

SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECTED: Mobile Imaging Services (MIS) 1221 Nicolet Mall, Suite 600 Minneapolis, MN Loc. Use:		2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region III 2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210 Lisle, IL 60532-4351	
REPORT 2005/001			
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S) 030-34608	4. LICENSEE NUMBER(S) 22-32051-01	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION 04/19/2005	

LICENSEE:

The inspection was an examination of the activities conducted under your license as they relate to radiation safety and to compliance with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) rules and regulations and the conditions of your license. The inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observations by the inspector. The inspection findings are as follows:

- ☒ 1. Based on the inspection findings, no violations were identified.
- ☐ 2. Previous violation(s) closed.
- ☐ 3. The violation(s), specifically described to you by the inspector as non-cited violations, are not being cited because they were self-identified, non-repetitive, and corrective action was or is being taken, and the remaining criteria in the NRC Enforcement Policy, NUREG-1600, to exercise discretion, were satisfied.


_____ Non-Cited Violation(s) was/were discussed involving the following requirement(s) and Corrective Action(s):

- ☐ 4. During this inspection certain of your activities, as described below and/or attached, were in violation of NRC requirements and are being cited. This form is a NOTICE OF VIOLATION, which may be subject to posting in accordance with 10 CFR 19.11.

(Violations and Corrective Actions)

Licensee's Statement of Corrective Actions for Item 4, above.

I hereby state that, within 30 days, the actions described by me to the inspector will be taken to correct the violations identified. This statement of corrective actions is made in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 2.201 (corrective steps already taken, corrective steps which will be taken, date when full compliance will be achieved). I understand that no further written response to NRC will be required, unless specifically requested.

Title	Printed Name	Signature	Date
LICENSEE'S REPRESENTATIVE			
NRC INSPECTOR	Tony S. Go		04/19/05

NRC FORM 591M PART 3 (10-2003) 10 CFR 2.201		U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION	
Docket File Information SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION			
1. LICENSEE Mobile Imaging Services (MIS) REPORT NUMBER(S) <u>2005/001</u>		2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region III	
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S) 030-34608	4. LICENSE NUMBER(S) 22-32051-01	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION 04/ 19 /05	
6. INSPECTION PROCEDURES 87130	7. INSPECTION FOCUS AREAS 03.01 - 03.07		
SUPPLEMENTAL INSPECTION INFORMATION			
1. PROGRAM 2220	2. PRIORITY 3	3. LICENSEE CONTACT David Ricci, RSO	4. TELEPHONE NUMBER 218-751-2487
<input type="checkbox"/> Main Office Inspection		Next Inspection Date: <u>04/08</u>	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Field Office <u>Nininger Rd., Hasting, MN</u>			
<input type="checkbox"/> Temporary Job Site			
PROGRAM SCOPE			

NRC FORM 591M PART 3 (10-2003)

Program Scope

The licensee is a mobile nuclear services headquartered in Minneapolis, MN, with three permanent facilities located in Sartell, Hasting and New Ulm, MN. However, as of the inspection date, the licensee's permanent facility in Hasting, MN, was the only operational facility. The facility located in New Ulm, had just acquired a new camera, and during the inspection the facility was not operational. During the inspection date, the licensee serviced approximately eight clients with the licensee's two mobile units. At the Hasting facility, the licensee operates one camera with a hot lab. The licensee is located in small hospital where the licensed material used. At this hospital, the licensee performed both weekly and daily surveys at the restricted areas. During the inspection, the Hasting facility was staffed by one technologist, and the licensee performed approximately four to six diagnostic studies per day.

On two of these hospitals, the licensee owned the nuclear medicine departments including the camera equipments. The licensee employed three full time technologists that serviced areas approximately 80 miles from St. Paul, MN, and according to the RSO, the licensee performed approximately 50 nuclear medicine diagnostic procedures per week. The licensee performed only diagnostic nuclear medicine procedures.

Performance and Observations

The technologist demonstrated the following activities to the inspector: (1) a daily radiation survey; (2) a weekly removable contamination survey; and (3) a package receipt and return surveys. The inspector observed a diagnostic administration involving licensed materials during the inspection. The inspector determined that there was no RAM contamination identified during the inspection at the Hasting facility that also included the hot lab. The inspector observed that technologist used both whole body and extremity dosimeters during administration and during handling of licensed materials. In addition, technologist was also observed using syringe shields, dosimeters, lab coats and gloves while administering radioactive materials. No violations of NRC requirements were identified during the inspection.