

June 2, 2005

Mr. Joseph M. Solymossy
Site Vice President
Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant
Nuclear Management Company, LLC
1717 Wakonade Drive East
Welch, MN 55089

SUBJECT: PRAIRIE ISLAND NUCLEAR GENERATING PLANT, UNITS 1 AND 2 -
REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (RAI) RELATED TO GENERIC
LETTER 2004-02, "POTENTIAL IMPACT OF DEBRIS BLOCKAGE ON
EMERGENCY SUMP RECIRCULATION AT PRESSURIZED-WATER
REACTORS" (TAC NOS. MC4707 AND MC4708)

Dear Mr. Solymossy:

By letter dated March 7, 2005, Nuclear Management Company, LLC, (the licensee) provided the 90-day response to U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) Generic Letter (GL) 2004-02 for Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant, Units 1 and 2. The GL requested the licensee perform an evaluation of the emergency core cooling system and containment spray system recirculation functions in light of the information provided in the GL and, if appropriate, take additional actions to ensure system function. Additionally, addressees were requested to submit to the NRC the information specified in the GL.

The NRC staff has completed its preliminary review of your response and has determined it needs additional information requested in the enclosure to complete our review.

This RAI requests additional information about your overall plans and schedules and not any information on detailed plans or extensive analyses. In light of this, please provide the additional information requested in the enclosure within 45 days of receipt of this letter.

If you have any questions, please call me at (301) 415-8371.

Sincerely,
/RA/

Mahesh Chawla, Project Manager, Section I
Project Directorate III
Division of Licensing Project Management
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-282 and 50-306

Enclosure: Request for Additional Information

cc w/encl: See next page

June 2, 2005

Mr. Joseph M. Solymossy
Site Vice President
Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant
Nuclear Management Company, LLC
1717 Wakonade Drive East
Welch, MN 55089

SUBJECT: PRAIRIE ISLAND NUCLEAR GENERATING PLANT, UNITS 1 AND 2 -
REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (RAI) RELATED TO GENERIC
LETTER 2004-02, "POTENTIAL IMPACT OF DEBRIS BLOCKAGE ON
EMERGENCY SUMP RECIRCULATION AT PRESSURIZED-WATER
REACTORS" (TAC NOS. MC4707 AND MC4708)

Dear Mr. Solymossy:

By letter dated March 7, 2005, Nuclear Management Company (the licensee) provided the 90-day response to U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) Generic Letter (GL) 2004-02 for Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant, Units 1 and 2. The GL requested the licensee perform an evaluation of the emergency core cooling system and containment spray system recirculation functions in light of the information provided in the GL and, if appropriate, take additional actions to ensure system function. Additionally, addressees were requested to submit to the NRC the information specified in the GL.

The NRC staff has completed its preliminary review of your response and has determined it needs additional information requested in the enclosure to complete our review.

This RAI requests additional information about your overall plans and schedules and not any information on detailed plans or extensive analyses. In light of this, please provide the additional information requested in the enclosure within 45 days of receipt of this letter.

If you have any questions, please call me at (301) 415-8371.

Sincerely,
/RA/
Mahesh Chawla, Project Manager, Section I
Project Directorate III
Division of Licensing Project Management
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-282 and 50-306

Enclosure: Request for Additional Information

cc w/encl: See next page

DISTRIBUTION

PUBLIC PDIII-1 r/f RidsOgcRp
RidsAcrsAcnwMailCenter RidsNrrDlpmLpdiii1 (LRaghavan) RidsNrrPMMChawla
RidsNrrLADBaxley RidsRgnIIIMailCenter DCullison/DSolorio
RidsNrrDlpmDpr RidsNrrDssaDpr MWebb RidsNrrLATHarris

Accession No.: ML051520370 * RAI input from the staff without any major change

OFFICE	PDIV-1/PM PDIII-2/PM	PDIV-1/LA	DSSA/SPLB/SP*	PDIII-1/PM	PDIV-1/SC PDIII-2/SC
NAME	MWebb/JHopkins	DBaxley	DCullison/DSolorio	MChawla	DTerao/GSuh
DATE	6-2-05	6-2-05	5-23-05	6-2-05	6-2-05

