September 29, 2005

Mr. J. V. Parrish Chief Executive Officer Energy Northwest P.O. Box 968 (Mail Drop 1023) Richland, WA 99352-0968

SUBJECT: COLUMBIA GENERATING STATION - ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT RE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION IMPROVEMENT TO REVISE CONTROL ROD SCRAM TIME TESTING FREQUENCY USING THE CONSOLIDATED LINE ITEM IMPROVEMENT PROCESS (TAC NO. MC6878)

Dear Mr. Parrish:

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No. 194 to Facility Operating License No. NPF-21 for the Columbia Generating Station. The amendment consists of changes to the Technical Specifications (TS) in response to your application dated April 19, 2005.

The amendment revised technical specifications (TS) testing frequency for the surveillance requirement (SR) in TS 3.1.4, "Control Rod Scram Times." Specifically, the change revised the frequency for SR 3.1.4.2, "Control Rod Scram Time Testing," from "120 days cumulative operation in MODE 1" to "200 days cumulative operation in MODE 1."

A copy of the related Safety Evaluation is also enclosed. The Notice of Issuance will be included in the Commission's next biweekly *Federal Register* notice.

Sincerely,

/RA/ Brian J. Benney, Project Manager, Section 2 Project Directorate IV

Division of Licensing Project Management Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-397

Enclosures: 1. Amendment No. 194 to NPF-21 2. Safety Evaluation

cc w/encls: See next page

Mr. J. V. Parrish Chief Executive Officer Energy Northwest P.O. Box 968 (Mail Drop 1023) Richland, WA 99352-0968

COLUMBIA GENERATING STATION - ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT RE: SUBJECT: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION IMPROVEMENT TO REVISE CONTROL ROD SCRAM TIME TESTING FREQUENCY USING THE CONSOLIDATED LINE ITEM IMPROVEMENT PROCESS (TAC NO. MC6878)

Dear Mr. Parrish:

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No. 194 to Facility Operating License No. NPF-21 for the Columbia Generating Station. The amendment consists of changes to the Technical Specifications (TS) in response to your application dated April 19, 2005.

The amendment revised technical specifications (TS) testing frequency for the surveillance requirement (SR) in TS 3.1.4, "Control Rod Scram Times." Specifically, the change revised the frequency for SR 3.1.4.2, "Control Rod Scram Time Testing," from "120 days cumulative operation in MODE 1" to "200 days cumulative operation in MODE 1."

A copy of the related Safety Evaluation is also enclosed. The Notice of Issuance will be included in the Commission's next biweekly Federal Register notice.

	/RA/ Brian Projec Divisio	Sincerely, /RA/ Brian J. Benney, Project Manager, Section 2 Project Directorate IV Division of Licensing Project Management Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation		
Docket No. 50-397		<u>DISTRIBUTION</u> : PUBLIC	GHill (2)	
Enclosures: 1. Amendment No. 2. Safety Evaluation	to NPF-21	PDIV-2 Reading RidsNrrDlpmLpdiv (HBerkov RidsNrrDlpmLpdiv2		
cc w/encls: See next page		RidsNrrPMBBenney DCollins RidsNrrPMBVaidya RidsNrrLALFeizollahi RidsOGCRp RidsACRSACNWMailCente	r	

TBoyce

RidsRegion4MailCenter (TFranholtz)

ACCESSION NO.: ML051600123

NRR-058 OFFICE PDIV-2/LA CLIIP LPM PDIV-2/PM OGC PDIV-2/(A)SC NAME BVaidya BBenney LFeizollahi NLO DCollins MWoods 7/19/05 7/29/05 7/18/05 8/9/05 9/29/05 DATE

ENERGY NORTHWEST

DOCKET NO. 50-397

COLUMBIA GENERATING STATION

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 194 License No. NPF-21

- 1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment by Energy Northwest (licensee) dated April 19, 2005, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
 - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.
- 2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment and paragraph 2.C.(2) of Facility Operating License No. NPF-21 is hereby amended to read as follows:

(2) <u>Technical Specifications and Environmental Protection Plan</u>

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendix A, as revised through Amendment No. 194 and the Environmental Protection Plan contained in Appendix B, are hereby incorporated in the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications and the Environmental Protection Plan.

