

From: Charles R. Ogle *RGW-II*  
To: Weerakkody, Sunil *NRR*  
Date: 2/4/04 12:10PM  
Subject: Re: Revised Q&As and Key Messages

Thanks you for your revisions. I personally appreciate you all taking the time to consider our comments. Two points that I don't think are as clear as they should be:

Item 6- I am not sure that it is entirely accurate to say the "...the license has been required to correct the non-compliance through its corrective action program." While licensees are required to enter them into their corrective action program, I suspect a fair number are not planning on correcting the non-compliance ; they will probably wait for the rule change.

Item 8: I appreciate the fix you made, however, the statement: "*If manual actions are not acceptable, then the NRC will determine the risk significance and possible violation through the ROP*" is questionable. I don't know the mechanism by which this will happen. The way the paragraph is worded, it almost seems like we will be on the hook to look at all the manual actions. I suspect that we will only review a sample of the manual actions. Recommend that you all reword to narrow the focus of the actions the NRC will be involved in.

Thanks.

>>> Sunil Weerakkody 02/04/04 08:54AM >>>

*NRR*

We changed the key messages to address your comments to the extent possible. Open the attached file as "reviewer" and you'd see the changes in green. Let us know if you have further **significant** concerns.

Sunil

CC: O'Donohue, Kathleen

*FFF-4*