

June 10, 2005

Mr. James A. Gresham, Manager
Regulatory Compliance and Plant Licensing
Westinghouse Electric Company
P.O. Box 355
Pittsburgh, PA 15230-0355

SUBJECT: DRAFT SAFETY EVALUATION FOR TOPICAL REPORT (TR) WCAP-16260-P,
"THE SPATIAL CORRECTED INVERSE COUNT RATE (SCICR) METHOD FOR
SUBCRITICAL REACTIVITY MEASUREMENT" (TAC NO. MC3065)

Dear Mr. Gresham:

On April 30, 2004, as supplemented by letters dated January 20, March 28, and April 18, 2005, Westinghouse Electric Company (Westinghouse) submitted WCAP-16260-P, "The Spatial Corrected Inverse Count Rate (SCICR) Method for Subcritical Reactivity Measurement," to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff for review. Enclosed for Westinghouse review and comment is a copy of the NRC staff's draft safety evaluation (SE) for the TR.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 2.390, we have determined that the enclosed draft SE does not contain proprietary information. However, we will delay placing the draft SE in the public document room for a period of ten working days from the date of this letter to provide you with the opportunity to comment on the proprietary aspects. If you believe that any information in the enclosure is proprietary, please identify such information line-by-line and define the basis pursuant to the criteria of 10 CFR 2.390. After ten working days, the draft SE will be made publicly available, and an additional ten working days are provided to you to comment on any factual errors or clarity concerns contained in the SE. The final SE will be issued after making any necessary changes and will be made publicly available. The staff's disposition of your comments on the draft SE will be discussed in the final SE.

To facilitate the staff's review of your comments, please provide a marked-up copy of the draft SE showing proposed changes and provide a summary table of the proposed changes.

J. Gresham

-2-

If you have any questions, please contact Brian Benney at 301-415-3764.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Robert A. Gramm, Chief, Section 2
Project Directorate IV
Division of Licensing Project Management
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Project No. 700

Enclosure: Draft Safety Evaluation

cc w/encl:

Mr. Gordon Bischoff, Manager
Owners Group Program Management Office
Westinghouse Electric Company
P.O. Box 355
Pittsburgh, PA 15230-0355

J. Gresham

-2-

If you have any questions, please contact Brian Benney at 301-415-3764.

Sincerely,
/RA/
Robert A. Gramm, Chief, Section 2
Project Directorate IV
Division of Licensing Project Management
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Project No. 700

Enclosure: Draft Safety Evaluation

cc w/encl:
Mr. Gordon Bischoff, Manager
Owners Group Program Management Office
Westinghouse Electric Company
P.O. Box 355
Pittsburgh, PA 15230-0355

DISTRIBUTION:
PUBLIC (No DPC for 10 working days)
PDIV-2 Reading
RidsNrrDlpmLpdiv (HBerkow)
RidsNrrDlpmLpdiv2 (RGramm)
RidsNrrPMBBenney
RidsNrrLALFeizollahi
RidsOgcRp
RidsAcrsAcnwMailCenter
FAkstulewicz
AAttard
EKendrick

ADAMS Accession No.: ML051670425

***SE input**

NRR-106

OFFICE	PDIV-2/PM	PDIV-2/LA	SRXB/SC*	PDIV-2/SC	PDIV/D
NAME	BBenney	LFeizollahi	FAkstulewicz	RGramm	HBerkow
DATE	6/7/05	6/6/05	5/20/2005	6/8/05	6/10/05

DOCUMENT NAME: E:\Filenet\ML051670425.wpd

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

DRAFT SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION

TOPICAL REPORT WCAP-16260-P, REVISION 0,

"THE SPATIALLY CORRECTED INVERSE COUNT RATE (SCICR) METHOD FOR
SUBCRITICAL REACTIVITY MEASUREMENT"

WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC COMPANY

PROJECT NO. 700

1 1.0 INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND
2

3 By letter dated April 30, 2004, (Reference 1) as supplemented by letters dated January 20
4 (Reference 2), March 28 (Reference 3), and April 18, 2005 (Reference 4), Westinghouse
5 Electric Company (Westinghouse) submitted WCAP-16260-P, "The Spatial Corrected Inverse
6 Count Rate (SCICR) Method for Subcritical Reactivity Measurement," to the Nuclear Regulatory
7 Commission (NRC) for review and approval.
8

