

Department of Energy

Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management
Office of Repository Development
1551 Hillshire Drive
Las Vegas, NV 89134-6321

QA: N/A
Project No. WM-00011

MAY 2 0 2005

OVERNIGHT MAIL

ATTN: Document Control Desk
Director, Division of High-Level Waste
Repository Safety
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
11555 Rockville Pike
Rockville, MD 20852-2738

RESPONSES TO TWO AUDIT OBSERVER INQUIRIES (AOI) FOLLOWING PERFORMANCE-BASED AUDIT BY U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY (DOE) OFFICE OF QUALITY ASSURANCE AND OBSERVED BY U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION (NRC) STAFF

During the week of January 24 - 28, 2005, DOE conducted a performance-based audit of activities in support of the Preclosure Safety Analysis. In the course of conducting this audit, DOE received two AOI forms, both submitted by Timothy J. Kobetz (NRC). Enclosed with this letter are copies of the AOIs along with the respective DOE responses.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact David C. Haught at (702) 794-5474 or e-mail david_haught@ymp.gov.

opeph D. Ziegler, Director

Office of License Application & Strategy

OLA&S:DCH-0840

Enclosures:

1. AOI Form – AP-3.12Q, Rev. 2 ICN 2; and Response

2. AOI Form – AP-3.13Q; and Response

MMSSON

cc w/encls:

T. J. Kobetz, NRC, Rockville, MD

D. S. Rom, NRC, Rockville, MD

L. D. Wert, NRC, Arlington, TX

D. B. Spitzberg, NRC, Arlington, TX

R. M. Latta, NRC, Las Vegas, NV

J. D. Parrott, NRC, Las Vegas, NV

M. P. Lee, ACNW, Rockville, MD

M. T. Ryan, ACNW, Rockville, MD

W. C. Patrick, CNWRA, San Antonio, TX

Budhi Sagar, CNWRA, San Antonio, TX

J. R. Egan, Egan, Fitzpatrick, Malsch & Cynkar, Vienna, VA

J. H. Kessler, EPRI, Charlotte, NC

M. J. Apted, Monitor Scientific, LLC, Denver, CO

Rod McCullum, NEI, Washington, DC

W. D. Barnard, NWTRB, Arlington, VA

Pat Guinan, State of Nevada, Carson City, NV

R. R. Loux, State of Nevada, Carson City, NV

Alan Kalt, Churchill County, Fallon, NV

Irene Navis, Clark County, Las Vegas, NV

George McCorkell, Esmeralda County, Goldfield, NV

Ron Damele, Eureka County, Eureka, NV

Michael King, Inyo County, Edmonds, WA

Andrew Remus, Inyo County, Independence, CA

Mickey Yarbro, Lander County, Battle Mountain, NV

G. T. Rowe, Lincoln County, Pioche, NV

Linda Mathias, Mineral County, Hawthorne, NV

Dave Swanson, Nye County, Pahrump, NV

Mike Simon, White Pine County, Ely, NV

R. I. Holden, National Congress of American Indians, Washington, DC

cc w/o encls:

M. G. Bailey, NRC, Rockville, MD

F. D. Brown, NRC, Rockville, MD

Jack Guttmann, NRC Rockville, MD

James Rubenstone, NRC, Rockville, MD

N. K. Stablein, NRC, Rockville, MD

OCRWM AUDIT OBSERVER INQUIR)	QA: N/A
Audit No. OCRWMP-OOA-05-20 Sequence No. Name (Observer) TIM KORETE Organization ALC	
Requirement Reference AP-3.12Q Rev.	2 ICNZ 3/10/04
Question/Concern: THE PROCEDURE (SECTION)	5.1 \$ 5.3) DISCUSS
PRELIMINARY & FINAL CALCULATION	s on AMUISIS. Howard
IT IS NOT CLEAR FROM THE PROC	DURE AND INTAVIOUS
(AND OTHER DISCUSSIONS WITH DOE STAF	F) WHEN AN CACC.
OR ANALYSIS SHOULD BE MADE I	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
IT IS NOT CHAR IF A CALC. OR	ANALYSIS MUST BE
FINAL TO SUPPORT CONCLUSIONS	STATED IN A POTENTIAL
LICENSE APPLICATION.	
	•
Response:	
see attached response	<u>(1)</u>
·	
Audit Team Leader:	
Acknowledgement of Receipt:	Date:
Observer Signature	

Audit No. OCRWMP-OQA-05-20 Response to AOI on AP-3.12Q, Revision 2, ICN 2

Response

The U.S. Department of Energy's LA will provide design and safety analysis information in appropriate detail to demonstrate compliance with 10 CFR 63 for the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission to docket the LA, prepare safety evaluations, conduct hearings, and make conclusions relative to the safety of the facility. Supporting material that is referenced, or otherwise available, will be prepared and approved under Yucca Mountain Project Quality Assurance Program provisions and will be fully suitable as the basis for the safety analysis and its conclusion.

Under the current version of the procedure, AP-3.12Q *DESIGN CALCULATIONS AND ANALYSES*, there are two types of calculations, preliminary and final. Calculations and analyses performed to this procedure and issued are suitable for regulatorily required safety analyses.

