Risk-Perspective on Manual Actions

Dennis W. Henneke Duke Power PRA



Risk Reduction

- Most III.G.2 fire areas are low risk (screened) based on maintained Fire PRA.
 - □ Maximum Calculated Risk is 2E-08/year
 - □ Most of the plant fire risk is from III.G.3 fire areas and the Turbine Building.
- Likely Risk Reduction for adding suppression is less than 1E-07/year.
- Likely risk reduction for meeting time margins for III.G.2 manual actions is much lower:
 - □ None of the review manual actions are directly required for ensuring Safe Shutdown.



Risk Increase

- Internal Flooding Risk represents 1% and 15% of internal events CDF.
- Adding suppression could significantly increase this risk.
 - ☐ Greater than 1% change in risk would make the overall change a risk increase.
- Added risk from installation of new suppression systems:
 - ☐ Hot work
 - □ Working above Safety Related Equipment



Costs

- 2 of 3 Duke Plants would require significant addition of suppression.
- Initially estimated as >\$4M.
- Could be higher, depending on:
 - □ Turbine Building (need full suppression in all areas?).
 - □ Containment (how to fully detect and suppress).
 - □ Protection of Electrical Equipment.



Implementation

- Can time-margin T-H calculations use realistic (PRA) calculations:
 - □ RCP Seal Leakage (2.1 gpm worst case versus expected range).