

**From:** Matthew Blevins  
**To:** elisay@earthlink.net, YHF@nrc.gov  
**Date:** 2/6/05 8:08PM  
**Subject:** Re: Request response

Elisa,

I believe Yawar is working on getting you a copy of the application. As for the November 9 meeting there was no transcript, I believe a meeting summary was prepared and forwarded to you earlier from our Region II office. The scoping comment period will not be extended. I'll check the comments to see if we received yours. If yours is not there I'll let you know and I will place the comments you mail in the record.

Matt

Matthew Blevins  
Senior Project Manager  
Division of Waste Management and  
Environmental Protection  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
(301) 415-7684

>>> "Elisa Young" <elisay@earthlink.net> 02/05/05 13:52 PM >>>

Checking back in.

I have not heard anything back on what I need to do to receive a full copy of the application as someone who is filing a petition to intervene, a full transcript from the November 9 USEC/NRC meeting, or on the request for extension for comments until after these documents have been received with time to review them.

If I have misdirected these requests, please let me know who the appropriate person is.

I faxed in my written comments from the scoping meeting to the number that Chip Cameron gave, but I see it is different than the number listed in the scoping meeting minutes that Ewan Todd forwarded. I have a fax transmittal receipt for the comments that I sent, and I'm mailing in a hard copy as Jennifer Davis recommended, but would like to be certain that you received the comments at the fax number I was given by the deadline.

Elisa

----- Original Message -----

From: Elisa Young  
To: Yawar Faraz ; Matt Blevins  
Sent: Tuesday, January 25, 2005 6:55 PM  
Subject: USEC's application

After the scoping meeting at Piketon, I asked one of the co-presenters, Jennifer Davis, what could be done to receive a full copy of USEC's application. The original was 1000+ pages, and the censored version made available to the public three weeks before the meeting was approximately two-thirds less - 350 pages.

She said that if we were filing a petition to intervene that we were eligible to receive a complete hard copy of USEC'S application. I was one of six parties who requested and was granted an extension of time to file a petition to intervene, and I would like to have a copy of the full application mailed (allowing adequate time to review and submit my petition prior to the deadline) to:

Elisa Young  
48360 Carmel Road  
Racine, Ohio 45771

It is my understanding that basic health and safety information, including occupational, transportation and worst-case accident scenarios were similarly removed by the NRC from LES's application before giving community members in New Mexico two weeks to submit their comments. I believe this information is vitally necessary in order to comment on the placement of such a facility Ohio.

Thank you,  
Elisa Young

**Mail Envelope Properties (4206BF82.953 : 12 : 23037)**

**Subject:** Re: Request response  
**Creation Date:** 2/6/05 8:08PM  
**From:** Matthew Blevins

**Created By:** mxb6@nrc.gov

<b>Recipients</b>	<b>Action</b>	<b>Date &amp; Time</b>
earthlink.net elisay		

nrc.gov  
twf4\_po.TWFN\_DO  
YHF (Yawar Faraz)

<b>Post Office</b>	<b>Delivered</b>	<b>Route</b>
	Pending	earthlink.net
twf4_po.TWFN_DO	Pending	nrc.gov

<b>Files</b>	<b>Size</b>	<b>Date &amp; Time</b>
MESSAGE	2883	02/06/05 08:08PM

**Options**

<b>Auto Delete:</b>	No
<b>Expiration Date:</b>	None
<b>Notify Recipients:</b>	Yes
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard
<b>Reply Requested:</b>	No
<b>Return Notification:</b>	
Send Mail Receipt when Undeliverable	

<b>Concealed Subject:</b>	No
<b>Security:</b>	Standard

<b>To Be Delivered:</b>	Immediate
<b>Status Tracking:</b>	Delivered & Opened