- Preliminary calculations are issued to support internal use, estimates, client review and regulatorily required safety analyses. Preliminary calculations are identified by using upper case alpha revision designators (the last three alphanumeric characters of the document number).
- Final calculations support the above purposes as well as support bids, procurement, fabrication, construction and operations. Final calculations are identified by using numeric revision designators (the last three alphanumeric characters of the document number).

AP-3.12Q is currently undergoing a revision, which will contain three types of calculations, preliminary, committed, and final. Once the procedure change is implemented:

- Preliminary calculations will be defined as being issued to support internal use, and estimates. Preliminary calculations will be identified by using upper case alpha revision designators (the last three alphanumeric characters of the document number) and marked preliminary on the cover sheet.
- Committed calculations will be defined as suitable to support regulatorily required safety analyses. Committed calculations will be identified by using upper case alpha revision designators (the last three alphanumeric characters of the document number) and marked committed on the cover sheet.
- Final calculations will be defined as being issued to support bids, procurement, fabrication, construction and operations. Final calculations will be identified by using numeric revision designators (the last three alphanumeric characters of the document number).

Audit No. OCRWMP-OQA-05-20 Response to AOI on AP-3.12Q, Revision 2, ICN 2

The following flowchart shows the new types of calculations correspond with the old types of calculations and how to identify them.

Current Version of AP-3.12Q	-	Planned Revision of AP-3.12Q	Revision Designators
Preliminary	$\begin{array}{c} \rightarrow \\ \rightarrow \end{array}$	Preliminary Committed	00A, cover sheet marked Preliminary 00A, cover sheet marked Committed
Final	\rightarrow	Final	001, cover sheet marked Final

Under the current version of AP-3.12Q as well as the planned revision, during document preparation, all drafts of the document will contain a unique identifier to insure traceability and version control. A lower case alpha draft designator following the revision designators can be used.

For example

Final	Preliminary/Committed
001a	00Aa
001b	00Ab
001c	00Ac
001d	00Ad
002 .	00B
	001a 001b 001c 001d

Version control of all approved calculations will be governed by the use of upper case alpha and numeric characters in the revision designators.

OCRWM QA: N/A AUDIT OBSERVER INQUIRY FORM	
Audit No. OCRWMP-OOA-05-20 Sequence No Name (Observer) TIN KOBITE Organization ARC	
Requirement Reference AP - 3.13Q DESIGN CONDEX	
Question/Concern: STEAS 5.1.5 \$ 5.1.6 DISCUSS THE PRECIMINARY	1
DESIGN AS A BASIS FOR "LICENSE APPLICATION) FOR CONSTAUDIO	,
AUABRIZADON" AND THE FINAL DESIGN AS THE BASIS FOR	
A CICOUSE TO RECIEIUE AND POSSESS. " THE PLACE FRANCE	
NOTES THAT THE PRETIMINARY DESKN AND FINAL DESIGN	}
CONTAIN DIFFINENT COVITS OF DETAIL. THIS APPEARS TO	
CONTRADICT THE IZEQUIRUMENTS OF 10 CFL 63. ZI	
AND WHICH NOWS THAT THE APPRICADON MUST BE	
AS COMPLETE AS POSSIBLE IN THE LIGHT OF THE INFORMATION	(one)
Response:	
see attached response 3	
. *	
•	
•	
Audit Team Leader:	•
Acknowledgement of Receipt: Date:	
Observer Signature	1

THAT IS REASONABLY AVAILABLE AT THE TIME
OF DOCKLETING. 10 CFR 63.24 NOTES PHAT THE
UPPATED APPLICATION SHOULD BE UPPATED WITH
""DATA OBTAINED DUDING CONSTAURDON." THIS
STATEMENT DOES NOT APPLAR TO ACKNOWLEDGE THAT
ADDITIONAL DESIGN DETAILS THAT WORL NOT INCLUDED
WITH THE & INISTIAL DESIGN SHOULD BE ADDED
DUDING THE UPPATEBUT INSTAD THE APPLICATIONS
WOULD BE VANATED TO REFLECT DESIGN CHANGES
DEQUERED TO ADDRESS NEW INFORMATION CHARLIES
ABOUT THE SITE CHAMAGINISTICS DURING CONSTRUCTION.

Audit No. OCRWMP-OQA-05-20 Response to AOI on AP-3.13Q

Response:

AP-3.13Q is currently in the process of being revised and statements regarding the license application (LA) will be removed. The Yucca Mountain Project (Project) understands that the licensing process involves a single, periodically updated LA with multiple licensing decisions and license amendments, including Construction Authorization (10 CFR 63.31), License to Receive and Possess (10 CFR 63.41), Permanent Closure (10 CFR 63.51), and Termination of License (10 CFR 63.52).

Relative to the level of information available to support the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) safety decision at the time of submittal, the Project will ensure that the Safety Analysis Report and its supporting documentation are sufficient to demonstrate the adequacy of the defined safety and waste isolation functions and their planned execution. The NRC has provided feedback on these matters through extensive interaction and correspondence; the Project has considered this feedback and will submit an LA that is adequately supported with design information. This does not mean that all the design information necessary for procurement, construction, or operational activities will necessarily be available at the time of LA submittal. Rather, that information needed for the LA will be available but the ongoing development of detailed design including such products as procurement specifications, physical installation and construction related design information, fabrication and installation drawing and specifications, etc. will continue through the construction phase. As the design progresses after the LA submittal, the design refinements will confirm the adequacy of the safety basis demonstrated in the LA.