7/11 ******* ORIGINAL PBNP ***** WO No: 0407919 WO Priority: 2 * UNIT 1 * MWO * UNIT 1 * ****** ********* Resp Group: MM HEADER PAGE Step Print: 04/21/04 Equipment: R-1 System: RC HP Zone: U-1 0312 Equipment Name: REACTOR VESSEL AND ASSEMBLY Physical Location: U1C/R-1 RV CAVITY Discovery Date: 04/21/04 Serial Number: Safety Monitor: N Problem Description: REMOVE AND REPAIR STUCK BULLET. Originator: BRYAN R L 6678 Outage ID: Tag/Stkr Placed: N TAG #: 206029 Tag Lctn: Job Type: CORRECTIVE MAINTENANCE ON PL Project ID: Work Function: WORK ORDER Outage ID: U1R28 Activity: Condition Report: Mod Reg #: QA: Y SR: Y SEIS: 1 Operability Pre-Test: N Procedures: LCO: N EQ: N PMT: Y Operability Post-Test: N Procedures: CIV: N MRULE: Y SSA: N CACC: A/P: P RRN: 93 - 0001 QA Codes: 01 02 Tech Spec Ref: Sect XI Class: 1 Tools Needed: ______________________________ Work Plan/Instructions reviewed. Planner: BRYAN R L 6678 LINE SUPERVISOR: WF 2/7/7/4 NAME: _____ DATE: _____ Rick - PS PERSONNEL SAFETY Risk: - PS PERSONNEL SAFETY C Plant Conditions: SEE PROB DESC OR PROCEDURE Ignition Control Permit: N Other Conditions: Transient Combustible Permit: N Fire Barrier Penetration Permit: N Scaffolding: N Heat Trace: N RWP: Y Is Screening for 10 CFR 50.59 or 72.48 required in accordance with NP 10.3.1? Yes ____ No. If yes, attach applicable portions of form PBF-1515. Equipment Isolation Required: N FME: Y ISO Tag Series #1: _____ ISO Tag #2: _____ ISO Tag #3: Operability Pre-Test Complete. Equipment Asolation as requested. Permission granted to perform Work. Permission granted to perform Work. Ops DSS Notification Req: Y Ops DSS Signature: JES Date: 4/2/04 Special Notification: Information in this record was deleted in accordance with the Freedom of Information Act exemptions 6 Number of Steps: 001 Acct #: 00 - 00000 - 3612821 - -0030 MFG Code: B/W Tech Manual Cntl #: 00210 * WORK ORDER CLOSEOUT * Group Head Signature: Group Head Signature: _____ Date: _/_/___ Date:

L-17

NO LO PAN

ORIGINAL ******* * * * * * * * * * * PBNP WO No: 0407919001 * UNIT 1 * WO Priority: 2 MWO * UNIT 1 * ******** STEP DETAIL ****** Resp Group: MM Step Print: 04/21/04 Equipment: R-1 HP Zone: U-1 0312 System: RC Equipment Name: REACTOR VESSEL AND ASSEMBLY Physical Location: U1C/R-1 RV CAVITY Sequence No: 01 Short Desc: REMOVE BULLET Need Date Sched Start Date: PLANNED: WORK PROCEDURES: Crew: MM MM RMP 9053 Shift: D 1RMP 9096 ח Class: 406 410 RMP 9312 Work Plan Description: REMOVE AND REPAIR STUCK BULLET NOSE USING ATTACHED WORK PLAN. QC REVIEW REQUIRED: Y KINNEY, CALVIN DATE: 042104 As Found Condition: Stalk with Binoulues WORK PERFORMED: INSpecter Thermologias And Stalk with BINOULUES, REMOVED Bullet Built NOSL FROM HERO AD INSPECTED the Consecute No drage Noted on READIED Bullet and C-Clip. No dragge Noted on theraplanghe Stalks + connectors. Amon Surface scratch where cocy Removes RAMED with tower it's GRADUE ON LOAD OTHING GRADUE SAT. Removed ald athing tinstalled New thip Enstalled & Bullet Fray firecon, Old fallet could use Further Inspellion of 4-22-4 MTE: MCDC -0.26 OAR: ACTUAL USED: CREW: SHIFT: Nights WORKER CLASS: 410 DAYS DAS 420 815 NUMBER OF WORKERS: - 5 4 6 TOTAL HOURS: 24 60 72 TTL EXPOSURE/STEP (MREM): 225 (557) 35 PARTS USED LIST ATTACHED: Y / (N) WO TAGS REMOVED: Y / N / NA) EMPLOYEE NUMBER: [비일]2]2]2] WORK COMPLETE DATE: 4/21/04 EMPLOYEE NAME: 0 Duca * WORK COMPLETED * Cause Failure Code: PM / SVC / NRM / S-C As Found-Out of Spec: W / N / NA Machine H Machine History Review Required: (Y) / N Failed Component: nom Corrective Action: MA/RP/RE/ LINE SUPERVISOR: 468368 Downtime: 3C hrs LINE SUPERVISOR: 446830181 NAME: 1910 DATE: 4/42/04 * EOUIPMENT RETURN TO SERVICE * Operability Post Testing: /_____TIME: EQUIP. TAKEN OOS - DATE: RETURN DATE: TIME: Operability Procs Performed NAME : DATE: NON OPS SUPV: NAME: DATE: DSS:

REFERENCES: 1. NP 8.1.1 "Work Order Processing" 2. NP 4.2.20 "Radiation Work Permit" 3. NP 4.2.3 "ALARA Review Procedure"	RWP #: Date Issued:// Actual RWP Exposure In rem:
PBNP CHAMPS	RWP REQUEST FORM
Unit: PB1 Outage ID: U1R28 Activity: Equipment: R-1 Equipment Name: REACTOR VESSEL AND ASSE System: RC Physical Location: U1C/N WO Number: 0407919 Other work documents WO Priority: 2 Date/Time Required: Critical Path: Yes No Responsit Protected Worker Log Required: Yes No Job Title: REMOVE BULLET THE FOLLOWING ITEMS SHOULD BE CONSIDER	MBLY R-1 RV CAVITY S: / /: Duration: ole Group: MM HP Zone: D ED WHEN INITIATING AN RWP
-Work Area Access/Egress -Audio/Visual Aids -Tool Requirements -Filling/Flushing/Draining -Temporary Ventilation -Radiological Hold Points -Review Worker Dose Status -Perform Work In Low Dose Areas	-Decon/Containments -Source Term Reduction -Remote Operations -Shielding -Work Coordination -Pre Job Briefing -Special Training/Mockups -Radios/Communication Equipment

Est. La	oor Hours For Job	b: 15.00 Est.	Exposure	(mrem)	0
Review	of Est. Exposure	Completed (HP):	-	Date:	//
Supervi	sor Pre-job Brief	Rqd: Work Group	: YES/NO	HP: YES/NO	0
Originator:		Phone/Pag	e#	Da	sup. 111. ate:_//

•....