9 This report describes the SCICR methodology for subcritical reactivity measurement, which
10 determines the negative reactivity of a core for any static subcritical core condition and control
11 rod configuration using a combination of ex-core detector signal measurements and advanced
12 subcritical core condition prediction methods.
13

14 The theory of the method is to use the ALPHA, PHOENIX, ANC (APA) (Reference 5 and 6),
15 core-design code system to calculate three-dimensional spatial correction factors for the
16 measured inverse count rate ratio (ICRR) data such that the corrected ICRR becomes linear as
17 a function of the magnitude of the core subcriticality.
18

19 The specific steps of applying this methodology are prescribed to process the ICRR data that
20 are routinely available in the normal process of operating a subcritical core. The outcome of
21 this is a linearly regressed line of corrected ICRR versus core subcriticality, which can be used
22 to determine the corresponding core negative reactivity for any measured and corrected ICRR.
23

24 Data of thirteen operating cycles from eight plants have been analyzed to demonstrate and
25 qualify the SCICR methodology. The database covers pressurized-water reactor (PWR) cores
26 of Westinghouse Type 2-loop, 3-loop, and 4-loop and Combustion Engineering (CE)
27 217-assembly Type. Six of the eight plants have and two do not have a secondary source. All
28 the data show good results, aimed at confirming the applicability of SCICR methodology to real
29 measurements.
30

31 In support of affirming that the SCICR methodology is working appropriately, Westinghouse
32 conducted sensitivity analyses using a three-dimensional (3-D) core simulation to assess if the
33 dependency of SCICR on the spatial correction factors could have any appreciable

1 non-conservative impact on the SCICR results in the sense of "masking" the discrepancy
2 between measurement and prediction. SCICR is a methodology to measure the Total Rod
3 Worth of the Control and Shutdown Banks at subcritical conditions using ex-core neutron
4 detector measurements provided by the plant Nuclear Instrumentation System (NIS) at
5 subcritical reactor conditions. This methodology is used to verify the core is constructed and
6 operates as the core designers intended.

7
8 In Mode 3, a subcritical rod worth measurement data acquisition system will be connected to
9 the installed plant NIS. Baseline SCICR data will be collected at the reactor conditions that
10 exist at the time, with all control (or regulating) and shutdown banks inserted. NIS signals, and
11 other core condition parameters, are measured as the control rods are being withdrawn.

12
13 The predicted subcritical neutron source distribution is generated for the core conditions
14 present at each point where NIS data is collected. The SCICR methodology is used to remove
15 the spatial effects that introduce non-linearity in the ICRR determined from the measured NIS
16 data. The rod worth of the banks can then be measured from the changes in the spatially
17 corrected measured linear ICRR relationship. Deviations between the intended core behavior
18 and the actual core behavior can be identified from the linearity of the spatially corrected ICRR
19 relationship.

20
21 SCICR provides for a continuous on-line monitoring of changes to K-effective once the
22 subcritical baseline conditions for the reactor are modeled and a baseline K-effective has been
23 calculated. The on-line monitoring system will track changes from the baseline conditions, and
24 by applying the SCICR methodology, will trend the changes in K-effective of the reactor. As
25 part of an on-line continuous monitoring system, changes in K-effective will be available to Plant
26 Operations personnel for information and action as required.

27
28 The SCICR methodology, when applied and used in conjunction with updated reactor condition
29 information, can provide continuous estimated critical positions and estimated critical boron
30 projections based on the current measured K-effective value. The continuous online availability
31 of critical condition information will assist the operators in performing a safe and controlled
32 startup.

33 34 2.0 REGULATORY EVALUATION

35
36 Section 50.34 of Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR), "Contents of
37 applications; technical Information," requires that safety analysis reports be submitted that
38 analyze the design and performance of structures, systems, and components provided for the
39 prevention of accidents and the mitigation of the consequences of accidents. As part of the
40 core-reload-design process, licensees (or vendors) perform reload safety evaluations to ensure
41 that their safety analyses remain bounding for the design cycle. To confirm that the analyses
42 remain bounding, licensees confirm that key inputs to the safety analyses (such as the critical
43 power ratio) are conservative with respect to the current design cycle. If key safety analysis
44 parameters are not bounded, a reanalysis or reevaluation of the affected transients or accidents
45 is performed to ensure that the applicable acceptance criteria are satisfied.

