# C.1 Renewal Performance Evaluation Checklist

PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF RENEWAL APPLICANT Official Agency Record						
Licensee: Lehigh Vally hosp License or Docket No: 37-01548 Control No: 135911	141 2-01 /0300	- 2937				
	enewal application	were reviewed and/or appropriate staff were				
Performance Indicator	Conclusion	If YES, explain:				
Escalated enforcement, or OI or OIG investigation occurred or ongoing	Yes O No 🗹					
Lost control of licensed material presumed in public domain that is reportable or resulted in a violation	Yes 🗆 No 🛭					
Unauthorized disposal or release of material that is reportable or resulted in a violation	Yes□ No⊠					
An overexposure that resulted in a violation	Yes 🗆 No 🗖					
guidance contained in NUREG-1556. I	f all boxes are che- visor, documented a lacement in the doc	omprehensive Review using the applicable cked "NO," perform a Limited Review. An on this form, or a copy of the documentation cket file.				
The review should be comprehens    Julie   3-2						
Reviewer / Date		Supervisor / Date (if exception granted)				

## C.2 Renewal – Limited Review Checklist

RENEWAL-LIMITED REVIEW CHECKLIST					
	eficiency, as appropriate. Document areas	sponse, "NA" to designate not applicable or "D" to receiving a focused or thorough review at the end of			
Licensee:	Lehigh Valley Haspital	License No. 31 -015 48-01  Docket No. 0300248    Control No. 135 411			
	NRC-313 or appropriate equivalent signe	ed and dated by senior licensee representative.			
	- 4	that any decommissioning financial assurance remains			
	Licensee name and address match the cur	rent license reviewed in deficiency corresponds			
<u>_</u>	Place of use is a physical location (i.e., ne				
	RSO and key personnel are appropriately	qualified.			
<del></del>	Facilities and equipment are adequate.				
$\frac{}{}$	All uses qualify for a categorical exclusion	on in 10 CFR Part 51.			
	Reviewers are reminded licensees have the	pplicable regulations and NUREG-1556 guidance. e flexibility to provide information equivalent to that e individuals are present and are assigned necessary			
<u>M</u> A	•	nsee and any major program elements that require on structure conform with applicable regulations and			
	Inspection records reviewed for issues to	be resolved during licensing.			
		CONTINUED NEXT PAGE			

### RENEWAL-LIMITED REVIEW CHECKLIST (continued)

Major program changes, new high risk technology programs, and changes in control (ownership) normally require only a focused review of the specific changes. If these changes are so extensive that a

_	Review of the entire application is needed, obtain Branch Chief approval before the hollowing three items must be marked with NA or a check and the change briefly
NA	Major program change conforms with applicable regulations and NUREG-1556 guidance.
NA	New high risk technology program conforms with regulations for similar technologies, guidance provided for similar technologies in NUREG-1556 guidance, and specific licensing conditions for the new technology.
<u>NA</u>	Change in Control (Ownership) conforms with applicable regulations and NUREG-1556 guidance. NOTE: Financial assurance documents can be affected by change of ownership.
	rief overview of the remainder of the application found that the major areas discussed in the lance on the contents of the application from the appropriate NUREG-1556 series are ent.
/A/	An obvious failure or a deficiency in a significant area resulted in a thorough review of that area. Document below.
	Additional information was requested, and an adequate response was received. (circle request as appropriate: phone log / e-mail / fax (letter) 3-23-0)
A C to a List	omprehensive Review was conducted, and the reason for changing from a Limited Review Comprehensive Review is documented on the "Performance and Limited Review Check".
Area(s) of Focu	sed or Thorough Review:

## C.3 New and Renewal – License Term Checklist

LICENSE TERMS OF LESS THAN 10 YEARS Official Agency Record					
Licensee: Lehigh Valley Mispilal	License: 37-6 Docket No: 0 Control No: 133		03002181		
The application and license records were reviewed again license term is appropriate:	ainst the fo	ollowing	g criteria to determine if a reduced		
Criteria	YES	NO	Basis for YES		
New high risk technology without extensive use or regulation experience by industry, or licensee, or NRC;		J	·		
Enforcement History - Severity Level I, II, or III violation due to serious programmatic deficiencies and not singular events, in preceding 3 years;		J			
Possession-Only (Permanent Shutdown) – License authorizes no activities other than possession and storage of licensed material (2-year term);					
Renewal received a Comprehensive Review;		ノ			
Other, specify:					
If any of the above items are checked "YES", describe the basis above, determine the license term (usually 5 years) and document the determination below. All exceptions must be approved by a supervisor and a copy of that documentation attached to this checklist for placement in the docket.  Assigned License Term:					
			<del></del>		
Ababul 4-3-05					
Reviewer / Date		(if le	Supervisor / Date ess than 10 years or exception)		

#### Checklist for Determining When Significant Licensing Action **C.5** Has Taken Place That May Require An Additional Onsite Inspection

## CHECKLIST FOR DETERMINING WHEN SIGNIFICANT LICENSING ACTION HAS TAKEN PLACE THAT MAY REQUIRE AN ADDITIONAL ONSITE INSPECTION

If recent licensing actions have resulted in one of the following, regional management should determine the need for performing an onsite inspection prior to the next routine inspection:				
1.	Does the licensing action result in increased authorization for types and quantities of radioactive material that could result in a significant potential for increased radiation exposure to the public and occupational workers?			
	No Yes (Describe)			
	Note: This can be identified by a change to a higher priority, i.e., from a Priority 2 to a Priority 1 license. Another "rule-of-thumb" for identifying a significant change in this area would be an increase in the authorized quantity from a millicurie amount to a curie amount.			
2.	Does the licensing action authorize a physical move of a facility or authorize use at a temporary job site(s)?			
	Ď No □ Yes			
3.	3. Does the licensing action authorize satellite facilities where material will be used or stored?			
	Ďi No □ Yes			
4.	Does the licensing action increase the types of uses or disposal (incineration) of radioactive materials?			
	☑ No ☐ Yes			
5.	Does the licensing action significantly increase the number of authorized users?	1		
	☑ No ☐ Yes			