* Alara Review Required: YES/NO (Required if total est. dose to individual is >1 rem or total est. collective dose is >2 rem.) PBF 9923C (Use back of sheet as required) CHAMPS GENERATED

POINT BEACH NUCLEAR PLANT WO WORK PLAN

Work Control Document:0407919Equipment ID:R-1Equipment Description:REACTOWork Plan Originator:Rick Bryate

R-1 REACTOR VESSEL AND ASSEMBLY Rick Bryan phone 6678 pager 5616 UNIT: PB_1_

Date: 4/21/04

	WORKSCORE
WORK SCOPE and PURPOSE	Remove stuck Cono-seal bullet, using non-intrusive equipment perform a visual inspection of cono- seal stalk, remove the stuck bullet and inspect stalk, facilitate repairs and install bullet.
INITIAL CONDITIONS	Head removed
DANGER TAG SCOPE	None
DANGER TAG REFERENCES	None
LIMITATIONS AND PRECAUTIONS	Refueling Cavity is an FME Zone 1. Work will be performed over the open vessel and out of the man basket. Additional briefings are required for man basket usage.
TOOLS AND MATERIALS	Dedicated crane operator, man basket, tools, M&TE and parts listed in RMP 9312.
	QUALITY CONTROL
QC REVIEW OF WO	
(independent QC rev	view requiréd on QA classified work order only) NA if non-QA work order
Any change in scop	e requires WO WP review by QC inspector.
	SUPPORT
SUPPORT	Engineering
	⊠ HP
	Maintenance Dedicated Crane operator
	Crane TB PAB Polar Other
	C Other

POINT BEACH NUCLEAR PLANT WO WORK PLAN

Work Control Document:0407919Equipment ID:R-1Equipment Description:REACTOWork Plan Originator:Rick Bryat

R-1 REACTOR VESSEL AND ASSEMBLY Rick Bryan phone 6678 pager 5616 UNIT: PB_1_

Date: 4/21/04

A State Sale	PRE-JOB BRIEF			
Supervisor	/ Job Leader to conduct pre-job brief using PBF-9217.			
NOTE: Pre	-job brief may require attendance of other workgroups involved in the work ac			
PRE-JOB I FLS.	BRIEF COMPLETED. Medium Risk. Must be documented and lead by	Supervisor or Job Leader 4-21-04 Date		
	NOTES			
FME:	Tools and equipment <u>shall</u> be checked for loose parts and debris and tempor foreign material exclusion (FME) of system/components per Exclusion of Fo components and Systems, NP 8.4.10.	prary covers should be installed for preign Material from Plant		
NOTE:	IF inspections or discrepancies require modifications to Work Scope: THEN <u>STOP</u> work, place equipment in <u>SAFE</u> condition, and <u>NOTIFY</u> Supervision.			
NOTE:	The Control Room / the Work Control Center / and the watchstander (as app status of jobs which: bring in alarms, affect indications, and other work being performed on operating equipment.	propriate) shall be informed of the		
NOTE:	All workers shall perform all Danger Tagging requirements as defined in NP	1.9.15		
NOTE:	NOTE: When replacing parts, compare the old part to the new part to verify it is an acceptable replacement.			
NOTE:	NOTE: If work scope changes, an R/R form may be required for parts replacement or repair.			
NOTE:	Any pen and ink change to work plan requires initial and date by the change.			
NOTE:	Write WO number on top/header of any supplemental pages added to work checklists	package, i.e., forms, procedures,		

CAUTION

ADDITIONAL briefings and inspections are required for the use of the Man Basket. These SHALL be performed prior to using the basket.

POINT BEACH NUCLEAR PLANT WO WORK PLAN

Work Control Document:0407919Equipment ID:R-1Equipment Description:REACTOWork Plan Originator:Rick Bryate

R-1 REACTOR VESSEL AND ASSEMBLY Rick Bryan phone 6678 pager 5616 UNIT: PB_1_

Date: 4/21/04

		۰		
Hold Point	-Step	Work Plan Description	Worker	Date
	1.	Perform a non-intrusive inspection of the upper internals and T/C bundle using available equipment. Document inspection results below. <u>NO DAMAGE NOTAD, NO FINE, LOOKS LIKE A IL</u> <u>IS INTACT</u>	<u>AL</u> MT	<u>4-2(-44</u> DATE
CAUTION: ·	I	Use Caution when removing rag. Coordinate with RP prior to retrieving any parts/material.	unidentified	
	2.	Inspect bullet nose/ head penetration for parts and damage. If free, bullet nose may be removed and set aside. If jammed, notify Issue Manager for further directions prior to proceeding. Retain any retrieved parts for evaluation. Document results below. Buildt pubse Will FREE so we Removed it. TASPECTION WAS FREE so we Removed it. TASPECTION WAS PRETERMED NO DAmage Noted.	<u> </u>	<u>4-21-04</u> DATE
HOUSE KEEPING	3.	Remove all debris, tools, and materials from the area. Ensure all work areas meet PBNP housekeeping expectations.	<u>MT</u>	<u>4-21-14</u> DATE

POINT B	BEACH	NUCL	EAR F	PLANT
WO	WO	RK	PL	AN

Work Control Document: 0407919 Equipment ID: Equipment Description: Work Plan Originator:

R-1

REACTOR VESSEL AND ASSEMBLY Rick Bryan phone 6678 pager 5616

UNIT: PB_1_

Date: 4/21/04

POST-JOB BRIEF Post-Job Brief may be performed prior to Operations Return To Se	rvice Testing		
Conduct post-job debrief using PBF-9218. Document lessons learned, good practices, p feedback form. Debrief should include all applicable work groups.	roblems enco	untered, etc.	. on
POST-JOB DEBRIEF COMPLETED	Supervisor or Job Leader 4-21-0°f Date		
FEEDBACK Feedback form may be completed and attached to work package prior to Operations R Post-Job Briefing.	leturn To Sen	vicė Testing	and
Fill out feedback form attached to work package (maintenance group use PBF-9929)		OK MT	4-2104 DATE

•

.

Work Control Document: Equipment ID: Equipment Description: Work Plan Originator:

0407919 R-1

REACTOR VESSEL AND ASSEMBLY Rick Bryan phone 6678 pager 5616 UNIT: PB_1_

Date: April 21, 2004

(b) ac

INSP.

Date

	WORK PLAN REVISION
REASON for	To continue with recovery of the stuck bullet nose and facilitate any required repairs.
REVISION	If the retaining clip and O-ring were not found during the inspection in step 2 of the original work plan, additional inspections of the upper internals will be required.
WORK SCOPE and PURPOSE	Continue with inspections for Foreign Material, retrieval of foreign material, Thermocouple stalk inspections, repairs if required and further actions to support the Apparent Cause Investigation.
RISK MANAGEMENT	At any time the man basket is positioned over the exposed vessel, the risk level becomes HIGH. Additional cautions and directions might be required during this time. While tag lines and personnel are stabilizing the man basket, the level of personnel safety increases. Additional safety precautions will be required for this work.
DANGER TAG SCOPE CHANGE?	Yes No If yes, what is change?