46
47 TR WCAP-16260-P, Revision 0, describes the vendor's methodology for implementing a
48 spatially corrected factor-to-detector signal measurement. The NRC staff review of

1 WCAP-16260-P, focused on methodology for determining and obtaining the 3-D spatial factors.
2 Specifically, the staff review focused on the fundamental physics and mathematics as well as
3 the implementation of the methodology to Westinghouse and CE plants. Therefore, the staff
4 review was based on the evaluation of technical merit and compliance of the revisions with any
5 applicable regulations.

6 7 3.0 TECHNICAL EVALUATION

8 9 3.1 The Theory Behind the Spatially Corrected Inverse Count Rate

10
11 As stated in the introduction above, WCAP-16260-P describes and applies the methodology of
12 SCICR for subcritical reactivity measurement, which determines the negative reactivity of a core
13 for any static subcritical core condition and control rod configuration using a combination of
14 ex-core detector signal measurements and advanced subcritical core condition prediction
15 methods.

16
17 Spatial correction factors obtained from 3-D neutronic and thermal-hydraulic code combinations
18 are applied to the detector signal measurements such that the inverse of the corrected signal
19 has a linear relationship with respect to the magnitude of subcriticality of a core. This linear
20 relationship can be used to measure the negative reactivity of the subcritical core
21 corresponding to any given spatially corrected ex-core detector signal measurement.

22
23 Data from thirteen operating cycles obtained from eight different operating plants have been
24 analyzed by Westinghouse to demonstrate and qualify the SCICR methodology. Sensitivity
25 analyses using 3-D core simulations were performed to determine if the dependency of SCICR
26 on the spatial correction factors (SCF) could have any appreciable masking (biasing) effect on
27 the SCICR results.

28
29 The SCICR methodology will be applied to measure the negative reactivity of any subcritical
30 static core condition with any possible control rod configuration. The SCICR methodology can
31 also be used to measure the core shutdown margin, the total rod worth, and forecast the
32 combination of rod configuration and/or reactor boron concentration that will result in criticality.

33
34 The SCICR method can also be used to accurately measure small variations in reactivity
35 changes, caused by such things as reactor temperature changes, when the core is nearly
36 critical and the ex-core detector signal levels are high and not subject to poor signal-to-noise
37 characteristics.

38 39 3.2 Core Physics

40
41 The existence of neutron flux in a subcritical core is maintained by the extraneous neutron
42 sources in the core, which consist of the implanted primary or secondary neutron source and
43 the spontaneous fission source due to certain isotopes that are generated in the process of fuel
44 burn-up. For a point core model, the inverse of the core flux level varies linearly with the
45 magnitude of subcriticality of the core. This linear relationship is the basis of the ICRR method
46 that has been used by reactor operators to help estimate the onset of criticality in a subcritical

1 core. In this simple point core model, the negative reactivity of a subcritical core can be readily
2 determined if the core flux level is known. Except for the case when the reactor is very close to
3 criticality, the ICRR behavior is radically non-linear and irregular.
4

5 Information about this complicated relationship is not provided by typical core-design codes.
6 Currently, the lack of a method to determine the expected subcritical core ICRR behavior that
7 accounts for the influences of the changes in the spatial distributions of the extraneous neutron
8 sources prevents the proper interpretation of the measured changes in the ex-core detector
9 responses. Consequently, it has not been possible to measure the reactivity of a subcritical
10 commercial core.
11

12 The modern core-design code system, such as the Westinghouse neutronic codes SPNOVA
13 and the APA suite, can calculate the extraneous neutron source distribution in a core and
14 perform subcritical diffusion calculations in the presence of extraneous neutron sources, and
15 also simulate the corresponding ex-core detector signals. With this capability, spatial correction
16 factors can be determined and applied to the measured detector signals such that the spatially
17 corrected ICRR does vary linearly with the magnitude of the core subcriticality. Consequently it
18 becomes possible to determine the negative reactivity of a subcritical core from spatially
19 corrected measured detector signals.
20

