

Facility: <u>PRAPS</u>		Date of Examination: <u>2/7/05</u>
Examinations Developed by: <u>Facility</u> / NRC (circle one)		
Target Date*	Task Description (Reference)	Chief Examiner's Initials
-180	1. Examination administration date confirmed (C.1.a; C.2.a and b)	TF
-120	2. NRC examiners and facility contact assigned (C.1.d; C.2.e)	TF
-120	3. Facility contact briefed on security and other requirements (C.2.c)	TF
-120	4. Corporate notification letter sent (C.2.d)	TF
[-90]	[5. Reference material due (C.1.e; C.3.c; Attachment 2)]	N/A
-75	6. Integrated examination outline(s) due, including Forms ES-201-2, ES-201-3, ES-301-1, ES-301-2, ES-301-5, ES-D-1's, ES-401-1/2, ES-401-3, and ES-401-4, as applicable (C.1.e and f; C.3.d)	TF
-70	7. Examination outline(s) reviewed by NRC and feedback provided to facility licensee (C.2.h; C.3.e)	TF
-45	8. Proposed examinations (including written, walk-through JPMs, and scenarios, as applicable), supporting documentation (including Forms ES-301-3, ES-301-4, ES-301-5, ES-301-6, and ES-401-6), and reference materials due (C.1.e, f, g and h; C.3.d)	TF
-30	9. Preliminary license applications (NRC Form 398's) due (C.1.i; C.2.g; ES-202)	TF
-14	10. Final license applications due and Form ES-201-4 prepared (C.1.i; C.2.i; ES-202)	TF
-14	11. Examination approved by NRC supervisor for facility licensee review (C.2.h; C.3.f)	TF
-14	12. Examinations reviewed with facility licensee (C.1.j; C.2.f and h; C.3.g)	TF
-7	13. Written examinations and operating tests approved by NRC supervisor (C.2.i; C.3.h)	TF
-7	14. Final applications reviewed; examination approval and waiver letters sent (C.2.i; Attachment 4; ES-204)	TF
-7	15. Proctoring/written exam administration guidelines reviewed with facility licensee (C.3.k)	TF
-7	16. Approved scenarios, job performance measures, and questions distributed to NRC examiners (C.3.i)	TF
<p>* Target dates are keyed to the examination date identified in the corporate notification letter. They are for planning purposes and may be adjusted on a case-by-case basis in coordination with the facility licensee.</p> <p>[] Applies only to examinations prepared by the NRC.</p>		

Facility: PBAPS		Date of Examination: 11/7/05		
Item	Task Description	Initials		
		a	b*	c#
W R I T T E N	a. Verify that the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model, in accordance with ES-401.	M	Q	TF
	b. Assess whether the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with Section D.1 of ES-401 and whether all K/A categories are appropriately sampled.	M	Q	TF
	c. Assess whether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.	M	Q	TF
	d. Assess whether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate.	M	Q	TF
S I M U L A T O R	a. Using Form ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of normal evolutions, instrument and component failures, technical specifications, and major transients.	M	Q	TF
	b. Assess whether there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number and mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity, and ensure that each applicant can be tested using at least one new or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s), and that scenarios will not be repeated on subsequent days.	M	Q	TF
	c. To the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conform(s) with the qualitative and quantitative criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D.	M	Q	TF
W / T	a. Verify that the systems walk-through outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-2: (1) the outline(s) contain(s) the required number of control room and in-plant tasks distributed among the safety functions as specified on the form (2) task repetition from the last two NRC examinations is within the limits specified on the form (3) no tasks are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s) (4) the number of new or modified tasks meets or exceeds the minimums specified on the form (5) the number of alternate path, low-power, emergency, and RCA tasks meet the criteria on the form.	M	Q	TF
	b. Verify that the administrative outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-1: (1) the tasks are distributed among the topics as specified on the form (2) at least one task is new or significantly modified (3) no more than one task is repeated from the last two NRC licensing examinations	M	Q	TF
	c. Determine if there are enough different outlines to test the projected number and mix of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on subsequent days.	M	Q	TF
G E N E R A L	a. Assess whether plant-specific priorities (including PRA and IPE insights) are covered in the appropriate exam sections.	M	Q	TF
	b. Assess whether the 10 CFR 55.41/43 and 55.45 sampling is appropriate.	M	Q	TF
	c. Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.	M	Q	TF
	d. Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections.	M	Q	TF
	e. Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.	M	Q	TF
	f. Assess whether the exam fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO).	M	Q	TF
a. Author	<u>Philip E. Nieman</u> Printed Name/Signature	Date: <u>28 Oct 2004</u>		
b. Facility Reviewer (*)	<u>R. Hewell</u>	<u>11-2-04</u>		
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)	<u>JODD FISH</u>	<u>11-15-04</u>		
d. NRC Supervisor	<u>R.J. Cant</u>	<u>12/16/04</u>		
Note: # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.				

