

**From:** "Kozyra, Jan" <jan.kozyra@pgnmail.com>  
**To:** "Cristina Guerrero" <CXG3@nrc.gov>  
**Date:** Wed, May 4, 2005 1:25 PM  
**Subject:** Proposed Addendum to BSEP SAMA RAI 8 Response

I spoke with Rich Emch this morning. He asked that I send you the attached file, and ask you to forward it to Bob Palla for his comment. It is a proposed wording addendum to the BSEP SAMA RAI 8 response. Thanks.

<<sama 8 additional.doc>>

Jan S. Kozyra  
Licensing Lead  
Progress Energy - License Renewal  
843-857-1872  
jan.kozyra@pgnmail.com

**CC:** "Richard Emch" <RLE@nrc.gov>

**Mail Envelope Properties (42790573.595 : 8 : 50581)**

**Subject:** Proposed Addendum to BSEP SAMA RAI 8 Response  
**Creation Date:** Wed, May 4, 2005 1:24 PM  
**From:** "Kozyra, Jan" <jan.kozyra@pgnmail.com>

**Created By:** jan.kozyra@pgnmail.com

**Recipients**

nrc.gov  
OWGWPO02.HQGWDO01  
RLE CC (Richard Emch)

nrc.gov  
owf4\_po.OWFN\_DO  
CXG3 (Cristina Guerrero)

**Post Office**

OWGWPO02.HQGWDO01  
owf4\_po.OWFN\_DO

**Route**

nrc.gov  
nrc.gov

**Files**

MESSAGE  
TEXT.htm  
sama 8 additional.doc  
Mime.822

**Size**

345  
1036  
28672  
1

**Date & Time**

Wednesday, May 4, 2005 1:24 PM

**Options**

**Expiration Date:** None  
**Priority:** Standard  
**Reply Requested:** No  
**Return Notification:** None

**Concealed Subject:** No  
**Security:** Standard

## DRAFT

Progress Energy Carolinas (PEC) letter dated April 21, 2005, Serial: BSEP 05-0051, "Response to Request for Additional Information – License Renewal," provides information about PEC plans to further evaluate SAMAs that are potentially cost-beneficial. The letter states in the response to RAI SAMA 1-8 that an assessment will be performed to make recommendations based upon further evaluations of the potentially cost-beneficial SAMAs. However, the assessment would focus on Phase II SAMA 1, and those (baseline case) SAMAs (15, 25, and 29) that remain cost-beneficial if SAMA 1 were implemented.

In discussions with the NRC, it was noted that SAMAs other than those in the baseline case may become cost-beneficial when uncertainties are considered. Specifically, these are SAMAs 6, 11, 13, 16, 18, 21, 30, 31, and 32. PEC will include these SAMAs in the assessment that will make recommendations for the further evaluations of SAMAs. Completion of the evaluations is being tracked in the BSEP action tracking system. Decisions about possible implementation of the SAMAs will not be made upon probabilistic risk benefits and cost alone. Such decisions will also involve, in part, engineering judgment, and management judgment regarding operational and administrative burdens, practicality, and best use of scarce capital resources, etc.