21 The application of the SCICR methodology is immediately feasible without the need of any
22 ex-core detector hardware changes or any methodology modification. For example: (1) The
23 SCICR methodology can be used to measure reactivity changes of a subcritical core due to
24 programmed core condition changes. Thus, one is able to verify the consistency of the
25 operating core with the predicted core-design model. An example of this is the reactivity
26 change due to rod movement or temperature change. (2) The SCICR methodology can be
27 used to continuously provide accurate forecasts of the combination of temperature, boron
28 concentration, and control rod position needed for criticality using subcritical condition
29 measurements. The improved knowledge of the reactor critical conditions will help speed up
30 the process of approaching criticality. (3) The SCICR methodology can be applied to
31 periodically measure and verify the core shutdown margin that is predicted by core-design and
32 assumed in the safety analysis. (4) The SCICR methodology, when incorporated in a core
33 monitoring system, can be used for on-line continuous monitoring of the negative reactivity of a
34 subcritical core.
35

36 3.3 Methodology 37

38 The methodology of implementing the theory outlined in Section 3.2, to carry out the SCICR
39 process, is provided in chapter 3 of Reference 1. The process is typical of that followed for the
40 current inverse count rate measurement. The difference is that, for the SCICR, additional steps
41 are needed to account for the selection of the chosen states (i.e., Rods In or Rods Out, the
42 inclusion of boron, etc.) and accessing of the APA code system to perform the
43 3-D simulations, capturing the spacial effects and disposition of the core. Westinghouse will
44 develop plant-specific procedures consistent with the documentation contained in this safety
45 evaluation.
46

1 If the core in question is equipped with the Westinghouse BEACON continuous monitoring
2 system, the process will be much faster since the APA code system is an inherent part of the
3 BEACON system.

4 4.0 DEMONSTRATION OF THE SCICR METHODOLOGY USING PLANT DATA

5
6
7 To demonstrate and qualify SCICR, Westinghouse analyzed data from thirteen operating cycles
8 from eight different plants. The core geometry selected is intended to cover the Westinghouse
9 Type 2-loop, 3-loop and 4-loop PWR cores and the CE Type 217-assembly PWR core. Six of
10 the plants (eleven cycles) contain secondary sources, while the other two plants (two cycles) do
11 not. Data from cores without a secondary source or from cores with very deep subcriticality
12 have lower detector signals, and hence provide a more severe test of the SCICR method.
13

14 Specifically, Westinghouse analyzed plant data from eleven cycles of six different plants that
15 have cores containing secondary sources. The six plants are 2-loop, 3-loop and 4-loop type
16 PWRs built by Mitsubishi Heavy Industries (MHI) and Westinghouse. Westinghouse
17 categorized the data available from these plants into three categories. Namely,
18

- 19 a) cores with both rod pull and dilution data available,
- 20 b) cores with only rod pull data available, and
- 21 c) cores with both rod pull and rod drop data available.

22
23 Typically, these categories cover the normal process of core startup; i.e., rod pull followed by
24 boron dilution. The data is from eight cycles of four MHI PWR plants; two cycles of one 2-loop
25 plant (Cycles 8 and 9 of Plant 1), three cycles of one 3-loop plant (Cycles 4 to 6 of Plant 2), and
26 three cycles of two 4-loop plants (Cycles 3 and 5 of Plant 3, and Cycle 8 of Plant 4). All of the
27 data stops at the condition of all control banks in, but shutdown banks being out, except for
28 Cycle 8 of Plant 4, which does cover all the rods-in condition. The cores are very heavily
29 borated such that some of the data cover deeply subcritical conditions. In particular, for two
30 cycles of the 3-loop plant the core is more than 10 percent subcritical. The detector signals
31 become very low at these deeply subcritical conditions. The results of SCICR analyses for
32 these eight cycles' data are presented in Figures 4-1 to 4-8 of Reference 1. The Figures
33 referenced indicate that the original ICRR data, before the spatial correction, show very strong
34 non-linear behavior versus the core subcriticality. After spatial corrections, all the data line up
35 linearly as the theory of SCICR predicts.
36

