		-		
[•] Nuclear Management C	ompany			Page 1 of 2
		C	OPY	
E State Change History	,			
4/11/20	Pre-Screen 104 11:52:48 PM vner (None)	Etts	•	
⊆ Section 1				
Activity Request Id:	CAP055587 ·			
Activity Type:	CAP	Submit Date:	4/11/2004 1	1:52:48 PM
✤ One Line Description:	S/G Nozzle Dam Installation	on Dose Exceeded Estimate	·	R ⁱ
Detailed Description:	4/11/2004 11:52:48 PM - \$ During the installation of th received (4375 mrem) exc	SHANNON, DAN: he S/G nozzle dams for the U eeded the estimate from the p	1R28 refueling outage, the pre-job ALARA review (24)	e dose 40 mrem).
Initiator:	SHANNON, DAN 📁	Initiator Department:	PR Radiatio PB 🛛	n Protection
Date/Time of Discovery:	: 4/11/2004 11:14:30 PM	Date/Time of Occurrence:	4/9/2004 3:1	4:30 PM
Identified By:	Site-identified	System:	(None)	
Equipment # (1st):	(None)	Equipment Type (1st):	(None)	
Equipment # (2nd):	(None)	Equipment Type (2nd) :	(None)	
Equipment # (3rd):	(None)	Equipment Type (3rd) :	(None)	
Site/Unit:	Point Beach - Unit 1			
Why did this occur?:	4/11/2004 11:52:48 PM - SHANNON, DAN: There were several contributing factors which caused the dose for this job to exceed the estimate. These factors include but are not limited to: 1. Problems with use of bubble hood respirators, 2. Difficulties with installation of the nozzle dams which caused extra jumps and more time spent on the platform than that expected (based on unit 2), 3. Removal and reinstallation of A S/G Hotleg nozzle dam due to not having an RCS vent path, 4. Delays caused by the need for additional personnel to perform jumps, 5. Lack of a proper jump platform for the A S/G jumps.			
	amount of extra dose due t mrem).	received due to factor #3 was o factors #1, 2, 4, and 5 collec		
Immediate Action Taken		LANNON DANK		
Recommendations:	4/11/2004 11:52:48 PM - S Engineering programs lead group and implement these refueling outage.	HANNON, DAN: determine lessons learned w elessons prior to nozzle dam i	rith input from Scientech a removal for unit 1 and the	nd the RP next
Votify Me During Eval?:	N ů	SRO Review Required?:	N	
	(None) & Compensatory A	ctions: N		
Basis for Operability:	NI			1
 ♥ Unplanned TSAC Entry: □ Section 3 	N 🏾 🕸 External Notificat	lion: N		
Screened?:	N & Significance Level:	(None) .		
INPO OE Reqd?:		N	Information in this reco	William datas d
₴ QA/Nuclear Oversight?:	N & Licensing Review?:	N	in accordance with the Act, exemptions, 5 FOIA_PA-2004	Freedom of Information
Inappropriate Action:		•	1	- ACO
Process: (N	lone) Activity:	(None)	/	-14

http://enws02/tmtrack/tmtrack.dll?IssuePage&Template-viewbody&constrait.cosocon

.....