3. The license amendment is effective as of its date of issuance and shall be implemented within 60 days from the date of issuance. The licensee shall incorporate the changes described in its application dated April 19, 2005, into the TS Bases Section during the next periodic update.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

/RA/ Daniel S.

Daniel S. Collins, Acting Chief, Section 2 Project Directorate IV Division of Licensing Project Management Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Attachment: Changes to the Technical Specifications

Date of Issuance: September 29, 2005

ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NO. 194

FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-21

DOCKET NO. 50-397

Replace the following page of the Appendix A Technical Specifications with the attached revised page. The revised page is identified by amendment number and contains vertical lines indicating the areas of change. The corresponding overleaf page is also provided to maintain document completeness.

REMOVE

INSERT

3.1.4-3 3.1.4-3

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION

RELATED TO AMENDMENT NO. 194 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-21

ENERGY NORTHWEST

COLUMBIA GENERATING STATION

DOCKET NO. 50-397

1.0 INTRODUCTION

By application dated April 19, 2005 (Agencywide Documents Access and Management System Accession No. ML051190270), Energy Northwest (the licensee) requested changes to the Technical Specifications (TS) (Appendix A to Facility Operating License No. NPF-21) for the Columbia Generating Station (Columbia). The requested change would revise TS testing frequency for the surveillance requirement (SR) in TS 3.1.4, "Control Rod Scram Times." Specifically, the proposed change would revise the frequency for SR 3.1.4.2, "Control Rod Scram Time Testing," from "120 days cumulative operation in MODE 1" to "200 days cumulative operation in MODE 1."

These changes are based on TS Task Force (TSTF) change traveler no. 460 (TSTF-460), Revision 0, that has been approved generically for the boiling-water reactor (BWR) Standard TS, NUREG-1433 (BWR/4) and NUREG-1434 (BWR/6), by revising the frequency of SR 3.1.4.2 regarding control rod scram time testing from "120 days cumulative operation in MODE 1" to "200 days cumulative operation in MODE 1." A notice announcing the availability of this proposed TS change using the consolidated line item improvement process was published in the Federal Register on August 23, 2004 (69 FR 51864).

2.0 REGULATORY EVALUATION

The TS requirement governing the control rod scram time surveillance is intended to assure proper function of control rod insertion. Following each refueling outage, all control rod scram times are verified. In addition, periodically during power operation, a representative sample of control rods is selected to be inserted to verify the insertion speed. A representative sample is defined as a sample containing at least 10 percent of the total number of control rods. The current TS stipulates that no more than 20 percent of the control rods in this representative sample declared to be "slow" during the post outage testing. With more than 20 percent of the sample declared to be "slow" per the criteria in Table 3.1.4-1, additional control rods are tested until this 20 percent criterion (e.g., 20 percent of the entire sample size) is satisfied, or until the total number of "slow" control rods (throughout the core, from all surveillances) exceeds the limiting condition for operation (LCO) limit. For planned testing, the control rods selected for the sample should be different for each test. The acceptance criterion for at-power surveillance testing has been redefined from 20 percent to 7.5 percent and will be incorporated into the TS

Bases in accordance with its Bases Control Program. This tightened acceptance criterion for at-power surveillance aligns with the TS 3.1.4 requirement for the total control rods allowed to have scram times exceeding the specified limit.

The proposed change does not affect any current operability requirements and the test frequency being revised is not specified in the regulations. As a result, no regulatory requirements or criteria are affected.

3.0 TECHNICAL EVALUATION

3.1 Statement of Proposed Changes

Energy Northwest TS SR 3.1.4.2 states, "Verify, for a representative sample, each tested control rod scram time is within the limits of Table 3.1.4-1 with reactor steam dome pressure \$800 psig." SR 3.1.4.2 has a frequency of "120 days cumulative operation in MODE 1." The proposed change revises the frequency to "200 days cumulative operation in MODE 1." The TS Basis for SR 3.1.4.2 will be revised to reference the new frequency and to reduce the percentage of the tested rods which can be "slow" from 20 percent to 7.5 percent.