QUALITY CONTROL

QC REVIEW OF WORK PLAN

-

(independent QC review required on QA classified work order only) NA if non-QA work order

Any change in scope requires WO WP review by QC inspector.

		TECHNICAL REVIEWS	
	Plant Mgt Rep	Signature: Un Bunder	Date: 4/22/04
	🛛 Maintenance	Signature:	Date: 4/22/04
:	Operations	Signature: Manor	Date: 4/22/04
	Engineering	Signature:	Date: 4/22/04
	Radiation Protection	on Signature: A. M. h	Date 4/22/04
 		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	

Work Control Document: 0407919 Equipment ID: R-1 Equipment Description:

Work Plan Originator:

REACTOR VESSEL AND ASSEMBLY Rick Bryan phone 6678 pager 5616

UNIT: PB_1_

Date: April 21, 2004

NOTE:		During any work in the vicinity of the head, upper internals or bullet, direct R coverage will be required.	IP support a	nd
CAUTION:		ANY loose items found on the upper internals have the potential to have HI contamination. DO NOT retrieve any items without RP approval and concur	GH dose rate rence.	e'and 🤤
	R1-1.	If any loose parts, foreign material of other unidentified items are found on the upper internals THEN an entry into the upper cavity will be required to positively identify and retrieve the loose items. Document below any foreign material found. If foreign material is not on the upper internal plate, this step can be N/A'd.	<u>U</u> MT	<u>4-11-01</u> DATE
	R1-2.	If material retrieval is required, coordinate with RP and other support groups as required to remove the material. If not required this step may be N/A'd.	NÍACIL	4-23-04 DATE
	R1-3.	When all foreign material, loose parts and other debris have been removed from the upper internal plate, proceed with step R1.4.	<u>CIL</u> MT	<u> 4-22 ざ</u> DATE
NOTE:		Steps R1.4, R1.5 and R1.6 can be performed concurrently to reduce job dur	ration.	
	R1-4.	Operations to flood the upper cavity to a depth of 2 to 3 feet. This will provide added shielding during man basket inspections.	CILFUME) OPS	4-15-04 DATE
	R1-5.	Perform inspections as required to use the man basket. ALSLPIL. AL 4-22-1	<u>גע</u> MT	<u>م. ۱۲. م</u> DATE
CAUTION:		The rope used for tag line SHALL be visible if it falls into the cavity. $G\circ$	To Revis	IN 2.
	R1-6.	Personnel using tag lines to stabilize the man basket, locate appropriate places to tie of safety lanyards.	NA Sex MT +2	Reva.
	R1-7.	When cavity is flooded to the correct level, proceed with work plan.	NA 1.5	Rev & - <u>24</u> DATE

Work Control Document:0407919Equipment ID:R-1Equipment Description:REACTOWork Plan Originator:Rick Bryat

R-1 REACTOR VESSEL AND ASSEMBLY Rick Bryan phone 6678 pager 5616 UNIT: PB_1_

Date: April 21, 2004

Hold Point	Step No	Work Plan Description	Worker	Date
	R1-8.	While stabilizing the man basket with tag lines, perform a close inspection of the T/C bundle and associated seals. Use available equipment as required to perform this inspection. Document inspections results below.	NA MT Re DGFN	DATE 2 -12.04
		Provide this information to engineering for evaluation.		
	R1-9.	DO NOT proceed with any repairs until approval has been given by the on- site Management Rop. 22 4-22-04 Management Rep_ 22 4-22-24 Date-	MA See MT	Кеч 2 4-32-24 DATE
NOTE:	.	If the old bullet nose is deemed acceptable for reuse, it will be installed, oth nose will be installed.	erwise a new	bullet
	R1-10.	Using the man basket with appropriate safety precautions, install the bullet nose over the T/C bundle. Install new spring clip and O-ring. Indicate below which bullet nose was used.	NA JUF MT	1-22-04 DATE
		New Bullet Nose Old Bullet Nose		
HOUSE KEEPING	R1-11.	Remove all debris, tools, and materials from the area. Ensure all work areas meet PBNP housekeeping expectations.	.)= <u>MT</u>	<u>भं. २२. ५</u> DATE
	R1-12. :	Release the upper internals and head for continued refueling activities.	<u>Rays</u> MT	4 <u>/2;/;/</u> DATE
	R1-13.	Engineering to determine if further actions are required to support repairs (if needed) and establish the Apparent Cause. Document any further actions below.		<u>4/22/04</u> DATE
		No repairs required based on documentation in step R2-3 and discussion with responsible workers. Oring prove and thermoscople connections reported to be Jin good condition. Equipment should be considered acceptable for use as is.		
	R1-14.	If additional work is required, return package to planning with additional work instructions for incorporation.	<u>И/д- (15</u> МТ	4/12/0-1 DATE
	R1-15.	Return to closeout section of work plan to complete task.	CHIS MT	HINGON DATE

Work Control Document:0407919Equipment ID:R-1Equipment Description:REACTOWork Plan Originator:Dave Fre

R-1 . REACTOR VESSEL AND ASSEMBLY Dave French x6291/pgr5098 UNIT: PB_1_

Date: April 22, 2004

	The second based on the second se	The Martin Providence	C DIRATS CREAT SARSTERS	SANTAL ANTA ATA ANTA ANTA
	WOR	K PLAN HEVISIO	N	
REASON for REVISION	To improve on the steps within Revision One and to provide additional guidance from RMP 9312.			
WORK SCOPE and PURPOSE	To provide additional guidance	from RMP 9312.		
DANGER TAG SCOPE CHANGE?	🗋 Yes 🗹 No 🛛 If yes, wha	t is change?		
	QÙ	ALITY CONTROL		
QC REVIEW OF WO	RK PLAN			
(independent QC rev	view required on QA classified	work order only) NA	if non-QA work order	<u>A(4-22-0</u>
Any change in scop	e requires WO WP review by Q	C inspector.		QC Date
	SUF	PORT REVIEWS		
SUPPORT	NOTE: PMT requirements have been updated to reflect scope change. OPS to ensure return to service testing requirements have been addressed. Signatures below indicate a review and acceptance of the PMT requirements.			
	Engineering	Signature: Sul	Schight	Date: 4/22/04
	Maintenance	Signature:	·	Date:
	И нь	Signature: Dal	- Jandy	Date: 4/22/04
		Signature:	/	Date:
•	Security	Signature:	·	Date:
	Operations	Signature: N/A- KA	В	Date: 4/22/04
	C Other	Signature:		Date:
		··		
	Maintenance Supervisor relea	se for Work:		
· · · ·		Signature:	<u></u>	Date: 4/22/04

Work Control Document:0Equipment ID:REquipment Description:RWork Plan Originator:D

