

10 CFR 71.95 REPORT EVALUATION FORM

Docket No.: 71-9218

Page 1 of 2

Package Model No.: TRUPACT-II

Report Submitted By: TRU Solutions

Report Date: October 1, 2002

Review the incoming report to determine if additional Commission or staff action is warranted. The review should consider whether the report identifies a generic defect or problem with the package design and the safety significance of the issue. Note that a high safety significance represents a potential for significant radiation exposure, medium safety significance represents a potential for some moderate radiation exposure, and low safety significance represents little or no potential for radiation exposure.

1. The report identifies:

- Significant reduction in the effectiveness of a package during use;
- Defect with a safety significance;
- Shipment in which conditions of the approval were not observed.

2. What is the safety significance? High Medium Low

3. Summary of the report:

On September 25, 2002, DOE informed the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site (RFETS) that six shipments involving 14 TRUPACT-II packages were not properly leak tested prior to shipment. These packages were leak tested with nitrogen gas rather than helium gas. Although, the leak tests that were performed on these packages may not have had the required sensitivity, the tests did demonstrate the containment seals were functioning.

4. Corrective actions taken by the licensee:

RFETS personnel determined that a nitrogen bottle was inadvertently connected to the test apparatus instead of a helium bottle. RFETS personnel then inspected the remaining work stations to ensure that this error had not been repeated. In addition, all shipping sites were notified of this error. These sites were requested to review their test procedures and provide lessons-learned to test personnel.

10 CFR 71.95 REPORT EVALUATION FORM

Docket No.: 71-9218

Page 2 of 2

Package Model No.: TRUPACT-II

Report Submitted By: TRU Solutions

Report Date: October 1, 2002

5. Staff comments:

None.

6. Staff conclusion:

- 9 The report does NOT identify generic design or license/certificate issues that warrant additional Commission or staff action. This report is considered closed.
- 9 There is a need to take additional action. Provide a summary of the bases and recommended actions:

DISTRIBUTION:

PUBLIC

NRC File Center

Docket File 71-9218

SFPO 71.95 Report File

R. Temps

R. Bellamy, I

D. Collins, II

J. Madera, III

B. Spitzberg, IV

R. Torres (NMSS GAP
Coordinator)

E:\Filenet\ML051320041.wpd

OFC	SFPO	E	SFPO		SFPO				
NAME	SBrown		MDeBose		JMonninger				
DATE	10/01/03		10 /01 /03		05 / 10 / 05				

C = COVER

E = COVER & ENCLOSURE

N = NO COPY

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY