Assignment Tickler: Greg Werner, PV IN EX

Replaces NUREG-1021, Revision 8, Supplement 1 ES Forms 201-1 and 501-1

Due Date	Chief	Facility/ Task	Description	Date Complete	Notes
6/13/04	GEW	PV IN EX	Exam/Inspection Schedule Agreement (C.1.a;C.2.a&b)	5/13/04	The state of the s
6/13/04	GEW	PV IN EX	NRC Staff & Fac. Contact Assigned (C.1.c;C.2.e)	5/13/04	The
6/13/04	GEW	PV IN EX	Facility contact briefed on security & other issues (C.2.c)	8/18/14	W.
6/13/04	GEW	PV IN EX	Corp. Notification Letter Sent (C.2.d) (Exams only)	8/19/04	8/19/04 Withy hay King made know the
10/26/04	GEW	PV IN EX	Inspection Announcement Letter Sent (PIR & LORT if req'd)	くって	4
9/11/04	GEW	PV IN EX	Task Expectations, Issues, & Standards Discussed w/ BC	913104	913 JOH Dures Ar
8/12/04	GEW	PV IN EX	[Reference Material Due (C.1.d;C.3.c)	NA	Liange developing comm
8/12/04	GEW	PV IN EX	Integrated Exam Outlines Due (C.1.d&eC.3.d)	40/6/6	was produced bearing bound for a
(8/26/04 Uhr	GEW	PV IN EX	Outlines reviewed by NRC & Feedback Sent (c.2.h;C.3.e)	4/13/04	E-wailed odding Connects on 9/13 To Plak Cap
11/10/04	GEW	PV IN EX	Preliminary Applications Due (C.1.j;C.2.g;ES202)	10/1/11	Received.
10/11/04	GEW	PV IN EX	Draft Exams w/ Doc./Ref. Due (C.1.d/e/f;C.3.d)	10/12/04	RECEIVED VIA EMAIL + HURD CON
10/21/04	GEW	PV IN EX	Peer Reviewer Initials As Reviewed All Parts*	mon 10/29/24	MS# 10/29/04 TOM MCKERNON REVIEWED
10/21/04	GEW	PV IN EX	NRC Supervisor. Initials Approving for Fac. Rev. (C.2.h;C.3.f)*	10/0/2	4
10/21/04	GEW	PV IN EX	Exams Reviewed w/ Fac. (C.1.h;C.2.f&hC.3.g)	140/21/W 46W	CAF.
11/26/04	GEW	PV IN EX	Final Appl. Due & Assign. Sheat Prepared. (S) (C.1.j;C.2.h;ES202)	M54 " (26/04)	
12/3/04	GEW	PV IN EX	NRC Supervisor Approved Final Exams (C.2.i;C.3.h)*	48 12/8/04	B
11/19/04	GEW	PV IN EX	Director debrief (PIR Only)	N/A 166	
12/3/04	GEW	PV IN EX	Final Appl. Rec'd & Waivers Sent (C.2.g) જે ો ન્યીં છે. હૈયા છે ક	MON	
12/3/04	GEW	PV IN EX	Proctor Rules Reviewed w/ Fac. & Written Authorized (C.3.k) M514 4/5/64	W5114 14864	

250

ADAMS ACCESSION NO. -TEMPLATE NO. -

Assignment Tickler: Greg Werner, PV IN EX

Replaces NUREG-1021, Revision 8, Supplement 1 ES Forms 201-1 and 501-1

12/3/04	GEW	PV IN EX	Exam/Insp Material to Team (C.3.i)	ph/2/21 48/94
12/22/04	GEW	PV IN EX	Fac. graded exam & Comments Rec'd	WASH (2/1-1/24)
12/10/04	GEW	PV IN EX	Administer Exam/Conduct Inspection	4601 1 600 W
12/25/04	GEW	PV IN EX	NRC Written Grading Completed	44 12 12/24
12/25/04	GEW	PV IN EX	Examiners Finished Grading Op. Tests	415 12 /1/31
1/4/05	GEW	PV IN EX	NRC Ch. Ex. Review Completed	12/2/21 Story
1/5/05	GEW	PV IN EX	NRC BC Review Completed*	166 2/2/24
1/10/05	GEW	PV IN EX	RPS/IP # Examinees Updated Before Report Issued	12/54 12/cm
1/10/05	GEW	PV IN EX	License/Denials Signed & Report Issued	WSW 4965
1/31/05	GEW	PV IN EX	Package Closed Out	59621. 1991
3/10/05	GEW	PV IN EX	Chief QA of ADAMS	4/54 Yes/23