0407919 R-1

REACTOR VESSEL AND ASSEMBLY Dave French x6291/pgr5098 UNIT: PB_1_

Date: April 22, 2004

,

Hold Point	Step No	Work Plan Description	Worker	Date
		From AFTER step <u>R1-5</u> of work plan.		
CAUTION:		The rope used for tag line SHALL be visible if it falls into the cavity.		
	R2-1.	When cavity is flooded to the correct level, proceed with work plan.	<u>ンニ MT</u>	- <u>4_22.4</u> DATE
	R2-2.	Personnel using tag lines to stabilize the man basket, locate appropriate places to tie of safety lanyards.	<u>ンコ</u> MT	4.22.9 DATE
	R2-3.	While stabilizing the Man-Basket with tag-line:.	とり	4.22.59
	SAT	 Perform a close inspection for damage of the machined surface of the column where the sleeve retaining clip engages 	MT	DATE
•		 Perform a close inspection of the T/C bundle and associated seals; looking for any "gross" damage (ie.: cracks, gaps, defects, connector(s) separated from wires,). 		
•		 Use available equipment as required to perform this inspection. 		
		ロ Do NOT proceed with any repairs at this time. Comments: GRCoil ロートレンモ SAフ		
		NO GROSS DAMAGE OF +/C COUNTEDORS MINOR Surface scretch where C-clip raised to AND OVER if GROUT		
•	•			
	R2-4.	Using attachment "C" from RMP 9312, for Unit 1 (attached), inspect each T/C tag to verify that it is still present. Note any deficiencies. Comments: <u>A 7 BLAJKGJ OFF NO TA G</u>	<u>ハン ハ</u> MT	4.22.4 DATE
NOTE:		The following steps deal with restoring the T/C bundle seal (Bullet Nose).		
	R2-5.	IF the O-Ring is NOT pre-greased; THEN, grease the O-Ring (Using Parker Super O-Lube, per Engineering (Eric Schmidt)).	JC MT	<u>9.27.4</u> DATE

Work Control Document:0407919Equipment ID:R-1Equipment Description:REACTOWork Plan Originator:Dave Free

REACTOR VESSEL AND ASSEMBLY Dave French x6291/pgr5098 UNIT: PB_1_

Date: April 22, 2004

Hold Point	Step No	Work Plan Description	Worker	Date
	R2-6.	Place the O-Ring in the indentation on the column.	2)5 MT	4.27.9 DATE
	R2-7.	Carefully group the thermocouple connectors together to allow them to slide into the protective sleeve (Bullet).	<u>با آ</u> MT	भ <u>. २१. ५</u> DATE
	R2-8.	Carefully, lower a SPARE protective sleeve over the connectors; and, slide into place on the column tube.	<u></u> MT	<u>ર્<u> </u></u>
	R2-9.	Install the retaining clip; and, ensure that the clip fits into the machined groove in the sleeve (Bullet), and the bullet fit is correct.	<u>ພຣ</u> MT	<u>4.22.9</u> DATE
	R2-10.	Return to BEFORE step <u>R1-11</u> of work plan to complete task.	<u>С~</u> МТ	<u>५-22-0५</u> DATE

•

POINT BEACH NUCLEAR PLANT ROUTINE MAINTENANCE PROCEDURES

COLOR

ł,

(W) 17

Fron: RMP 9312 SAFETY RELATED Revision 7 November 26, 2003



3/4*

REMOVAL AND INSTALLATION OF REACTOR VESSEL HEAD INSTRUMENTATION PORT CONOSEALS





UNIT 1 THERMOCOUPLE RISER CONNECTIONS AND CORRESPONDING CORE LOCATION



UNIT 2 THERMOCOUPLE RISER CONNECTIONS AND CORRESPONDING CORE LOCATION

Nuclear Power Business Unit Return to Service Testing Reviews

Work Order/Document No.

0407919

	1	INITIALS & DATE		
Work Group Post-Maintenance Testing *		Pre-Release	Post Work-RTS **	
	(C. R. S. S.			
None for Rev 0 of package		RB 4/21/04	ac-4-21-04	
]			
PMT Matrix Attached? \Box Y Specify \boxtimes N \Box N/A (\sqrt{box})				
Section XI Equipment? $\boxtimes Y \square N (\sqrt{box})$ Maint. Rule? $\boxtimes Y \square N (\sqrt{box})$				
]			
Section XI Engineering Review *		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	*** / **	
	1			
		n for	·	
. 14 / 17		Leyde Have	K. 122/4	
landa a shekara ngalara ga kata waka ina kata ta	ן ייין	<u>'4/22/2</u>	0.4	
Engineering Review *	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		***/** .	
71-230 La clark Ly DIS 1 h	า เ	NL VIDZIA	<u></u>	
		ALD TILLETO	Nulari	
			1 14	
Operations - SBO Review for PMT Adamper & Operability Testing		<u>.</u>		
operations - 500 Review for 1 M17 Adequacy to operating				
done for fer i		A Half		
			V 4/22/	
<u>75-32</u>			1-74	
/ Liv a		·		
<u>11-630</u>				
				
······································				
a an ta tanang balang nang atang atang talan ng 199 na ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang			and the second second second second	
Comments / Resolutions				
	250			
Test requirements listed in the work plan (SRO Review)? [Y] N] N/A			1	

* Specify required equipment/plant conditions with PMT activities.

** If original work scope is unchanged, Post RTS signoff may be N/A'd by WCC SRO or designee.

*** May be N/A'd by WCC SRO or designee for "S" type WOs or "C" type WOs where a PMT is not required by procedure scope.

PBF-2114 Revision 1 (04/28/99

Point Beach Nuclear Plant CATEGORIZATION AND MITIGATION OF RISK

WO#: 0407919

CATEGORIZE ACTIVITY RISK

Note: [] indicates CHAMPS risk code

Factors that Increase Probability	Y	N	Factors that Increase Consequences	Y	N
*First time evolution [FT]		X	*Personnel safety [PS]	X	+
*Complex activities [CA]		X	*Potential for unit trip/plant transient [TT]		x
*Infrequently performed evolution [IP]		Z	*Reactivity management concern [RM]		X
Abnormal or unusual conditions [AC]		T	*Potential for loss of decay heat removal [DH]		X
Distractive environment [DE]		X	*Potential for significant equipment damage [ED]		X
Internal or external OE indicates potential for re-work. failure, error or event [OE]		X	Radiological safety concern (e.g. potential for change due to work activities such as grinding. filter changeout, resin sluice) [RH]		X
Limited training or experience [TE]		X	Potential for safety system loss [SL]		X
Time constraints [TC]		X	Tech. Spec. concern (e.g., exceeding one half of LCO time, surveillance approaching late date, mode change) [TS]		X
Coordination of multiple groups [MG]		X	Potential for safety system actuation [SA]		X
Plan change [PC]		X	Adverse impact on emergency plan [EP]		X
Containment entry at Power [CE]		X	Environmental concern (e.g. spills or releases) [EV]		Ţ
			Flooding (e.g., use of freeze seals or plugs, draining or filling major systems) [FL]		Z
			Foreign material intrusion (e.g. SFP work) [FM]	X	
			Potential for unexpected generation loss [GL]		X
			Regulatory risk [RR]		X
			High visibility/Manager discretion [HV]		X

Assign Risk Category based on the following guidelines:

- 1. If none of the factors that increase probability or consequence is present, then the risk category is normal.
- 2. If factors that increase probability are present then the risk category is medium.
- 3. If factors that increase consequences are present then the risk category is medium.
- 4. If one or more of the asterisked factors that increase probability <u>or</u> one or more of the asterisked factors that increase consequences are present, then the risk category is medium or high.
- 5. If one or more of the asterisked probability factors <u>and</u> one or more of the asterisked consequence factors are present, then the activity should be categorized as high risk.