* 301-4 and 301-5 forms left out of initial outline submittal; contacted facility and requested they send these forms; once forms were received (11-15-04) C.E. completed review ES-201, Page 25 of 27 of QA checklist and signed off ES-201-2.

INSTRUCTOR

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of Feb/2005 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC. Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management and the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of Feb 14-17, 2005. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1. Philip E. Nielsen	INSTRUCTOR / EXAM DEVELOPER	<i>[Signature]</i>	24 MAY 2004	<i>[Signature]</i>	17 FEB 2005	
2. Richard H. Edens	INSTRUCTOR	<i>[Signature]</i>	10-18-04	<i>[Signature]</i>	28 FEB 2005	U.S. EDENS
3. JOSEPH H. MURRAY	OTRS	<i>[Signature]</i>	10-25-04	<i>[Signature]</i>	31/05	
4. Robert J. Artus	Instructor	<i>[Signature]</i>	12/13/04	<i>[Signature]</i>	2/18/05	
5. JOHN A. VERHILL	INSTRUCTOR	<i>[Signature]</i>	12/13/04	<i>[Signature]</i>	2/18/05	
6. James B. Geary Jr	INSTRUCTOR	<i>[Signature]</i>	12/12/04	<i>[Signature]</i>	2/18/05	
7. MICHAEL J. KELLY	INSTRUCTOR	<i>[Signature]</i>	1/26/05	<i>[Signature]</i>	2/18/05	
8. JAY W. LYTER	EOPC/OTRS	<i>[Signature]</i>	2/4/05	<i>[Signature]</i>	2/22/05	
9. John Papucic	OTRS	<i>[Signature]</i>	2-8-05	<i>[Signature]</i>	2-17-05	
10. JOSEPH HANLEY	INSTRUCTOR	<i>[Signature]</i>	2/8/05	<i>[Signature]</i>	2-17-05	
11. Donald G. Fallone	SOS	<i>[Signature]</i>	2/8/05	<i>[Signature]</i>	2/17/05	
12. CHARLES TIRELLA	INSTRUCTOR	<i>[Signature]</i>	2-8-05	<i>[Signature]</i>	2-17-05	
13. Subil McGurney	INSTRUCTOR	<i>[Signature]</i>	2-8-05	<i>[Signature]</i>	2/18/05	
14. GERALD W. EUTENEIER	INSTRUCTOR	<i>[Signature]</i>	2-14-05	<i>[Signature]</i>	2-17-05	
15.						

NOTES:

OPERATIONS

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of FEB 7, 2005 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC. Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of FEB 7, 2005. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

	PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1.	<u>RICHARD TRUAX</u>	<u>SHIFT MANAGER / FA</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10/11/04</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>2/28/05</u>	
2.	<u>MICHAEL AMES</u>	<u>REACTOR OPERATOR</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10-11-04</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>2-28-05</u>	
3.	<u>Charles m. Daniel</u>	<u>Reactor operator</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10-11-04</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>2-28-05</u>	
4.	<u>R. Hewelllyn</u>	<u>Shift Supervisor</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10-11-4</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>3-1-5</u>	
5.	<u>J. JAMES</u>	<u>Shift Supervisor</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10-13-04</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>3-2-05</u>	①
6.	<u>L. MALENTEE</u>	<u>Shift Manager / ops mgr</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>2-7-05</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>2-17-05</u>	
7.							
8.							
9.							
10.							
11.							
12.							
13.							
14.							
15.							

