From:	<nancyburtonesq@aol.com></nancyburtonesq@aol.com>	
То:	<rle@nrc.gov></rle@nrc.gov>	
Date:	Mon, Jan 31, 2005 7:12 AM	
Subject:	Millstone Draft EIS	

Dear Mr. Emch,

On January 24, 2005 - one week ago - the Connecticut Coalition Against Millstone requested that you provide certain information regarding the NRC's draft Environmental Impact Statement. (See a copy of the request, below.) During public proceedings in the Town of Waterford, you have invited the public to direct queries to you concerning the draft EIS. Time is of the essence in this matter because, according to the NRC's timetable, March 2 is the deadline by which it will accept public comments on the draft EIS. Presumably, it will reject public comments after that date.

This, therefore, is to request that you act promptly to reply to our request and provide the needed information.

Thank you.

Nancy Burton

CONNECTICUT COALITION AGAINST MILLSTONE

P.O. Box Niantic CT 06357

www.mothballmillstone.org (http://www.mothballmillstone.org)

January 24, 2005

Richard L. Emch, Jr.

Senior Environmental Project Manager

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Washington DC 20044

Re: Millstone Nuclear Power Station/Environmental Impact Statement Dear Mr. Emch:

This is a request for information pertaining to the Millstone license renewal application submitted pursuant to the provisions of the Freedom of Information Act. The information is urgently needed for review by the Connecticut Coalition Against Millstone for timely submission of comments on or before March 2, 2005.

Please provide the following:

(1) All Requests for Additional Information submitted by the NRC to the licensee and all reports, documents, emails and other correspondence generated and received therefrom.

(2) Please identify the names of all officials of the State of Connecticut whose input was received for the draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) and please provide all correspondence between the NRC and such officials and all documents provided by such officials.

(3) Please identify the names of all individuals and their professional, business or governmental affiliations who provided input to the DEIS and please identify the specific contribution of each.

(4) Please identify all consulting contracts entered into with consultants on the DEIS, provide a copy of such contracts and the cost of each such service.

(5) Please identify with specificity what "other available information" was considered which led the staff to conclude "that there are no impacts of radiation exposures to the public during the renewal term beyond those discussed in the GEIS" and "that there are no impacts of occupational radiation exposures during the renewal term beyond those discussed in the GEIS." (DEIS at 4.3) Please further identify all sources considered.

(6) Please identify all refurbishment identified by the licensee other than "

.

major" refurbishment and identify the methodology used by the NRC staff to evaluate this issue and whether the licensee's characterization of refurbishment issues as not "major" was accurate. (DEIS Section 3.0) Please send all correspondence responsive to this request to 147 Cross Highway, Redding Ridge, Connecticut 06876, Tel. 203-938-3952. Sincerely,

Nancy Burton

c:\temp\GW}00001.TMP

.

-

Mail Envelope Properties (41FE208C.6E2 : 4 : 59106)

Subject:Millstone Draft EISCreation Date:Mon, Jan 31, 2005 7:11 AMFrom:<<u>NancyBurtonEsq@aol.com</u>>

Created By: <u>NancyBurtonEsq@aol.com</u>

Recipients nrc.gov OWGWPO02.HQGWDO01 RLE (Richard Emch)

Post Office OWGWPO02.HQGWDO01		Route nrc.gov
Files MESSAGE	Size 3241	Date & Time Monday, January 31, 2005 7:11 AM
TEXT.htm	3978	Wonday, January 51, 2005 7.117.101
Mime.822	8904	
Options		
Expiration Date:	None	
Priority:	Standard	
Reply Requested:	No	
Return Notification:	None	
Concealed Subject:	No	
Security:	Standard	