(MEDIUM Circle Risk Category: HIGH NORMAL

۰.

Point Beach Nuclear Plant CATEGORIZATION AND MITIGATION OF RISK

RISK MITIGATION COMPENSATORY ACTIONS

Risk Category	Compensatory Actions
High	1. 2. 4. 5, 6. 7, 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13
Medium	3. 4. 8, 9, 12, 13
Normal	Normal work management processes

Compensatory Actions	Y	N	NA
1) Complete look-ahead plan (section 4.2).			X
2) Utilize high risk pre-job briefing process (section 4.3)			X
3) Documented pre-job briefing required. (section 4.3).	X		,
4) FLS attends or conducts pre-job briefing to ensure adequacy.	X		
5) Manager (general supervisor or above) attends or conducts pre-job briefing			x
6) Mock-up required prior to work or just-in-time training required prior to work			X
7) Fragnet schedule developed for activity.			x
8) Repair contingencies developed.	Ņ		
9) Critical steps identified and appropriate steps identified in accordance with NP 2.1.2. Independent Verification and Concurrent Checks.	X	•	
10) Pictures taken of components at key steps for use in turnovers, documentation, training and post-job briefings.	X		
11) FLS manages turnover meeting with all disciplines to ensure adequacy.	X		
12) Conduct post-job briefings (section 4:5).	X		
13) Required field observation points identified.	X		

Explain "No" answers: _____

File with work package or controlling document.

٠

.



file://A:\MVC-005F.JPG

Page 1 of 1



file://A:\MVC-008F.JPG

승규는 것 같아요. 이 것 같은 것 같은 것 같은 것 같이 많다. 이 것 같아요. 이 것 같아.	
an an an an ann an Anna an Anna an Anna an Anna an an an an an an an an an anna an an	د هم د است المراجع و مسلحه ما بسر مع معرفة و بر الكر معطول و معاد ما به مع معرف مع معرف مع معرف معرف و معرف مسلحه معرف معرف مسلحه ما بسر معرف و معرفة و بر الكر معطول و معرفة مع معرف مع معرف مع معرف مع معرف معرف مع
그 집중 같은 것이 같은 것 같은 것은 것을 가지 않는 것이 같이 있다.	그 가야 물을 맞는 것이 같은 것도 한 것 같아요. 것이 가지 않는 것이 같이 많이
이는 것은 것이 있는 것이 있는 것이 있는 것이 있는 것이 있는 것이 가지 않는 것이다. 이 것은 같은 것이 있는 것이 가지 않는 것이 가지 않는 것이 있는 것이 것을 것을 수 있는 것이 있는 것이 같이 있는 것이 있다.	- 김 사실 가방 것은 것은 것이 같은 것은 것이 있는 것을 것 같이 있다. 같은 것은 것을 것 같이 있다.
이 같은 것은 것은 것을 알려요. 이 것은 것은 것은 것은 것은 것은 것은 것은 것은 것을 가지? 같은 것은	A CARACTER AND A CARA
	이 집 같은 것 같은 것 같은 것 같이 있는 것 같은 것 같이 있는 것 같이 있는 것 같이 많이
	그는 것 같은 사람이 있는 것이 같은 것이 많이 많이 많이 했다.
이는 것 같이 있는 것 같은 것 같은 것 같은 것 같은 것 같이 있는 것 같이 있는 것 같이 있다. 이는 것 같이 있는 것 같이 없는 것 같이 없는 것 같이 있는 것 같이 없는 것 같이 없는 것 같이 없는 것 같이 있는 것 같이 없는 것 같이 있는 것 같이 없는 것 같이 않 것 같이 없는 것 같이 없 않는 것 같이 없는 것 것 같이 없는 것 같이 없다. 것 같이 없는 것 같이 없	이 영화가 좋아지 않는 것 같아요. 물건이 가지 않는 것 같아.
그는 것 같은 것은 것은 것을 잘 못했다. 것은 것 같은	요즘 사람들은 전화 전화 같은 것은 것은 것은 것이 없는 것이 없는 것이 없다.
	그는 사람은 감독하게 가슴을 가는 것을 가 지않는 것이다.
	그는 것 같은 것 같은 것 같은 것 같은 것 같이 있는 것이 같이 했다.
[1] 2017년 - 18일 · 2017년 12일 - 2017년 12일 · 2017년 12	
	이 사람은 전화가 물려 있는 것 같은 것 같은 것 같이 많다. 한 것 같은 것 같은 것
	والمراجع المراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمترجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع

.

.

.



file://A:\MVC-005F.JPG











file://A:\MVC-001F.JPG



file://A:\MVC-001F.JPG

Point Beach Nuclear Plant APPROVAL FOR HARD HAT EXEMPTION

EXEMPTION	ANALYSIS INFORMATION

Date: 4/22/04
Supervisor Requesting Exemption: (Print) Britting by R.
LOCATION TO BE ENEMPT
Facility: 66 porson barlat foran counter sporter
Description: Bullet Nain
WO Number/Procedure for which this exemption applies: 04-57919
Additional Information:
JOB SAFETY ASSESSMENT
Activity: install bulat mind
Justification for the exemption: for shill, rand
·
Compensatory Measures: No ne had had
Supervisor Signature:
Exemption Expiration Date: $\frac{\mu}{22}\frac{1}{64}$
The original copy of this form shall be returned to Industrial Health and Safety. A copy

.

of this exemption shall be hung at the location of the activity.

ż

Process for Commencing Scheduled Outage Work Activities on Unit 1 and Common Systems (Revision 2 - 4/11/04)

Note: Information in this document does not supercede any station procedural requirements.

Priority and sequence of work activities shall be in accordance with the following:

First Priority – Increase RCS Inventory and Exit Shutdown Safety Assessment Yellow Conditions on Core Cooling and Inventory

Scheduled activities required to raise RCS inventory. These activities include but are not limited to those scheduled to support reactor vessel head lift and reactor cavity flood up.