37 The SCICR method can determine the state of a subcritical reactor, as well as measure the
38 total rod worth. For individual bank worth measurements, where the reactivity changes are
39 much smaller, the signal measurement statistics are not, in general, stable enough to
40 demonstrate generically accurate results. Ex-core detector signals obtained from near critical
41 conditions, where the signals are high, result in accurate individual control bank worth
42 measurements. This means that when applying the SCICR method to measure smaller
43 reactivity changes, such as temperature reactivity coefficients or individual bank worth
44 measurements, either special care must be taken to ensure the ex-core detector response data
45 are collected properly, or the measurements should be carried out closer to core criticality.

1 5.0 STATISTICAL AND SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS ASSOCIATED WITH THE SCICR
2 METHODOLOGY
3

4 The SCICR method was developed to enhance the conventional method for estimating core
5 subcriticality. SCICR is built around a system of measurements that account for all available
6 parameters, thus yielding a more accurate and more precise state of core subcriticality. As the
7 graphs in Reference 1 clearly show, the accuracy increases as the subcriticality increases
8 (criticality decreasing) where SCICR's deviation from the conventional method is very
9 substantial.

10
11 SCICR's applicability is demonstrated in a variety of plants, cycles, and other parameters. The
12 main statistical objective of this study is to assure that SCICR provides an unbiased (or of small
13 bias) estimate of subcriticality. To that end, the ratio of the mean deviation (MD) to the root
14 mean square is constructed, as given at the bottom of Page 3 of Reference 3:
15

16

$$\frac{\text{MD}}{\text{RMS}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^C \frac{(K_i^M - K_i^P)}{C}}{\left[\sum_{i=1}^C \frac{(K_i^M - K_i^P)^2}{C} \right]^{1/2}}$$

17
18 Where C represents the number of configurations used to generate the total bank worth
19 measurements. K_i^M and K_i^P represent the measured and the predicted K_{eff} at state point
20 configuration I, respectively.
21

22 The numerator of mean deviation/root mean square (MD/RMS) measures the average deviation
23 between the predicted and the (actual) measured reactivity, K_{eff} . A large numerator (relative to
24 the denominator) reflects a large bias. The denominator is a measure of total variability, due to
25 both bias and random fluctuation associated with such deviations.
26

27 Unfortunately, the distribution of MD/RMS is not known. Thus, the percentiles of the distribution
28 cannot be ascertained. Westinghouse addresses this problem by recognizing that MD/RMS is
29 similar, in structure, to the standard normal variable, commonly denoted as "Z." Using a
30 standard normal table, one finds that the probability that Z lies between - 3 and +3 at least 0.95
31 (0.9975, to be more precise).
32

33 The statistic MD/RMS can be shown not to be smaller than -1.00 or larger than +1.00, hence,
34 equating 1.00 to 3 sigma, suggests that sigma is near 0.333. The Westinghouse staff agreed
35 to the NRC staff suggestion that the critical point for testing for bias be set at 0.30. Indeed, all
36 runs where no bias was present, MD/RMS fell well below the 0.30 threshold. Conversely, in
37 every case where a bias was present (introduced deliberately), the corresponding MD/RMS was
38 considerably above the 0.30 mark.

1 The data collection for this study was guided by the chi-square criterion with N-1 degrees of

2 freedom. This criterion calculated as $\chi^2_{N-1} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{(x_i - \bar{x})^2}{N-1}}{\bar{x}}$, where $\bar{x} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N x_i$ and x_i is the

3 measured source range, based on a one-second count rate, and N is the total number of counts.
4 If the calculated chi-square statistic is not within the 5 percent and 95 percent table values for
5 one degree of freedom, the data set is not included in the study as "unrepresentative." In
6 summary, the staff does not have any issues with the statistics that Westinghouse used in their
7 study.

