TELEPHONE CONVERSATION RECORD	<b>Date:</b> April 15, 2005	<b>Time:</b> 11:00
Mail Control No.: N/A Inspection No.: 2005-001	License No.: 29-30514-01	<b>Docket No.:</b> 030-34996
<b>Person Called:</b> David R. Schlessel, M.D., Radiation Safety Officer	Licensee: Associated Cardiovascular Consultants, P.A.	<b>Telephone No.:</b> 856-424-3600

**Person Calling:** Steven Courtemanche/(610) 337-5075

## **Subject:** Exit Meeting via telephone.

Summary: I spoke with Dr. Schlessel about the results of the inspection. The inspection consisted of the review of records, interview of personnel, observations of the inspector, and measurements made by the inspector. There were no items of noncompliance or matters of safety being cited. I was pleased with the way the staff handled itself, that they appeared knowledgeable, and that they handled radioactive material in a manner to protect themselves, their coworkers and the public. There were three items that I would, however, like the licensee to look into: (1) A nuclear medicine technologist had twice the whole body exposure with similar extremity exposure to coworkers. The licensee should look into the work practices of the individual to determine if the whole body exposure could be decreased even though it is well within regulatory limits. (2) At one location of use, the cotton gauze within the syringe carrier was found to be 1.5 mR/hr and the syringe shields were 5.0 mR/hr. Four half-lives had passed since the items were used. No other contamination on-site was identified. The technologists should survey the items prior to use to ensure against spreading contamination in other parts of the facility by handling the contaminated items. (3) At one location of use, a technologist indicated that surveys were performed with a pancake GM probe with the plastic cover on the probe. The inspector demonstrated to the technologist that keeping the plastic cover on the probe lessened the efficiency of the instrument. The licensee should in service the other technologists to ensure that surveys are not performed with the plastic cover on the instrument. No response to these items would be required of the licensee. Dr. Schlessel stated that he understood that the above were verbal recommendations and that there would be no report issued as a result of the inspection.

Action Required/Taken: Place into ADAMS.		
Prepared by Steven Courtemanche	Date: April 15, 2005	