50.73(a) □ 50.73(a) □ 50.73(a) | (2)(i)(C) (2)(ii)(A) (2)(ii)(B) (2)(iii) (2)(iv)(A) (2)(v)(A) (2)(v)(A) (2)(v)(B) (2)(v)(C) (2)(v)(D) | □ 50. □ 50. □ 50. □ 50. □ 50. □ 73. □ 73. □ 73. □ 73. Spe or in | 73(a)(2)(vi 73(a)(2)(vi 73(a)(2)(vi 73(a)(2)(ix 73(a)(2)(ix 73(a)(2)(x) 71(a)(2)(x) 71(a)(5) 1ER 1ER NRC Form | i) ii)(A) ii)(B))(A) act below : 366A | | | |
| | | | | | | 1 | 2. LICENS | SEE CONI | FACT F | OR THIS | S LER | | | | | | |
| FACILITY NAME TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code) J. R. Johnson, General Manager Nuclear Plant 334-899-5156 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 13. COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CAUSE | | SYSTEM | СОМРО | OMPONENT MANU- REPORT | | TABLE | с | AUSE | SYSTEM | SYSTEM COMPONENT MANU- FACTUREF | | R REF | REPORTABLE TO EPIX | | | | |
| B JC RLY V | | W1 | 20 | Y | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 14 | . SUPPL | EMENT | TAL RE | POR | T EXPECT | ED | •••••• | | 15. E | XPECTED | MONTH | DAY | YEAR | | |
| | S (If yes | , complet | e 15. EX. | PECTE | D SUB | MISSI | ON DATE |) | x | NO | SUB | MISSION DATE | | | | | |
| ABSTRA | ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately 15 single-spaced typewritten lines) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

On May 4, 2005, with the unit operating at 100% power, it was determined that Unit 1 had operated in a condition prohibited by Technical Specification (TS) 3.3.2.C from 16:22 on April 28, 2005 until 03:41 on April 29, 2005 in that a Solid State Protection System (SSPS)[JC] logic circuit for Main Steam Line (MSL) differential pressure Safety Injection actuation had failed at 04:22 on April 28, 2005, and the failed logic train Limiting Condition for Operation (LCO) Required Action Statement (RAS) was not applied. At 04:22 on April 28, 2005, a trip status indicating light, main control board alarm, and associated computer alarm actuated. TS 3.3.2.D was entered based on a suspected failed channel. Historically, this symptom set has been due to a failed channel. Troubleshooting determined at 01:34 on April 29, 2005, that the failure was not a failed channel but a failed A Train Engineered Safety Features Actuation logic circuit. The failed circuit was repaired, tested, and returned to service at 03:41 on April 29, 2005.

The circuit failure event was caused by the failure of a new card installed in October 2004. The operation in a condition prohibited by TS was caused by the time required to troubleshoot the failure. The failed card has been returned to the vendor for analysis. Preplanned systematic diagnostic guidance will be developed and provided to planning personnel that will include lessons learned from this event by August 15, 2005.

NRC FORM 366A (7-2001)

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

| FACILITY NAME (1) | DOCKET (2) NUMBER | L | ER NUMBER (6) | PAGE (3) | | | |
|-----------------------------------------|----------------------|------|----------------------|--------------------|---|----|---|
| Joseph M. Farley Nuclear Plant Unit - 1 | 05000348 | YEAR | SEQUENTIAL NUMBER | REVISION NUMBER | 2 | OF | 4 |
| | | 2005 | - 001 - | 000 | _ | | • |

NARRATIVE (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

Westinghouse -- Pressurized Water Reactor Energy Industry Identification Codes are identified in the text as [XX]

Description of Event

On May 4, 2005, with the unit operating at 100% power, it was determined that Unit 1 had operated in a condition prohibited by TS 3.3.2.C from 16:22 on April 28, 2005 until 03:41 on April 29, 2005 in that a SSPS[JC] logic circuit for Main Steam Line (MSL) differential pressure Safety Injection actuation logic had failed at 04:22 on April 28, 2005, and the appropriate failed logic train LCO RAS was not applied until 01:34 on April 29, 2005.

At 04:22 on April 28, 2005, Main Control Board Annunciator 1B SG Steam Line High Delta P Alert and associated computer alarm came in and trip status indicating light PB485B2 was lit. Control Room personnel addressed all Annunciator Response Procedure (ARP) actions. TS 3.3.2.D was entered based on a suspected failed channel. Historically, this symptom set has been due to a failed channel. The RAS for TS 3.3.2.D for a failed process instrumentation channel requires the channel to be placed in trip condition within 6 hours. The channel was placed in trip at 08:54 on April 28, 2005. TS 3.3.2.C for inoperable Engineered Safety Feature Actuation System (ESFAS) logic was not applied at this time. This TS requires restoration to operability within 6 hours or unit shutdown within 12 hours.