NOTES: ① per [unclear] / voice mail mess

1. Pre-Examination

Simulator Support

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of Feb 7, 2005²¹⁴ as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC. Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of Feb 7 & 8, 2005. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1. <u>APRHEEN KHATAMI</u>	<u>Simulator Support</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>8/6/04</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>2/24/05</u>	
2. <u>Rudy Tyler</u>	<u>Simulator Support</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>8/6/04</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>2/24/05</u>	
3. <u>AJ GAJEWSKI</u>	<u>F&C Tech</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10-12-04</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10-24-05</u>	
4.						
5.						
6.						
7.						
8.						
9.						
10.						
11.						
12.						
13.						
14.						
15.						

NOTES:

Current Summary

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of Feb 7th, 2005 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC. Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of Feb 7th, 2005 from the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

	PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1.	<i>Michele Amberman</i>	<i>Admin Coordinator</i>	<i>Michele Amberman</i>	<i>5-24-04</i>	<i>Michele Amberman</i>	<i>2-17-05</i>	
2.	<i>Virginia Billings</i>	<i>Admin AIST</i>	<i>Virginia Billings</i>	<i>5-26-04</i>	<i>Virginia Billings</i>	<i>2-17-05</i>	
3.							
4.							
5.							
6.							
7.							
8.							
9.							
10.							
11.							
12.							
13.							
14.							
15.							

NOTES:

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 2/7-14/05 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 2/7-14/05. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

	PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1.	Leland S. Stanford	LGS INSTRUCTOR	<i>[Signature]</i>	12/6/04	<i>[Signature]</i>	12/6/04	Per Leland S. Stanford 3/29/05 1
2.	COREY B. GOLF	LGS INSTRUCTOR	<i>[Signature]</i>	12/6/04	<i>[Signature]</i>	12/6/04	Per Corey Golf 3/29/05 1
3.	KAN, TIMOTHY Y	LGS INSTRUCTOR	<i>[Signature]</i>	12/6/04	<i>[Signature]</i>	12/6/04	Per Timothy Kan 3/29/05 1
4.	Carl Rich	LGS OTR	<i>[Signature]</i>	12-7-04	<i>[Signature]</i>	12-7-04	Per Carl Rich 3/29/05 1
5.							
6.							
7.							
8.							
9.							
10.							
11.							
12.							
13.							
14.							
15.							

NOTES: 1 Per telecon/email.

Facility: <u>Peak Bottom</u>		Date of Examination: <u>7 FEB 2005</u>		Operating Test Number: <u>1</u>	
1. General Criteria			Initials		
			a	b*	c#
a.	The operating test conforms with the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with sampling requirements (e.g., 10 CFR 55.45, operational importance, safety function distribution).	<u>MJK</u>	<u>TF</u>		
b.	There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination.	<u>MJK</u>	<u>TF</u>		
c.	The operating test shall not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s). (see Section D.1.a.)	<u>MJK</u>	<u>TF</u>		
d.	Overlap with the written examination and between different parts of the operating test is within acceptable limits.	<u>MJK</u>	<u>TF</u>		
e.	It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants at the designated license level.	<u>MJK</u>	<u>TF</u>		
2. Walk-Through Criteria			-	-	-
a.	Each JPM includes the following, as applicable: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • initial conditions • initiating cues • references and tools, including associated procedures • reasonable and validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if deemed to be time-critical by the facility licensee • operationally important specific performance criteria that include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature - system response and other examiner cues - statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant - criteria for successful completion of the task - identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards - restrictions on the sequence of steps, if applicable 	<u>MJK</u>	<u>TF</u>		
b.	Ensure that any changes from the previously approved systems and administrative walk-through outlines (Forms ES-301-1 and 2) have not caused the test to deviate from any of the acceptance criteria (e.g., item distribution, bank use, repetition from the last 2 NRC examinations) specified on those forms and Form ES-201-2.	<u>MJK</u>	<u>TF</u>		
3. Simulator Criteria			-	-	-
The associated simulator operating tests (scenario sets) have been reviewed in accordance with Form ES-301-4 and a copy is attached.			<u>MJK</u>	<u>TF</u>	
	Printed Name / Signature		Date		
a.	Author	<u>PHILIP E. NIEWES / Peh JL</u>	<u>26 JAN 2005</u>		
b.	Facility Reviewer(*)	<u>MICHAEL J. KELLY / MJK/Kelly</u>	<u>1-26-05</u>		
c.	NRC Chief Examiner (#)	<u>TODD FISH / Todd Fish</u>	<u>1/28/05</u>		
d.	NRC Supervisor	<u>Richard J. Carter / RJC</u>	<u>2/4/05</u>		
NOTE: • The facility signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests. # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.					