Second Priority – Focused Specialty Activities

Steam Generator Eddy Current and Sludge Lancing⁻ Main Turbine and Generator Work Reactor Vessel Head Inspection Heat Exchanger Hydro-Lancing and Eddy Current

Third Priority – Remaining Scheduled Work

Upon Plant Manager approval for commencement of scheduled outage work, the following process will be followed:

- Work activities are selected based on established priority and sequence.
- Primary work group responsible for a selected activity completes a "Unit 1 R28 Recovery and Restart Checklist."
- Outage Control Center validates that the selected activity is appropriately sequenced.
- Operations Outage Coordinator validates that plant conditions will support completion of the selected activity.
- Safety Assessment confirms that the selected activity will not adversely affect the planned Shutdown Safety Assessment.
- The selected activity job supervisor/contract liaison completes the Senior Management Interview with a designated Senior Management Representative.

- The Shift Outage Manager reviews the completed "Unit 1 R28 Recovery and Restart Checklist," resolves any discrepancies and then approves the selected activity for release when the job supervisor/contract liaison communicates to the Shift Outage Manager that the activity is ready to proceed safely, all communications lines are established and functioning, and the single point of contact for the activity is identified.
- The selected activity job supervisor/contract liaison conducts pre-job briefing with all personnel involved in the selected activity using information obtained from the associated "Unit 1 R28 Recovery and Restart Checklist."
- Operations Work Control Center Shift Manager releases the activity to the affected work group for completion.

"Supervisor" is defined as any person designated to direct any of the approved selected activities and includes contract liaisons and contract supervisory personnel.

Conduct of Business for Scheduled Outage Work Activities on Unit 1 and Common Systems that Carry Over More than One Shift

It is imperative that we maintain our process on those activities that carry over across shift change. As such, we must ensure the following sequence-critical concepts are preserved:

- 1. Activities shall have safe condition hold points pre-defined (i.e. formally in the work document, informally in the pre-job brief, etc).
- 2. All contract liaisons/supervisors must receive their activity specific senior management interview prior to supervising the selected activity.
- 3. Personnel assigned to complete an activity must receive a pre-job brief prior to commencing work on the selected activity.

For activities with performance durations that are greater than one shift, the following steps shall be completed in the sequence prescribed below to support scheduled turnover:

- 1. The associated activity will be placed in a safe condition at one of the pre-defined hold points.
- 2. The contract liaison(s)/supervisor(s) receive(s) their activity specific senior management interview.
- 3. The associated contract liaison(s)/supervisor(s) conducts pre-job briefing with all personnel involved in the selected activity using information obtained from the associated "Unit 1 R28 Recovery and Restart Checklist."
- 4. The activity may then commence.

If it is determined that the nature of a work activity will require work to be performed during scheduled shift turnover, the following additional actions will be pre-identified and coordinated (i.e. supervision and craft brought in early) to support turnover on station:

- 1. The contract liaison(s)/supervisor(s) receive(s) their activity specific senior management interview.
- 2. The associated contract liaison(s)/supervisor(s) conducts pre-job briefing with all personnel involved in the selected activity using information obtained from the associated "Unit 1 R28 Recovery and Restart Checklist."

1<u>11/c4</u>

Jim Shàw

POINT BEACH NUCLEAR PLANT UNIT 1 R28 RECOVERY AND RESTART CHECKLIST (Unit 1 and Common Scheduled Activities)

Work Order or Activity Number:	0407	919	Built Nose	. Recourse
OPIT Scheduling Representative:	Steve.	- Th	eriautt	(
LOOK AHEAD CHECKLIST:			Completed on	Initial
Work Package In Progress (Started but not complete)	Yes/No	Date:	4-22-04	al
Work Package/Procedure Reviewed	Yes	Date:	4-22-04	Cal
Work Package/Procedure Revision Needed to Restart	Yes No/NA	Date:	4-22-04	d
Work Package/Procedure Revision Completed	Yes/No/NA	Date:	4-22-04	al
Work Package/Procedure Reviewed for Error Traps	Yes	Date:	4.22-04	Cel
Contractor Liaison Assigned	Yes/NA	Date:	4-22-04	al
Documentation/Logging/Notification Requirements Identified for Pre-Job Brief	Yes	Date:	4-25-04	ch
Pre-Job Brief Prepared for Starting/Restarting Evolution	(es)	Date:	4-23-04	a

Remarks (At a minimum, explain any "No" answers, list contact name for contractor liaison and describe oversight plan.)

.

NO PROCECTURE REVISION NEEDED COL 4-2204

WORK TRANSITION/NOTIFICATION/TURNOVER:

Work Groups Involved:

.

Mech.Maint.	Yes/No	Contact:	2 PIding	I&C Maint.	Yestha	Contact:	NÍA
Elect. Maint.	(Yes)No	Contact: 🤶	WIEWELKE	Chemistry	Yesko	Contact:	NA
Operations	(Yes/No	Contact: L	1.1c.0x -	Security	YesNo	Contact:	,VIA
Engineering	VesJNo	Contact:	Kerostil	Nuclear Oversight	Yeski	Contact:	NA
Construction	Yes/No	Contact:	NIA	Training	Yes/No)	Contact:	NIA
Rad Protection	(Yes)No	Contact: 🧲	ubery_	Others:	Yesh	Contact:	NIA
WORK GROU	P HANDO	OFF FLOW	PATH:		C		
Start Ofs	> _	PP.	`>	HI Heat	>	ofs	>
	> _		` >		_ > (<u>Complete</u>	
Completed By:		Pr	<u>H RIDing</u> int Name		pature	0.	4-22-64 Date
Schedule Valid	ated (OC	C) <u>)</u>	int Name	Litte the Sic	Line Inature	Chul	Date
Plant Condition for Restarting V (Ops Outage C	s Evaluat Vork oordinato	r)	n Caro int Name	T Sig			4/22/04 Date
Safety Assessn	nent Revi	ew <u>M;\</u> Pri	<u>ve LeG</u> nt Name	rever 7	inature	K-	<u> </u>

.

.

RESPONSIBLE SUPERVISOR / TURNOVER INTERVIEW

Senior Management Interview Complete	<u>Tim</u> <i>Drawner</i> Print Name	1 Jan B Buchu Signature	4/21/04 Date
Responsible Supervisor	CH RIGINS Print Name	I Signature	4/22/04 Date
Shift Outage Manager Review	Jin BRANDEL Print Name	1 Jun & Durch	<u> </u>
Comments:			
Completed forms should be ret	ained in the OCC		<u>.</u>
RESPONSIBLE SUPERVISOR	R / TURNOVER INTE	RVIEW	
Senior Management Interview Complete	Jin Beanper Print Name	1 for 13 Pontis	<u>4/27/04</u> Date
Responsible Supervisor	Briffingham R. Print Name	I AMALE Signature	4 /22/04 Date
Shift Outage Manager Review	J.n. BRAUDER Print Name	1 Jan Bright Signature	4/22/04 Date
Comments:			<u> </u>
Completed forms should be reta	ained in the OCC		

NOTE: Document additional supervisor interviews (if different from above) on additional sheets as necessary.

.