Facility		Date of Examination:			
Item		Task Description	-	Initial	
1.	а.	Verify that the outline fits the model in accordance with ES-701.	a 4 Y.	b*	c#
W R	b.	Assess whether the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with	111		70
T		Section D.1 of ES-401 and whether all K/A categories are sampled at least once.	7/1	Min	un
T E	C.	Assess whether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.	Asc	m	Jone
N	d.	Assess whether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate.	Br	MP	20m
2. O	a.	Verify that the overall operating test: (1) includes at least two tasks that require the use of technical specifications (2) does not duplicate any tasks from the applicants' audit test(s)	Ar	WAP.	Hu
P E R A T	b.	Verify that the administrative tasks: (1) are distributed among the four administrative topics described in ES-301 (2) include no more than one repeat from the last two NRC licensing examinations (3) include at least one task that is new or significantly modified	474	m)	Om
I N G	e.	Verify that the systems walk-through includes: (1) two tasks requiring the manipulation of fuel handling equipment (2) two additional tasks related to Tier 2 systems other than fuel handling equipment (3) two tasks requiring implementation of alternative path procedures (4) no more than one repeat from the last two NRC licensing examinations (5) at least one task that is new or significantly modified	PIL	unf	70n
	d.	Verify that the E/APE walk-through includes: (1) three JPMs based on the Tier 1evolutions, including a refueling accident (2) one task requiring implementation of an alternative path procedure (3) no more than one repeat from the last two NRC licensing examinations (4) at least one task that is new or significantly modified	Per	wif	<i>H</i> n
	e.	Determine whether there are enough different outlines to test the projected number of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on subsequent days.	An	WAP.	DW
3.	a.	Assess whether plant-specific priorities (including PRA and IPE insights) are covered in the appropriate exam section.	Dh	WP	20m
G E	b.	Assess whether the 10 CFR 55.41/43 and 55.45 sampling is appropriate.	m	w	Wu
N E R A L	C.	Assess whether the sampling process adequately considered plant-specific refueling components, systems, and procedures that are not included in the generic models.	ARA	WK	Don
	d.	Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.	ple	WAP	Pou
	e.	Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections.	M	WHP	Du
	f.	Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.	Ar	W	Um
	g.	Assess whether the proposed sample is consistent with the LSRO's job responsibilities.	Pole	WAP	Rom
b. F	RC C	Ph:ll:p G. Printed Name / Signature Ph:ll:p G.		(0-7 (0/7 (1) (2)	nte - o f o f 17/24 9/04
Note:	#	Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.			

Ε	S	-	7	0	1

LSRO Written Examination Quality Checklist

Form ES-701-6

Facility: Date of Exam:									
							Initial		
	Item Description					a	b*	c#	
1	Questions and answers are technically accurate an	d applica	ble to t	he fac	ility.	pr	WH	Un	
2.	a. NRC K/As are referenced for all questions (asb. Facility learning objectives are referenced as a		e).		~	m	mal	Tou	
3.	Questions are appropriate for LSRO applicants.					An	Mel	Tou	
4.	Question selection and duplication from the last two appears consistent with a systematic sampling pro-		ensing	exam	s			20m	
5. Question duplication from the license screening/audit exam was controlled as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate: the audit exam was systematically and randomly developed the audit exam was completed before the license exam was started the examinations were developed independently the licensee certifies that there is no duplication other (explain)							wul .	Dow	
6. Bank use meets limits (no more than 30 questions from the bank, at least 4 new, and the rest modified); enter the actual question distribution at right. Bank Modified New						A/L	MAP	204	
7. Between 50 and 60 percent (20 and 24) of the questions on the exam are written at the comprehension/analysis level; enter the actual question distribution at right. Memory C/A Memory C/A						Anc	MAP	Tom	
8.								202	
 Question content conforms with specific K/A statements in the previously approved examination outline and is appropriate for the Tier to which they are assigned; deviations are justified. 						the	map-	Dom	
Question psychometric quality and format meet guidelines in ES Appendix B.						Are	MAP	DOW	
The exam contains 40 one-point, multiple choice items; the total is correct and agrees with value on cover sheet.						AL	MAP	Pon	
c. NRC	211 6 6	Ther	A Contract	sta	S The		10/7 12/ 12/	ate 7-04 104 4/04 9/04	