Facility: <u>Peach Bottom</u>		Date of Exam: <u>TRC32005</u>		Scenario Numbers: <u>1/2/3/4/5</u>		Operating Test No.: <u>1</u>	
QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES				Initials			
				a	b*	c#	
1.	The initial conditions are realistic, in that some equipment and/or instrumentation may be out of service, but it does not cue the operators into expected events.	M	JL	TF			
2.	The scenarios consist mostly of related events.	M	JL	TF			
3.	Each event description consists of <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated • the malfunction(s) that are entered to initiate the event • the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew • the expected operator actions (by shift position) • the event termination point (if applicable) 	M	JL	TF			
4.	No more than one non-mechanistic failure (e.g., pipe break) is incorporated into the scenario without a credible preceding incident such as a seismic event.	M	JL	TF			
5.	The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics.	M	JL	TF			
6.	Sequencing and timing of events is reasonable, and allows the examination team to obtain complete evaluation results commensurate with the scenario objectives.	M	JL	TF			
7.	If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clearly so indicates. Operators have sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue time constraints. Cues are given.	M	JL	TF			
8.	The simulator modeling is not altered.	M	JL	TF			
9.	The scenarios have been validated. Pursuant to 10 CFR 55.46(d), any open simulator performance deficiencies or deviations from the referenced plant have been evaluated to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the planned scenarios.	M	JL	TF			
10.	Every operator will be evaluated using at least one new or significantly modified scenario. All other scenarios have been altered in accordance with Section D.5 of ES-301.	M	JL	TF			
11.	All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified using Form ES-301-6 (submit the form along with the simulator scenarios).	M	JL	TF			
12.	Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of transients and events specified on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios).	M	JL	TF			
13.	The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each crew position.	M	JL	TF			
Target Quantitative Attributes (Per Scenario; See Section D.5.d)		Actual Attributes					
		1	2	3	4	5	
1.	Total malfunctions (5-8)	5	6	7	7	6	M JL TF
2.	Malfunctions after EOP entry (1-2)	2	3*	3*	2	3*	M JL TF
3.	Abnormal events (2-4)	2	2	3	3	2	M JL TF
4.	Major transients (1-2)	1	1	1	1	1	M JL TF
5.	EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1-2)	2	2	2	1	2	M JL TF
6.	EOP contingencies requiring substantive actions (0-2)	1	1	1	2	1	M JL TF
7.	Critical tasks (2-3)	3	3	3	3	3	M JL TF

* ALTHOUGH THE MALFUNCTIONS AFTER EOP ENTRY EXCEEDED THE TARGET QUANTITATIVE ATTRIBUTE, THESE SCENARIOS ARE ACCEPTABLE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE GUIDANCE IN ES-301 D.5.d