8
9 In addition, Westinghouse conducted sensitivity studies to determine if a bias existed in the
10 predictive capability of the SCICR methodology and in which direction (i.e., conservative or non-
11 conservative direction). Westinghouse's concern was in regard to the magnitude of the bias
12 and the sensitivity of the core model to this bias, since it is this measurement on which the
13 calculated SCF is based. To address this concern, Westinghouse performed a series of
14 sensitivity studies to assure that this sensitivity is either easily identifiable, small, or is in the
15 conservative direction, in the sense of enlarging the difference between measurement and the
16 prediction. Enlarging the difference is referred to as "unmasking." Minimizing the difference
17 between measured and predicted is referred to as "masking." The NRC staff reviewed the
18 results of the sensitivity studies provided in Reference 1, in light of the statistical methodology
19 provided above, and concluded that the sensitivity issues were appropriately addressed, and
20 thus concurs with the results.

21 6.0 LIMITATIONS AND CONDITIONS

22 The NRC staff accepts the methodology described in WCAP-16260-P, subject to the following
23 conditions:

- 24 1. The SCICR methodology is applicable to the Westinghouse 2-, 3-, and 4-loop NSSS
25 design; and the CE 217-assembly type plant only. Applicability of the SCICR
26 methodology to other types of plants, for which no data were provided for in this TR, will
27 require that the data be submitted to the NRC staff for review/audit before
28 implementation to confirm that they are consistent with the constraints and requirements
29 of the benchmark data in the TR.
 - 30 2. Reactivity-sensitivity analyses must be conducted and submitted to the NRC staff for
31 review/audit on a plant-specific basis to predetermine the masking effect (biases) so
32 that they can be accounted for in SCICR applications to the plant.
 - 33 3. The SCICR methodology can be applied for the following measurements:
 - 34 • The negativity of any subcritical static PWR core condition and configuration.
 - 35 • The PWR core shutdown margin.
- 36
37
38
39
40
41
42

- The total rod worth.
- The estimated criticality forecast.
- To measure reactivity changes due to temperature changes while the core is close to criticality. This application needs to be demonstrated with measurement data and submitted to the NRC staff for review/audit.

4. It cannot be applied to measure individual rod worth.

7.0 CONCLUSION

The NRC staff reviewed the analyses and results presented in WCAP-16260-P and determined that the analyses and results are in accordance with the guidance and limitations specified in 10 CFR 50.34, and the applicable sections of NUREG-0800. In addition, review of the presented plant data analysis and the sensitivity analysis conducted by Westinghouse, and as provided in Reference 1, confirm that the proposed SCICR methodology can be applied to a subcritical core. Therefore, on the basis of the above review and justification, the staff concludes that the Westinghouse WCAP-16260-P, the SCICR methodology, is acceptable.

8.0 REFERENCES

1. Letter from J. A. Gresham, Manager, Westinghouse to the NRC, Transmitting 4 copies of WCAP-16260-P, "The spatially corrected inverse count rate (SCICR) method for subcritical reactivity measurement," dated April 30, 2004, ADAMS Accession No. ML041280253.
2. Letter from J. A. Gresham, Manager, Westinghouse to the NRC, "Responses to NRC request for additional information on WCAP-16260-P," dated January 20, 2005, ADAMS Accession No. ML050310306.
3. Letter from J. A. Gresham, Manager, Westinghouse to the NRC, "Responses to NRC request for additional information on WCAP-16260-P, Revision 0, 'The spatially corrected inverse count rate (SCICR) method for subcritical reactivity measurement'," dated March 28, 2005, ADAMS Accession No. ML051100331.
4. Letter from J. A. Gresham, Manager, Westinghouse to the NRC, "Responses to NRC request for additional information on WCAP-16260-P, Revision 0, 'The spatially corrected inverse count rate (SCICR) method for subcritical reactivity measurement'," dated April 18, 2005, ADAMS Accession No. ML051150308.
5. Liu, Y.S., et. al., "ANC: A Westinghouse Advanced Nodal Computer Code," WCAP-10965-P-A (Proprietary) and WCAP-10966-A (Non-proprietary), September 1986.

1 6. Nguyen, T.Q., et. al., "Qualification of the PHOENIX-P/ANC Nuclear Design System for
2 Pressurized Water Reactor Cores," WCAP-1 1596-P-A (Proprietary) and WCAP-1
3 1579-A (Nonproprietary), June 1988.
4

5 Principal Contributors: Tony Attard
6 Edward Kendrick
7

8 Date: June 10, 2005