Instrumentation and Controls (I&C) personnel subsequently determined that the channel bistable was functioning properly at 10:30 on April 28, 2005 and the channel was taken out of trip, but the annunciator, trip status indicating light, and computer point remained in the alarm condition. The work order was replanned to check the SSPS. A Multiplexer Test Switch was taken to the "A+B" position and the trip status light began flashing, indicating a potential problem either in one train of SSPS, the multiplexer, or an input relay. Operations directed Maintenance to place the channel back in trip at 15:18 on April 28, 2005 per TS 3.3.2.D.

Following additional planning and troubleshooting, the multiplexer and the input relays were verified to be functioning properly. This indicated the problem was in the SSPS logic circuits. At 01:34 on April 29, 2005, the RAS for TS 3.3.2.D was exited and the RAS for TS 3.3.2.C was entered.

The failed card was identified as a Universal Logic Board (ULB) in A Train SSPS. The failed card was replaced, SSPS surveillance testing was performed satisfactorily, and TS 3.3.2.C was exited at 03:41 on April 29, 2005.

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

| FACILITY NAME (1) | DOCKET (2) NUMBER | L | PAGE (3) | | | | |
|-----------------------------------------|----------------------|------|----------------------|--------------------|---|----|---|
| Ioseph M. Farley Nuclear Plant Unit - 1 | 05000348 | YEAR | SEQUENTIAL NUMBER | REVISION NUMBER | 3 | OF | 4 |
| | | 2005 | - 001 - | 000 | | | |

NARRATIVE (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

Cause of Event

The equipment failure described in this event was caused by a failed NAND gate on the affected Universal Logic Board. The degraded logic NAND gate caused the associated multiplexing NAND gate to be low, resulting in the annunciator, trip status indicating light, and computer alarm.

The failed card was a new card that had been installed in October 2004 as part of the plant preventive maintenance program. The card has been returned to the vendor for investigation of the cause of the failure.

An LER is required because of firm evidence that a condition existed for a time longer than permitted by Technical Specification 3.3.2.C, i.e. the A Train SSPS Steam Line Differential Pressure Safety Injection actuation logic had failed at 04:22 on April 28, 2005 and this failure was not identified until 01:34 on April 29, 2005.

The time required to identify the failed actuation logic was extended due to insufficient troubleshooting guidance or preplanned work sequences. Preplanned diagnostic steps, if carried out prior to placing the suspect channel in test, would have permitted earlier diagnosis and repair of the actual problem.

Safety Assessment

The health and safety of the public were unaffected by this event.

The B Train of SSPS and all equipment actuated by B Train SSPS remained operable throughout this event. Other than the one failed logic circuit, all actuation functions of A Train SSPS were unaffected by this event.

Since only one actuation signal within only one train of SSPS was affected, this event does not represent a Safety System Functional Failure.

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

| FACILITY NAME (1) | DOCKET (2) NUMBER | | PAGE (3) | | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|---------|----------------------|--------------------|---|----|----|
| Joseph M. Farley Nuclear Plant Unit - 1 | 05000348 | YEAR | SEQUENTIAL NUMBER | REVISION NUMBER | 4 | OF | 4 |
| Joseph M. Parley Ruclear Plant Onit - 1 | 00000040 | 2005 | - 001 - | 000 | | | -7 |
| NARRATIVE (If more space is required, use additional of | copies of NRC Form 366 | A) (17) | | | | | |

Corrective Action

The failed card was replaced and has been returned to the vendor for analysis.

Preplanned systematic diagnostic guidance will be developed and provided to planning personnel that will include lessons learned from this event by August 15, 2005.

Additional Information

The following LERs have been submitted in the past two years on Technical Specification violations:

LER 2003-002-00 Unit 1, Reactor Vessel Head Set in Place with Technical Specification 3.4.12 Not Met.

LER 2004-001-00 Unit 2, Technical Specification 3.7.8 Violation Due to Operation with One Train of Service Water Inoperable

LER 2004-002-00 Unit 2, Plant Entered Mode 3 with One Train of Component Cooling Water Inoperable

LER 2004-003-00 Technical Specification 3.0.4 Violation Due to Turbine Driven Auxiliary Feedwater Pump Inoperable.