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant,
Units 1 and 2

cc:

Jonathan Rogoff, Esquire
Vice President, Counsel & Secretary
Nuclear Management Company, LLC
700 First Street
Hudson, WI 54016

Manager, Regulatory Affairs
Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant
Nuclear Management Company, LLC
1717 Wakonade Drive East
Welch, MN 55089

Manager - Environmental Protection Division
Minnesota Attorney General's Office
445 Minnesota St., Suite 900
St. Paul, MN 55101-2127

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Resident Inspector's Office
1719 Wakonade Drive East
Welch, MN 55089-9642

Regional Administrator, Region III
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
801 Warrenville Road
Lisle, IL 60532-4351

Administrator
Goodhue County Courthouse
Box 408
Red Wing, MN 55066-0408

Commissioner
Minnesota Department of Commerce
85 7th Place East, Suite 500
St. Paul, MN 55101-2198

Tribal Council
Prairie Island Indian Community
ATTN: Environmental Department
5636 Sturgeon Lake Road
Welch, MN 55089

Nuclear Asset Manager
Xcel Energy, Inc.
414 Nicollet Mall, R.S. 8
Minneapolis, MN 55401

John Paul Cowan
Executive Vice President & Chief Nuclear
Officer
Nuclear Management Company, LLC
700 First Street
Hudson, WI 54016

Craig G. Anderson
Senior Vice President, Group Operations
Nuclear Management Company, LLC
700 First Street
Hudson, WI 54016

November 2004

REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
REGARDING GENERIC LETTER 2004-02,
“POTENTIAL IMPACT OF DEBRIS BLOCKAGE ON
EMERGENCY SUMP RECIRCULATION AT PRESSURIZED-WATER REACTORS”
NUCLEAR MANAGEMENT COMPANY, LLC
PRAIRIE ISLAND NUCLEAR GENERATING PLANT, UNITS 1 AND 2
DOCKET NOS. 50-282 AND 50-306

By letter dated March 7, 2005, Nuclear Management Company, LLC, (the licensee) provided the 90-day response to U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) Generic Letter (GL) 2004-02 for Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant, Units 1 and 2. The GL requested that addressees perform an evaluation of the emergency core cooling system and containment spray system recirculation functions in light of the information provided in the GL and, if appropriate, take additional actions to ensure system function. Additionally, addressees were requested to submit to the NRC the information specified in the GL. The staff has completed its preliminary review of your response and has determined it needs the following additional information to complete our review:

In your 90-day response to GL 2004-02, you indicated that you intend to use future test results, industry guidance, and NRC guidance to account for chemical precipitants in your evaluation and their availability will impact the schedule for performing an evaluation. The cooperative NRC-Electric Power Research Institute tests in progress at the University of New Mexico are designed to determine if chemical effects occur, but are not designed to measure head loss associated with any chemical effects. The staff notes that some chemical effects have been observed in the initial three tests.

In your 90-day response to GL 2004-02, you also indicated that you intend to use industry owners' group guidance and component manufacturer data to evaluate long-term performance degradation of downstream susceptible components caused by debris-laden fluid and their availability will impact the schedule for performing an evaluation.

For both of these issues, you stated the evaluation may occur after the September 1, 2005, response due date, depending on the schedule for testing and the availability of industry guidance. This is contrary to the information request in GL 2004-02, which requests that chemical and downstream effects be addressed in the September 1, 2005, response. This delay is also contrary to the staff's position that there are sufficient bases to address sump vulnerability to chemical effects and that the September response will be incomplete if the evaluation is incomplete, the design is not complete, or there is no schedule for upgrades. In this light, please discuss your plans and schedule for evaluating chemical effects and the long-term downstream effects. In addition, please discuss any plans for performing testing to support your evaluation of these effects.

ENCLOSURE