•

Special Pre-Job Brief Addendum

Key Error Traps

(√)

First time evolution

There is no such thing as a routine evolution. It is experience with the task and over-familiarity that are just as dangerous as being the first time evolution. STOP – THINK – ACT – REVIEW

Distractive or poor environment

Work has been interrupted for over a day now. Employees are tired of being idle. It is time to focus our attention on our task

- 1. Is your workstation the same as you left it?
- 2. What has changed?
- 3. Will any changes affect my task?

Inadequate mental/physical state

- 1. Ask yourself: "Are you truly ready."
- 2. Stop if you are unsure
- 3. As a result of the interruption, your mental and physical state have been removed from your task. Prepare yourself for re-entry into the work environment by ensuring you understand your role and the activity you are to perform.

Time pressure

If you are feeling time pressure, this is a precursor that the task is being driven by outside factors. It promotes shortcuts and forces you into knowledge-based performance. Raise the concern to supervision and work out a resolution.

Imprecise communication

- 1. Make sure the sender has the receiver's attention (the 1st leg of 3-way)
- 2. Make sure the receiver has received the message (the 2nd leg of 3-way)
- 3. Acknowledge receipt of repeat back (the 3rd leg of 3-way)
- 4. Wait until the communication is finished before moving on. When rushed, people will tend to cut communications shorter than normal or go off running prior to communication closure.

Other error likely tasks

- 1. Making assumptions
- 2. Not knowing who the "they" are. Ask if you don't know who the "they" person is.
- 3. Not applying ACEMAN
 - a. Accident free
 - b. Control dose
 - c. Event free
 - d. Meet the schedule
 - e. Attend training
 - f. No rework
- 4. Loss of a barrier to excellence
 - a. Non-qualified workers
 - b. Lack of job planning and preparation
 - c. No verification and validation
 - d. Inadequate supervisory oversight
 - e. Poor worker practices

Point Beach Nuclear Plant High Risk Work Pre-Job Briefing Checklist

WO / PROCEDURE # 0407919	EOUIPM		DATE: 4-21-04
ATTENDEES			
SUPERVISOR: C. C. C. C. 45	Brittingham		
JOB LEADER: Ducit	N. Johnson		
SEE Attached	Su atta	iched	······
Skilf			Continue on reverse side
REVIEW	DISCUSS & CHECK	OFF all Applical	ble Items
(V) Proper Authorization to Begin Current Revision Section is Sig Drawings Cover Sheet Text Detail Sheet Work Plan Hold Points Self Checking Independent Verification and C Post Maintenance Testing	Work gned on Procedures & RW182 04 Concurrent Checks	(√) • Perso • Nucl • Equi • Chec Use I • High • Poter posti • Work • Post • Chen CHE	Safety Safety Dennel / PPE ear / Potential Impact on Plant pment tk Electrical Equipment to be De-energized, or Energized Electrical Safety Checklist PBF-9044 Energy Safety Requirements ntial Trip-Sensitive Equipment in Area (consider ng or barricading) king Conditions Area for Hazards as Needed nical Contaminant and Control Requirements S Sheet in Work Package Radiation Precautions
(√) • Protected Worker Log • Boundaries • Walkdown • Notes & Cautions		(√) • ALA • RWF • Radw	RA waste Considerations
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
 Permits Ignition Control Transient Combustibles Scaffold Final Inspection Check Confined Space Fire Barrier Penetration Person Lift Utilizing Working Platform and Overhead Crane 	PBF-0068 PBF-1911a klist PBF-9114 PBF-0038 PBF-0034 PBF-9108	(√) • Revio when • Forei • Hous • Revio • Indiv • Lesso • Comm • Secur • Comm • Secur • Comm • Secur • Comm • Secur • Pro-Fr • Post- • Addre	Dther ew Concrete Drilling/Core Bore Precautions authorized to cut rebar in concrete. PBF-9219 gn Material Exclusion ekeeping ew OE Included in Work Package idual Responsibilities & Qualifications ons Learned nunications Requirements rity Notification rol Room / Watchstander Notification oment and Plant Responses to be Expected e Performing Work al Tools / Instruments ab Complete Job Debrief PBF-9218 ess Concerns/Questions – Specify

STOP - THINK - ACT - REVIEW

•

.

.

.

REVIEW/DISCUSS & CHECK OFF all Items

Significant Steps	Performance/Error Modes
(1) <u>Significant Steps</u>	(1) <u>remainder provinsions</u>
 While reviewing work package with the craft, identify the critical steps in the job. What must go right to be successful? With craft input, determine which steps require "Double Check" (inspection by another qualified individual). Mark those steps with the Double Check (√√) stamp as necessary. 	 For the critical steps determined on the left, and using the Performance Mode Definitions on the next page of this form, determine what performance mode people will be in when they perform those steps. Discuss with the craft for each critical step the common error mode and preventive techniques associated with the performance mode used in those steps. See Error Mode Chart on the next page of this form for error modes and preventive techniques.
$\underline{\underbrace{\text{Error Likely Situations}}}_{(\sqrt{)}}$	$\frac{}{(\sqrt{)}} \qquad \underline{Assumptions}$
 Using the Common Precursors to Human Error Chart on the next page, review the job with the craft and determine if any exist for this job. 	 If anyone in the brief makes the statement: "I think" or "I believe," challenge their assumptions before their inaccurate mental model creates a problem on the job.
• Discuss and implement with craft ways to counter them.	 Use Qualification, Validation, and Verification (QV&V) to eliminate assumptions.
Key Defense Analysis	Mitigating Actions Used
(1)	
• What are the defenses important for the job's success?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
• What are the barriers for the most likely errors and what are the worst case consequences if they fail?	
• What defenses are missing or flawed? Correct as necessary.	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

PERFORMANCE MODE DEFINITIONS

Skill-Based Mode – Highly practiced actions, routine activity, usually executed from memory without conscious thought in a thoroughly familiar environment. An example is "skill of the craft actions."

Rule-Based Mode – Behavior based upon stored rules based on one's recognition of the problem situation. An example is following the instructions of a work plan or procedure.

Knowledge-Based Mode – Developing a method of coping with an unfamiliar situation using mental representation of the situation based on one's knowledge of the system, scientific principles, and fundamental theory. An example is troubleshooting an unknown problem in a component where there are no specific guidelines to follow.

