

**From:** Matthew Blevins  
**To:** Elisa Young  
**Date:** 1/12/05 8:38AM  
**Subject:** Re: NRC makes USEC Inc.'s Application and ER available to the public

Ms. Young,

I apologize for not replying sooner but I was out of town over the holidays. I am the responsible person to whom the public should send requests about the environmental review portion of USEC's application.

I believe there has been a misunderstanding as to the purpose of the scoping meeting. Scoping is directed at the NRC's environmental review process. There is a parallel process that evaluates the safety and security of USEC's proposal; this review will be documented in a Safety Evaluation Report. For this meeting, we do not expect the public to have completely reviewed the Environmental Report which is approximately 350 pages. One goal of scoping is to help identify issues early before we get too far into the review process. Specifically, scoping occurs early in the environmental review process and provides a means by which the scope of issues to be addressed in the environmental review are identified.

Objectives of the scoping process include:

- Defining the scope of the proposed action that is to be the subject of the EIS;
- Determining the scope of the EIS and identifying alternatives and significant issues to be analyzed in depth;
- Identifying, and eliminating from detailed study, issues that are peripheral or are not significant;
- Identifying any EAs and other EISs that are being or will be prepared that are related to the EIS under consideration;
- Identifying other environmental review and consultation requirements related to the proposed action;
- Indicating the relationship between the timing of the environmental analyses and the NRC's tentative planning and decision making schedule;
- Identifying any additional cooperating agencies and, as appropriate, allocating assignments for preparation and schedules for completion of the EIS to the NRC and any cooperating agencies; and
- Describing the means by which the EIS will be prepared, including any contractor assistance to be used.

As you can see the scoping process has many parts. What the NRC hopes to gain from the public scoping meeting next week in Piketon is any additional information from the local public related to environmental issues of local concern, other alternatives, or other information/topics that local citizens feel is important for the NRC to consider in the environmental review. It is imperative that we have this meeting soon so we may fully consider any "new" issues. After we complete our initial review, we will publish a draft environmental impact statement (DEIS). This DEIS will contain a thorough analysis of the environmental impacts of USEC's proposed action, the no-action alternative, and any other alternatives identified. We will then put this DEIS document out for public comment. We will also hold another public meeting during the DEIS comment period. After we've received comments on the DEIS we will go back and revise the document and produce a final environmental impact statement (FEIS). This FEIS along with the Safety Evaluation Report will then feed into the hearing process and eventually be presented to the NRC Commissioners for a vote on whether or not to issue a license.

Hopefully this helps clarify what we are looking for at the upcoming scoping meeting. I am discussing with my management and attorneys the possibility of extending the comment period, however, I do not anticipate an extension. Please remember there will be another public meeting this Summer which should allow sufficient time to become familiar with USEC's Environmental Report.

Sincerely,

Matthew Blevins  
Senior Project Manager  
Division of Waste Management and  
Environmental Protection  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

>>> "Elisa Young" <elisay@earthlink.net> 12/28/04 09:19PM >>>  
Who is the appropriate person to submit requests to for an extension of time  
regarding the scoping process/public comment period as it stands?

I don't believe that less than a month to read and process USEC's ACP  
application is adequate, and I think we should have additional time after  
the meeting to submit written comment. I work and don't have time to read  
the application that quickly, and there are other community members in the  
same situation.

I am still waiting for documents/responses I requested after the DOE/Bechtel  
Jacobs meeting at Piketon from a month ago. I would like to be certain that  
information for similar requests (following the NRC scoping meeting) would  
be available prior to the deadline for written comment.

Please let me know who to send a request to - the person who would be  
responsible for making the decision for an extension.

Thank you,  
Elisa

----- Original Message -----

From: "Yawar Faraz" <YHF@nrc.gov>

To: "Yawar Faraz" <YHF@nrc.gov>

Sent: Tuesday, December 28, 2004 6:19 PM

Subject: NRC makes USEC Inc.'s Application and ER available to the public

The purpose of this e-mail is to notify you of the restoration/availability  
of the non-sensitive portions of USEC Inc.'s Application and Environmental  
Report (ER) for the American Centrifuge Plant (ACP) proposed to be built and  
operated in Piketon Ohio. You may now access the documents by going to the  
following website:  
<http://webwork:300/materials/fuel-cycle-fac/lic-app-docs.html>. You may also  
access the documents by entering the NRC's Agency-wide Document Access and  
Management System (ADAMS) at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>, where  
the accession numbers are as follows:

License Application ML043620096  
ER ML043620221  
Quality Assurance Plan ML043620245  
Decommissioning Funding Plan ML043620096

Please note that along with these documents, you may also access at this  
time, via the ADAMS Public Library, other non-sensitive ACP-related (Docket  
70-7004) documents.

As you may recall, on October 25, 2004, the NRC had made ADAMS, which

contained the ACP Application and ER, unavailable to the public.

Yawar Faraz  
Sr. Project Manager  
NMSS/FCSS/SPB/GCFLS  
Mail Stop: T-8F42  
US Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, DC 20555-0001  
301-415-8113  
[yhf@nrc.gov](mailto:yhf@nrc.gov)

**CC:** Yawar Faraz

**Mail Envelope Properties (41E52850.E72 : 12 : 19709)**

**Subject:** Re: NRC makes USEC Inc.'s Application and ER available to the public  
**Creation Date:** 1/12/05 8:38AM  
**From:** Matthew Blevins

**Created By:** MXB6@nrc.gov

<b>Recipients</b>	<b>Action</b>	<b>Date &amp; Time</b>
earthlink.net elisay (Elisa Young)	Transferred	01/12/05 08:38AM

nrc.gov twf4_po.TWFN_DO MXB6 BC (Matthew Blevins) YHF CC (Yawar Faraz)	Delivered Opened Opened	01/12/05 08:38AM 02/16/05 02:59PM 01/12/05 08:58AM
---	-------------------------------	--

<b>Post Office</b>	<b>Delivered</b>	<b>Route</b>
twf4_po.TWFN_DO	01/12/05 08:38AM	earthlink.net nrc.gov

<b>Files</b>	<b>Size</b>	<b>Date &amp; Time</b>
MESSAGE	10003	01/12/05 08:38AM

**Options**

<b>Auto Delete:</b>	No
<b>Expiration Date:</b>	None
<b>Notify Recipients:</b>	Yes
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard
<b>Reply Requested:</b>	No
<b>Return Notification:</b>	None

<b>Concealed Subject:</b>	No
<b>Security:</b>	Standard

<b>To Be Delivered:</b>	Immediate
<b>Status Tracking:</b>	Delivered & Opened