

June 2, 2005

Mr. Michael A. Krupa
Director
Nuclear Safety & Licensing
Entergy Operations, Inc.
1340 Echelon Parkway
Jackson, MS 39213-8298

SUBJECT: WATERFORD STEAM ELECTRIC STATION, UNIT 3 - REQUEST FOR
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (RAI) RELATED TO GENERIC LETTER 2004-02,
"POTENTIAL IMPACT OF DEBRIS BLOCKAGE ON EMERGENCY SUMP
RECIRCULATION AT PRESSURIZED-WATER REACTORS"
(TAC NO. MC4729)

Dear Mr. Krupa:

By letter dated March 3, 2005, Entergy Operations, Inc. (the licensee) provided the 90-day response to U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) Generic Letter (GL) 2004-02 for Waterford Steam Electric Station, Unit 3. The GL requested the licensee perform an evaluation of the emergency core cooling system and containment spray system recirculation functions in light of the information provided in the GL and, if appropriate, take additional actions to ensure system function. Additionally, addressees were requested to submit to the NRC the information specified in the GL.

The NRC staff has completed its preliminary review of your response and has determined it needs additional information requested in the enclosure to complete our review.

This RAI requests additional information about your overall plans and schedules and not any information on detailed plans or extensive analyses. In light of this, please provide the additional information requested in the enclosure within 45 days of receipt of this letter.

If you have any questions, please call me at (301) 415-1480.

Sincerely,

/RA/

N. Kalyanam, Project Manager, Section 1
Project Directorate IV
Division of Licensing Project Management
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-382

Enclosure: Request for Additional Information

cc w/encl: See next page

June 2, 2005

Mr. Michael A. Krupa
Director
Nuclear Safety & Licensing
Entergy Operations, Inc.
1340 Echelon Parkway
Jackson, MS 39213-8298

SUBJECT: WATERFORD STEAM ELECTRIC STATION, UNIT 3 - REQUEST FOR
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (RAI) RELATED TO GENERIC LETTER 2004-02,
"POTENTIAL IMPACT OF DEBRIS BLOCKAGE ON EMERGENCY SUMP
RECIRCULATION AT PRESSURIZED-WATER REACTORS"
(TAC NO. MC4729)

Dear Mr. Krupa:

By letter dated March 3, 2005, Entergy Operations, Inc. (the licensee) provided the 90-day response to U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) Generic Letter (GL) 2004-02 for Waterford Steam Electric Station, Unit 3. The GL requested the licensee perform an evaluation of the emergency core cooling system and containment spray system recirculation functions in light of the information provided in the GL and, if appropriate, take additional actions to ensure system function. Additionally, addressees were requested to submit to the NRC the information specified in the GL.

The NRC staff has completed its preliminary review of your response and has determined it needs additional information requested in the enclosure to complete our review.

This RAI requests additional information about your overall plans and schedules and not any information on detailed plans or extensive analyses. In light of this, please provide the additional information requested in the enclosure within 45 days of receipt of this letter.

If you have any questions, please call me at (301) 415-1480.

Sincerely,

/RA/

N. Kalyanam, Project Manager, Section 1
Project Directorate IV
Division of Licensing Project Management
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-382

Enclosure: Request for Additional Information

cc w/encl: See next page

DISTRIBUTION:

PUBLIC	PDIV-1 R/F	RidsOgcRp
RidsAcrcAcnwMailCenter	RidsNrrDlpmLpdiv (HBerkow)	RidsNrrPMNKalyanam
RidsNrrLADBaxley	RidsRgnIVMailCenter	DCullison/DSolorio
RidsNrrDlpmDpr	RidsNrrDssaDpr	MWebb

Accession No.: ML051660501

*RAI input from SPLB without any major change

OFFICE	PDIV-1/PM PDIII-2/PM	PDIV-1/LA	DSSA/SPLB/SP	PDIV-1/PM	PDIV-1/SC PDIII-2/SC
NAME	MWebb/JHopkins	DBaxley	DCullison/DSolorio*	NKalyanam	DTerao/ GSuh
DATE	6/12/02005	6/2/05	5/23/05	6/5/05	6/2/05

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

Arkansas Nuclear One/Waterford Generating Station 3

cc:

Executive Vice President
& Chief Operating Officer
Entergy Operations, Inc.
P. O. Box 31995
Jackson, MS 39286-1995

Director, Division of Radiation
Control and Emergency Management
Arkansas Department of Health
4815 West Markham Street, Slot 30
Little Rock, AR 72205-3867

Winston & Strawn
1400 L Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20005-3502

Mike Schoppman
Framatome ANP, Richland, Inc.
Suite 705
1911 North Fort Myer Drive
Rosslyn, VA 22209

Senior Resident Inspector
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
P. O. Box 310
London, AR 72847

Regional Administrator, Region IV
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 400
Arlington, TX 76011-8064

County Judge of Pope County
Pope County Courthouse
Russellville, AR 72801

Vice President, Operations Support
Entergy Operations, Inc.
P. O. Box 31995
Jackson, MS 39286-1995

Wise, Carter, Child & Caraway
P. O. Box 651
Jackson, MS 39205

Chairman
Louisiana Public Services Commission
P.O. Box 91154
Baton Rouge, LA 70825-1697

Mr. Michael E. Henry, Administrator
and State Liaison Officer
Department of Environmental Quality
P. O. Box 82135
Baton Rouge, LA 70884-2135

Director
Nuclear Safety Assurance
Entergy Operations, Inc.
17265 River Road Killona, LA 70066-0751

General Manager Plant Operations
Waterford 3 SES
Entergy Operations, Inc.
17265 River Road
Killona, LA 70066-0751

Licensing Manager
Entergy Operations, Inc.
17265 River Road
Killona, LA 70066-0751

Resident Inspector/Waterford NPS
P. O. Box 822
Killona, LA 70066-0751

Parish President Council
St. Charles Parish
P. O. Box 302
Hahnville, LA 70057

Mr. Craig G. Anderson
Vice President Operations, ANO
Entergy Operations, Inc.
1448 S. R. 333
Russellville, AR 72801

Mr. Joseph E. Venable
Vice President Operations
Entergy Operations, Inc.
17265 River Road
Killona, LA 70066-0751

REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
REGARDING GENERIC LETTER 2004-02,
“POTENTIAL IMPACT OF DEBRIS BLOCKAGE ON
EMERGENCY SUMP RECIRCULATION AT PRESSURIZED-WATER REACTORS”
ENTERGY OPERATIONS, INC.
WATERFORD STEAM ELECTRIC STATION, UNIT 3
DOCKET NUMBER 50-382

By letter dated March 3, 2005, Entergy Operations, Inc. (the licensee) provided the 90-day response to U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) Generic Letter (GL) 2004-02 for Waterford Steam Electric Station, Unit 3. The GL requested that addressees perform an evaluation of the emergency core cooling system and containment spray system recirculation functions in light of the information provided in the GL and, if appropriate, take additional actions to ensure system function. Additionally, addressees were requested to submit to the NRC the information specified in the GL. The staff has completed its preliminary review of your response and has determined it needs the following additional information to complete our review:

In your 90-day response to GL 2004-02, you indicated that you intend to use future test results, industry guidance, and NRC guidance to account for chemical precipitants in your evaluation and their availability will impact the schedule for performing an evaluation. The cooperative NRC-EPRI tests in progress at the University of New Mexico are designed to determine if chemical effects occur, but are not designed to measure head loss associated with any chemical effects. The staff notes that some chemical effects have been observed in the initial three tests.

In your 90-day response to GL 2004-02, you also indicated that you intend to use industry owners' group guidance and component manufacturer data to evaluate long-term performance degradation of downstream susceptible components caused by debris-laden fluid and their availability will impact the schedule for performing an evaluation.

For both of these issues, you stated the evaluation may occur after the September 1, 2005, response due date, depending on the schedule for testing and the availability of industry guidance. This is contrary to the information request in GL 2004-02, which requests that chemical and downstream effects be addressed in the September 1, 2005 response. This delay is also contrary to the staff's position that there are sufficient bases to address sump vulnerability to chemical effects and that the September response will be incomplete if the evaluation is incomplete, the design is not complete, or there is no schedule for upgrades. In this light, please discuss your plans and schedule for evaluating chemical effects and the long-term downstream effects. In addition, please discuss any plans for performing testing to support your evaluation of these effects.

ENCLOSURE