Facility:	Date of Examination: Operatin	ıg Test	Numbe	er:
	Item Description	а	Initial	s c#
1.	The operating test conforms with the LSRO's job responsibilities and the previously approved outline (Form ES-701-4).	M	WAP	2024
2.	Any changes from the previously approved outline have not caused the test to deviate from any of the acceptance criteria (e.g., item distribution, bank use, repetition from the last two NRC examinations) specified on the outline.	the.	MAP	Jon
3.	There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination.	the	WH	70m
4.	The operating test does not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s). (See Section D.1.a of ES-301).	the	WAP	Jam
5.	Overlap between the written examination and the operating test is within acceptable limits.	Me	unp	Mr
6.	It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants.	Auc	MP	70m
7.	Each JPM includes the following, as applicable: initial conditions initiating cues references and tools, including associated procedures reasonable and validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if deemed to be time-critical by the facility licensee specific performance criteria that include: detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature system response and other examiner cues statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant criteria for successful completion of the task identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards restrictions on the sequence of steps, if applicable	Du	int	Æn
c. NRC	Printed Name / Signature Printed Name / Signature Phillip G. Capelant / Whater Warran A. Potter / Whotton Chief Examiner (#) Supervisor Themas F. Stetka / Thomas & Italian * The facility signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests.	1 <u>0</u> 12	Dat 10-7- 12/0 2/9/	e -04 -/ -/ -/ -/ -/ -/ -/ -/ -/ -/ -/ -/ -/

Facility: PVNGS	Dogambar 12, 2004	I CDO W	Initton Ex	amination				
racinty. F vivos	December 13, 2004	LSKO W	Initials	ammanon				
Item	Description	a	h	С				
1. Clean answer sheets co	*	Par	WAP	#				
2. Answer key changes a and documented.	nd question deletions justified	m	unf	A				
(reviewers spot check	cked for addition errors > 25% of examinations)	P.Je	MAP	4				
_	line cases (80% +/- 2% overall cable, +/- 4% on the SRO-only)	AK	ust	H				
5. All other failing exam grades are justified	An	MAP	H					
6. Performance on misse deficiencies and wording questions missed by harmonic deficiency.	AL	unf	H					
Printed Name / Signature Date								
a. Grader Phillip G. Capehart / WCap 2 12/13/04								
b. Facility Reviewer (*)	Warren Potter / WA Follow			113/04				
c. NRC Chief Examiner (ER		2/17/04					
d. NRC Supervisor (*)	ANTHONY GODY OT.	Gody	12	117/04				
	er's signature is not applicable for lent NRC reviews are required.	examinati	ons grade	d by the				

Page 1 of 4 12/17/2004 09:

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule From 10/01/2004 To 12/30/2004

> 12/17/2004 09:13:53 Report 21

Region: 4 Phase Code: 5 Operational

Exam Week	Exam Week Site/Docket No./Insp Rpt #	# Candidates	Туре	Exam Author Chief Examiner		Examiners Assigned
08/30/2004	Palo Verde / 05000528 / 2004015				MURPHY, MICHAEL E.	DRAKE, JAMES F.
	Procedure #: 711111B					MURPHY, MICHAEL E.
08/30/2004	Palo Verde / 05000529 / 2004015 Procedure #: 7111111B				MURPHY, MICHAEL E.	DRAKE, JAMES F. MURPHY, MICHAEL E.
08/30/2004	Palo Verde / 05000530 / 2004015 Procedure #: 7111111B				MURPHY, MICHAEL E.	DRAKE, JAMES F. MURPHY, MICHAEL E.
11/29/2004	Palo Verde / 05000528 / 2004301 TAC #: X02273		Prep		HAIRE, MARK S.	HAIRE, MARK S. WERNER, G E.
11/29/2004	Palo Verde / 05000529 / 2004301 TAC #: X02275		Prep		HAIRE, MARK S.	HAIRE, MARK S. WERNER, G E.
11/29/2004	Palo Verde / 05000530 / 2004301 TAC #: X02276		Prep		HAIRE, MARK S.	HAIRE, MARK S. WERNER, G E.
12/13/2004	Palo Verde / 05000528 / 2004301 TAC #: X02273	LSRO - 7	Admin	H H H	HAIRE, MARK S.	HAIRE, MARK S. WERNER, G E.
12/13/2004	Palo Verde / 05000529 / 2004301 TAC #: X02275		Admin	FFF	HAIRE, MARK S.	HAIRE, MARK S. WERNER, G E.
12/13/2004	Palo Verde / 05000530 / 2004301 TAC #: X02276		Admin	FFF	HAIRE, MARK S.	HAIRE, MARK S. WERNER, G E.
12/20/2004	Palo Verde / 05000528 / 2004301 TAC #: X02273		Doc		HAIRE, MARK S.	HAIRE, MARK S. WERNER, G E.
12/20/2004	Palo Verde / 05000529 / 2004301 TAC #: X02275		Doc		HAIRE, MARK S.	HAIRE, MARK S. WERNER, G E.
12/20/2004	Palo Verde / 05000530 / 2004301 TAC #: X02276		Doc		HAIRE, MARK S.	HAIRE, MARK S. WERNER, G E.