ERROR MODE CHART

Performance Mode

<u>Error Mode</u>

Preventive Techniques

Skill-Based Rule-Based Knowledge-Based Inattention Misinterpretation Inaccurate Mental Model Self-Checking Peer Check Qualify, Validate, and Verify (QV&V) Peer Check Timcout, Stop, and Collaborate

COMMON PRECURSORS TO HUMAN ERROR CHART

Task Demands High workload Time pressure Simultaneous, multiple tasks Repetitive actions Irreversible actions Interpretation requirement Unclear goals, roles, or responsibilities Lack of or unclear standards

Work Environment Distractions/interruptions Changes/departure from routine Confusing procedure/vague guidance Confusing displays/controls Workarounds? OOS instrumentation Hidden system response Unexpected equipment conditions Lack of alternate indication Individual Capabilities Unfamiliarity with task Lack of knowledge New technique, not used before Imprecise communications habits Lack of proficiency/inexperience Unsystematic problem-solving skills "Can do" attitude for safety-critical task Illness/fatigue

Human Nature Stress Habit patterns Assumptions Complacency/overconfidence Mind set (intentions) Inaccurate risk perception Mental shortcuts (biases) Limited short-term memory

Point Beach Nuclear Plant PRE-JOB BRIEF CHECKLIST					
JOB/EVOLUTION: 1R-1 cono-seal	AREAS/	WO# 0407919	DATE: 4-1/-01		
ATTENDEES		BRIEFING RE	QUIRED DAILY IF CHECKED		
Conducted By: CRIDINS					
Duciat					
Wilguns					
Zipprund			Use back of sheet if necessary		
Drupy					
<u>KEVIEW7</u>	DISCUSS & CHECK U	T Applicable	<u></u>		
(N) • Purpose, leader, resources, tool • Procedures, work orders, drawin • Maintenance Rule Status of the	s, parts ngs, permits affected system	() • Perso • Plant avoid • Equi • Ashe • Lead	Hazards onnel safety/PPE t operation, power generation, nuclear safety, trip Jance pinent istos paint		
Energy Sources (√) Tag boundaries Energized equipment, de-energi pressurized, de-pressurized Protected Worker Log	zed equipment.	(V) • ALA • RWF • Rady	<u>RA</u> RA vaste Considerations		
(V) Communication requirements Necessary notifications Individual job requirements are Radio Frequency Interference (Room)	understood RF1) areas (e.g., Control	(N) Logis Forei Hous Secur PBN	Other stics support requirements gn Material Exclusion ekeeping rity notification P/Industry event Lessons learned		
(N) Industry and in-house operating Error-likely-situations, as applic Defenses-barriers Independent verifications and ex Termination criteria-recovery, as Protected equipment in the area	experience, as applicable able incurrent checks s applicable	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Kev Error Traps time evolution active or poor environment quate mental/physical state pressure ecise communication error likely tasks		
($$) Job briefings Procedure use Administrative program use Turnover meetings Supervisory presence Review verification Co-worker coaching Self improvement		(V) Critic Error Possil Defer incluc tools	Critical Steps al steps identified for the work activity likely situations at each critical step ble consequences of errors at each critical step uses or contingencies for each critical step. ling use of the appropriate human error reduction		

Committee to Nuclear Ercatence	DOCUMENT	ATION OF N SHARING		
See revers	se side for instructions on o	completion of th	is for	· . m.
GROUP: MHINT	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	DATE:	4-	22-04
Description:				· · · ·
Built pos	& Recovery			
INFORMATION SHARIN	G MODE: G Requir	ed Reading sion ational Messag	ge / B	ulletin
PERSONNEL BRIEFED	(attach additional pages	if needed): `		
Print Name	Signature	ID Numb	ber	Req. Reading Date
Joseph A Lancecter	August.			1
MJGRAM	A Man			
Daniel T-Shannon	Galkh			
Joff MARY.	Ame		•	1
JofP Ernt .	Bring	TPALSA	Rate	J
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
· · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			•
	1			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	· ·			
	··			
		<u>l</u> _		• <u>•••••</u> ••••
onsider for follow-up trai	ning?. 🔲 Yes	No-No		
Briefing Completed by:	2-11		Datat	ula la d
bliefing Completed by.	Signatur	е	Date:	4/2404
Group Supervisor/Manac	er: NAL		Date:	ali star
	Signatur	e		

Page 1 of 2

QF-1060-02	Rev. 1	(FP-T-SAT-60)
------------	--------	---------------

Comming to Nuclear Ercovence	DOCUMENTAT INFORMATION	TION OF SHARING	
See rever	se side for instructions on cor	mpletion of this fo	rm.
GROUP: MAINT	·····	DATE: <u>4-</u>	22-04
TITLE:			
Description:			
Bullet No	SE Recountry		
INFORMATION SHARI	NG MODE: CREquired Discussi Informati Other	l Reading on onal Message / I	Sulletin
PERSONNEL BRIEFED	(attach additional pages if	needed):	
Print Name	Signature	ID Number	Req. Reading . Date
CH RIDINYS	\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{C}		7
S. WIEGAND	Sal		
1- 2ineries	Tirl James		
Duone Ducat	Diane Ducart		
Kenn J. Koonig_	1ª jui	_∦ _)	·
Tina Peltiev	the fame	-]. {	
Dave Sielaft	Derf Dielf	- I I	
DON HARRISON	L D. Facusar		·
MARK, E. MISLINSKI	mare E muslinse		
MARK PERLEWITZ	All- F		
Joe Mentz	HIMMANNY	_	
STEVELST CKER			J
Consider for follow-up tra	ining? 🗍 Yes	🗌 No	
Briefing Completed by:	Signature	Date	: y/cyor
Group Supervisor/Manag	ger:Signature	Date	: <u>4/2404</u>

6

.

Retain in: Training Records

.....

.

•

Nuclear Power Business Unit

.

ATTENDANCE REPORT

Date: 4/22/2004	Title: Cono-seal Bullit	+ inst.	
Attendee's Name (Last, First, MI)		Attendee	
Please Print	Attendee's Signature	Identification Number	
Walash Rusi E	Run Galace		1
FLORING DAVE	Rous Montal		
STILART. NICHOLASC (Muldate		ł
MUSCARELLA, JAMES E	Jamis C Muscarella		
Jandrey Dale L	Dae Llandy		
Kennedy James Dale	James Dale Vennesty	1	• • •
MAIL' Jeffren R.	Selfor Mah (T		Pxt
Alexander, Ridn D	Moall.		Une
CHRISTL DAVID 5 (Kind thirt]	
Johnson Noil T	Deil Johns	1	`
Schlies Allen F	aller F Saler		
Percutika, JaAnn M	Jan Fraits		
Macca Charloffe M	Charlott meen	L. L	
VIQNAL: DAN L	Man llegnil.	<u> </u>	
Lawrence ; Gay J	s.J.Zango	-	
Reiff. Alan T	ABR	_	•
LUVIAN BRANK (N Strange		
SCHAFFER SCOTT M.	Such the Super :	_	
Halbar L Brian J	BAN	·	
Howard KAZE 4/22/04			
Condora Kennigh B	Kennit Coula	· ·	•
HOLZMANN', MIKE	Alike the		
BUTTERBRADE, DAVID	AbuillBittert		
n.idl, Sric	2 i A 3 DA		
Jim McCarte	4		•
Kill Hennipsy	2 Lalterezsy	1	•
Dank Bizida T	Dinate prov		
Biler Sthreiner 41)	42:40, 4n A. Pricker		
Sipiers k' Mary C	They Colot		•
GREEN AMES G	Guer	· .	
Winget La	Calut	· `	
Peterson, Lang J.	Sany Peterson	· ·	1
	00 -		
	<u> </u>		
Management Signature:			

PBF-0101 Revision 0 11/12/97

٢