IN THAT THEY HAVE AN APPROPRIATE DIFFICULTY LEVEL

Facility: Peach Bottom Date of Examination: 7 Feb 2005 Operating Test No.: 1

Competencies	APPLICANTS															
	<u>S20-U-182</u> <u>RO/SRO-</u> <u>#SRO-U</u>				<u>S20-I-184</u> <u>RO/SRO-</u> <u>U/SRO-U</u>				<u>S20-I-285</u> <u>RO/SRO-</u> <u>U/SRO-U</u>				<u>S20-I-386</u> <u>RO/SRO-</u> <u>U/SRO-U</u>			
	SCENARIO				SCENARIO				SCENARIO				SCENARIO			
	S20	S20	3	4	S20	ATC	OSP	4	OSP	S20	ATC	4	ATC	OSP	S20	4
Interpret/Diagnose Events and Conditions	3,4, 5,6, 7	2,4, 5,6, 7,8			3,4, 5,6, 7	4,5, 6,8	1,3,4, 6,7, 8,9		3,4, 5,7	2,4, 5,6, 7,8	1,3, 4,6, 7,8,9		3,4, 5,6, 7	2,4, 5,6, 7	1,3, 4,6, 7,8	
Comply With and Use Procedures (1)	2,3, 4,5, 6,7	1,2, 3,4,5, 6,7,8			2,3, 4,5, 6,7	3,4, 5,6, 7,8	1,2, 3,6, 7,8,9		2,3, 4,5, 6,7	1,2, 3,4,5, 6,7,8	1,2, 3,4, 5,6, 7,8		2,3, 4,5, 6,7	1,2,4, 5,6, 7,8	1,2,3, 4,5,6, 7,8,9	
Operate Control Boards (2)	—	—			—	3,4, 5,7, 8	2,3, 6,8, 9		1,5, 7	—	2,3, 5,6, 8,9		2,5, 6,7	1,2, 4,5, 6,7,8	—	
Communicate and Interact	1,2,3, 4,5, 7	1,2,3, 4,5,6, 7,8			1,2,3, 4,5, 7	1,2,3, 4,5,6, 7,8	1,2,3, 5,6, 7,8,9		1,2,3, 4,5, 6,7	1,2,3, 4,5,6, 7,8	1,2,3, 4,5, 6,7,8,9		1,2, 3,5, 6,7	1,2, 3,4, 5,6, 7,8	1,2,3, 4,5,6, 7,8,9	
Demonstrate Supervisory Ability (3)	1,2,3, 4,5, 6,7	1,2,3, 4,5, 6,7,8			1,2,3, 4,5, 6,7	—	—		—	1,2,3, 4,5, 6,7,8	—		—	—	1,2,3, 4,5,6, 7,8,9	
Comply With and Use Tech. Specs. (3)	3,4	2,4			3,4	—	—		—	2,4	—		—	—	1,4	

Notes:
 (1) Includes Technical Specification compliance for an RO.
 (2) Optional for an SRO-U.
 (3) Only applicable to SROs.

Instructions:

Circle the applicants' license type and enter one or more event numbers that will allow the examiners to evaluate every applicable competency for every applicant.

Author:

[Signature]

NRC Reviewer:

[Signature]

