

From: "Kozyra, Jan" <jan.kozyra@pgnmail.com>
To: "Richard Emch" <RLE@nrc.gov>
Date: Mon, May 16, 2005 10:52 AM
Subject: SAMA 8 RAI Supp. Info

The attached will be used as the content of letter providing supplemental information regarding our response to BSEP SAMA RAI 8.

<<sama 8 additional.doc>>

Mail Envelope Properties (4288B391.070 : 21 : 53360)

Subject: SAMA 8 RAI Supp. Info
Creation Date: Mon, May 16, 2005 10:51 AM
From: "Kozyra, Jan" <jan.kozyra@pgnmail.com>

Created By: jan.kozyra@pgnmail.com

Recipients

nrc.gov

OWGWPO02.HQGWDO01

RLE (Richard Emch)

Post Office

OWGWPO02.HQGWDO01

Route

nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	159	Monday, May 16, 2005 10:51 AM
TEXT.htm	599	
sama 8 additional.doc	28160	
Mime.822	1	

Options

Expiration Date: None
Priority: Standard
Reply Requested: No
Return Notification: None

Concealed Subject: No
Security: Standard

DRAFT

Progress Energy Carolinas (PEC) letter dated April 21, 2005, Serial: BSEP 05-0051, "Response to Request for Additional Information – License Renewal," provides information about PEC plans to further evaluate SAMAs that are potentially cost-beneficial. The letter states in the response to RAI SAMA 1-8 that an assessment will be performed to make recommendations based upon further evaluations of the potentially cost-beneficial SAMAs. However, the assessment would focus on Phase II SAMA 1, and those (baseline case) SAMAs (15, 25, and 29) that remain cost-beneficial if SAMA 1 were implemented.

In discussions with the NRC, it was noted that SAMAs other than those in the baseline case may become cost-beneficial when uncertainties are considered. Specifically, these are SAMAs 6, 16, 17, 18, 30, 31, 32, and 34. PEC will include these SAMAs in the assessment that will make recommendations for the further evaluations of SAMAs. Completion of the evaluations is being tracked in the BSEP action tracking system. Decisions about possible implementation of the SAMAs will not be made upon probabilistic risk benefits and cost alone. Such decisions will also involve, in part, engineering judgment, and management judgment regarding operational and administrative burdens, practicality, and best use of scarce capital resources, etc.