3.2 Evaluation of Proposed Change

The control rod insertion (scram) time test results at Energy Northwest have shown the control rod scram rates to be highly reliable. Energy Northwest stated in its submittal dated April 19, 2005, that it has performed a review of the scram time test results since the beginning of 1995 to present. This review determined that 4958 tests had been performed at Columbia. During this timeframe, no control rod has been declared "slow" as a result of the scram time failing to meet the acceptance criteria in TS Table 3.1.4-1 or more restrictive acceptance criteria described in the Core Operating Limit Report, Tables 3.1a, 3.1b, 3.2a, and 3.2b, Note 1. The extensive historical database substantiates the claim of high reliability of the Energy Northwest control rod drive system. The current TS SR 3.1.4.2 requires that a representative sample of control rods be tested every 120 days of cumulative operation in Mode 1. Bases for SR 3.1.4.2 states that a representative sample contains at least 10 percent of the control rods. The current TS Bases also indicates that the acceptance criteria showing that the sample remains representative is met if 20 percent or fewer of the control rods in the sample tested are found to be slow, per the criterion in TS Table 3.1.4-1. This acceptance criterion has been re-defined for at-power surveillance testing from 20 percent to 7.5 percent when the surveillance period is extended to 200 cumulative days of operation in Mode 1. This tightened acceptance criterion for at-power surveillance aligns with the TS 3.1.4 requirement for the total control rods allowed to have scram times exceeding the specified limit. The licensee will incorporate the revised acceptance criterion value of 7.5 percent into the TS Bases in accordance with its Bases Control Program, as a portion of the implementation of this license amendment.

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff considers the extended surveillance interval to be justified by the demonstrated reliability of the control rod insertion system, based on historical control rod scram time test data, and by the more restrictive acceptance criterion for determining whether the sample of control rods tested remains representative. Further, the amendment does not change the LCO limits for the number and location of operable control rods that can be slow. Therefore, the NRC staff finds the proposed TS change acceptable.

In accordance with the Commission's regulations, the Washington State official was notified of the proposed issuance of the amendment. The State official had no comments.

5.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

The amendment changes a surveillance requirement. The NRC staff has determined that the amendment involves no significant increase in the amounts, and no significant change in the types, of any effluents that may be released offsite, and that there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. The Commission has previously issued a proposed finding that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration and there has been no public comment on such finding (70 FR 33212 dated June 7, 2005). Accordingly, the amendment meets the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(9). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b), no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of the amendment.

6.0 <u>CONCLUSION</u>

The Commission has concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations, and (3) the issuance of the amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Principal Contributor: Bhalchandra K. Vaidya

Date: September 29, 2005

Columbia Generating Station

CC:

Mr. W. Scott Oxenford (Mail Drop PE04) Vice President, Technical Services Energy Northwest P. O. Box 968 Richland, WA 99352-0968

Mr. Albert E. Mouncer (Mail Drop PE01) Vice President, Corporate Services/ General Counsel/CFO Energy Northwest P.O. Box 968 Richland, WA 99352-0968

Chairman Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council P.O. Box 43172 Olympia, WA 98504-3172

Mr. Douglas W. Coleman (Mail Drop PE20) Manager, Regulatory Programs Energy Northwest P.O. Box 968 Richland, WA 99352-0968

Mr. Gregory V. Cullen (Mail Drop PE20) Supervisor, Licensing Energy Northwest P.O. Box 968 Richland, WA 99352-0968

Regional Administrator, Region IV U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 400 Arlington, TX 76011-4005

Chairman Benton County Board of Commissioners P.O. Box 190 Prosser, WA 99350-0190

Senior Resident Inspector U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission P.O. Box 69 Richland, WA 99352-0069 Mr. Dale K. Atkinson (Mail Drop PE08) Vice President, Nuclear Generation Energy Northwest P.O. Box 968 Richland, WA 99352-0968

Mr. William A. Horin, Esq. Winston & Strawn 1700 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20006-3817

Mr. Matt Steuerwalt Executive Policy Division Office of the Governor P.O. Box 43113 Olympia, WA 98504-3113

Ms. Lynn Albin Washington State Department of Health P.O. Box 7827 Olympia, WA 98504-7827

Technical Services Branch Chief FEMA Region X 130 228th Street S.W. Bothell, WA 98201-9796