Facility: <u>PENNY BOTTOM UNIT 2 & 3</u>		Date of Exam: <u>7 FEB 2005</u>		Exam Level: <u>RO/SRO</u>		
Item Description	Initial					
	a	b*	c*			
1. Questions and answers are technically accurate and applicable to the facility.	<u>M</u>	<u>G</u>	<u>TF</u>			
2. a. NRC K/As are referenced for all questions. b. Facility learning objectives are referenced as available.	<u>M</u>	<u>G</u>	<u>TF</u>			
3. SRO questions are appropriate in accordance with Section D.2.d of ES-401	<u>M</u>	<u>G</u>	<u>TF</u>			
4. If more than four RO and two SRO questions are repeated from the last two NRC licensing exams, the facility licensee's sampling process was random and systematic.			<u>N/A</u>			
5. Question duplication from the license screening/audit exam was controlled as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate: <input type="checkbox"/> the audit exam was systematically and randomly developed <input type="checkbox"/> the audit exam was completed before the license exam was started <input type="checkbox"/> the examinations were developed independently <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> the licensee certifies that there is no duplication <input type="checkbox"/> other (explain)	<u>M</u>	<u>G</u>	<u>TF</u>			
6. Bank use meets limits (no more than 75 percent from the bank, at least 10 percent new, and the rest new or modified); enter the actual RO / SRO-only question distribution(s) at right.	Bank	Modified	New	<u>M</u>	<u>G</u>	<u>TF</u>
	<u>14 / 7</u>	<u>1 / 1</u>	<u>60 / 17</u>			
7. Between 50 and 60 percent of the questions on the RO exam are written at the comprehension/ analysis level; the SRO exam may exceed 60 percent if the randomly selected K/As support the higher cognitive levels; enter the actual RO / SRO question distribution(s) at right.	Memory		CIA	<u>M</u>	<u>G</u>	<u>TF</u>
	<u>35 / 6</u>		<u>40 / 19</u>			
8. References/handouts provided do not give away answers or aid in the elimination of distractors.	<u>M</u>	<u>G</u>	<u>TF</u>			
9. Question content conforms with specific K/A statements in the previously approved examination outline and is appropriate for the tier to which they are assigned; deviations are justified.	<u>M</u>	<u>G</u>	<u>TF</u>			
10. Question psychometric quality and format meet the guidelines in ES Appendix B.	<u>M</u>	<u>G</u>	<u>TF</u>			
11. The exam contains the required number of one-point, multiple choice items; the total is correct and agrees with the value on the cover sheet.	<u>M</u>	<u>G</u>	<u>TF</u>			
a. Author	Printed Name / Signature			Date		
b. Facility Reviewer (*)	<u>Philip E. Newell / [Signature]</u>			<u>2 FEB 2005</u>		
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)	<u>R. Llewellyn / [Signature]</u>			<u>2-2-5</u>		
d. NRC Regional Supervisor	<u>Todd Fish / [Signature]</u>			<u>2/3/05</u>		
	<u>Richard V. Conte / [Signature]</u>			<u>2/4/05</u>		
Note:	* The facility reviewer's initials/signature are not applicable for NRC-developed examinations. # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.					

Facility: <u>Peace Bottom</u>		Date of Exam: <u>17 Feb 2005</u>		Exam Level: <u>RO/SRO</u>	
Item Description	Initials				
	a	b	c		
1. Clean answer sheets copied before grading	<u>PN</u>	<u>JL</u>	<u>NSJ/TF</u>		
2. Answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented	<u>PN</u>	<u>JL</u>	<u>NSJ/TF</u>		
3. Applicants' scores checked for addition errors (reviewers spot check > 25% of examinations)	<u>PN</u>	<u>JL</u>	<u>NSJ/TF</u>		
4. Grading for all borderline cases (80 ±2% overall and 70 or 80, as applicable, ±4% on the SRO-only) reviewed in detail	<u>PN</u>	<u>JL</u>	<u>NSJ/TF</u>		
5. All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified	<u>N/A</u> <u>PN</u>	<u>N/A</u> <u>JL</u>	<u>N/A</u> <u>NSJ/TF</u>		
6. Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by half or more of the applicants	<u>PN</u>	<u>JL</u>	<u>NSJ/TF</u>		
Printed Name/Signature		Date			
a. Grader	<u>PHILIP E. NIELSEN / <i>[Signature]</i></u>		<u>21 FEB 2005</u>		
b. Facility Reviewer(*)	<u>MICHAEL J. KELLY / <i>[Signature]</i></u>		<u>2/24/05</u>		
c. NRC Chief Examiner (*)	<u>TODD FISH / <i>[Signature]</i></u> <u>GILBERT A. JOHNSON / <i>[Signature]</i></u>		<u>3/1/05</u>		
d. NRC Supervisor (*)	<u>Richard V. Conter / <i>[Signature]</i></u>		<u>3/8/05</u>		
(*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations graded by the NRC; two independent NRC reviews are required.					