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule From 10/01/2004 To 12/30/2004

09:13:53

12/17/2004 Report 21

Page 2 of 4

Phase Code: 5 Operational

Summary By Date

Region: 4

08/2004 PALO - Palo Verde

08/2004

Total for Palo Verde: 0 Total for Palo Verde: 7 Total for 11/2004: 0 LSRO-7 LSRO-0 LSRO-0 SROU-0 SROU-0 SROU-0 SROI-0 SROI - 0 SROI-0 **11/2004 PALO - Palo Verde** RO - 0 RO - 0 12/2004 PALO - Palo Verde RO - 0 11/2004

Sites: PALO Orgs:4620 Exam Author: ALL

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule From 10/01/2004 To 12/30/2004

09:13:53 Page 3 of 4 12/17/2004 Report 21 Phase Code: 5 Operational

Summary By Site

Region: 4

PALO - Palo Verde RO - 0

SROI - 0

SROU - 0

LSR0 - 7

Total for Palo Verde: 7

Sites: PALO Orgs:4620 Exam Author: ALL

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule From 10/01/2004 To 12/30/2004

09:13:53 Page 4 of 4 12/17/2004

Report 21

Phase Code: 5 Operational

Region: 4

Summary By Region

Region 4 RO - 0

SROU-0 SROI-0

LSRO-7

Total for Region 4: 7

Sites: PALO Orgs:4620 Exam Author: ALL

Pre-Examination

DVNGS 2008 LSROF NAC

action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized l acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 13/04_as of the procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

during the week(s) of 14/3 by. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

⊬ .
12/16/24 12/
SIGNATURE (2) DATENOTE (2 6 0) (2 6 0) (2 6 0) (3 1) (4 10) (4 10) (4 10) (4 10) (5 10) (7 10) (110) (
130/15/15/15/15/15/15/15/15/15/15/15/15/15/
SIGNATURE (1) MICHAEL MICHAE
PRINTED NAME JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY Warren Fotter Simulator/SI Facilly Raison Menss M LEDFORD INSTRUCTOR RY EN GE R
PRINTED NAME JOB TITLE / RESP 2. Warren Petter 3. CHARLIS GLIVER Simulator/SI FE 4. SAME MEDICALLE RY ENGLY 6. ROBERT P. NEVELLE RY ENGLY 7. MICHARLIS COM NOVELER TRENDING 10. HOLL HANS COM NOVELER TRENDING 11. AL MERITANIA SIGNAL LOS SICK PRODUCTURED SIGNAL LOS MONUMENTS.

NUREG-1021, Revision 8, Supplement 1

24 of 24

page 1 of 2

TEXT DEN HOUSE SANAG

Form ES-201-3 **Examination Security Agreement** ES-201

Pre-Examination

authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or date of my signature. Tagree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized l acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 12 | 13 | 0 4 as of the enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

Post-Examination

during the week(s) of 12/3/by. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC,

SIGNATURE (2) DATE NOTE	Jun Made 12-15-04	1 de la						
DATE	4/2/9	10/0E/27						
NSIBILITY SIGN	Frech Andry Indragage a long Mach	System Anglyst (Integrator & Mich Tallath System Analyst (Integrator						
PRINTED NAME	Second Mend + Tech Analys.	Mick albore		0.	1.	3.	+ 40	OTES:

ES-201, Page 26 of 27