NRK
Release

From: Erasmia Lois RES
To: Ray Gallucci
Date: 5/24/04 4:50PM
Subject: RE: Need a Skull Session?

in our offices; John can call us all

Erasmia

>>> Ray Gallucci 05/24/04 04:47PM >>> NRK
OK - where?

>>> Erasmia Lois 05/24/04 04:46PM >>>
Before 3:00 is better for me to; how about 1:30 ET?

>>> James Bongarra 05/24/04 04:31PM >>> NRK
I can do a Wednesday afternoon call (or late a/m).

>>> Ray Gallucci 05/24/04 04:03PM >>>
If Wednesday, must be completed before 3:00 for me. Jim Bongarra must be available as well.

>>> "Forester, John A" <jafores@sandia.gov> 05/24/04 03:58PM >>>
Everyone,

Alan and I will strive to draft an annotated outline over the next couple of
days. At that point a call would be useful. Maybe a Wednesday call would
work.

Thanks,

John

-----Original Message-----
From: Ray Gallucci [mailto:RHG@nrc.gov]
Sent: Friday, May 21, 2004 1:31 PM
To: James Bongarra
Cc: Erasmia Lois; ALAN.M.KOLACZKOWSKI@saic.com; jafores@sandia.gov
Subject: Need a Skull Session?

John called me this PM to discuss my vision for the Reg. Guide. My vision
is cloudy, at best, but I see it providing three things:

1. The "motherhood" technical bases that we developed via consensus (also
part of the SOC)
2. My previous table of quotations listing sources that justify the
inclusion of the selected criteria as the appropriate ones (my earlier, and
subsequently discarded, "technical bases")
3. Guidance on what constitutes "good criteria" (e.g., "good staffing")

#3 is the obvious difficult one, as it can be viewed merely as a bunch of
references to existing reports, such as the ones Jim already provided,
and/or enhanced direction on OpManAx-specific "good criteria." For example,
for Staffing, we might just list references that discuss how to assure
Staffing is adequate. But, would we also want to discuss how Staffing would
be adequate in the world of OpManAx (e.g., if you need 25 people available

for non-fire situations, would you need to add 3, 5, 10 for fire situations
where OpManAx might be needed)?

For the short term (draft Reg. Guide in June), I think we can get away with
just #s 1 and 2 and the reference list for #3 (this should also include a
page or so on how we might use the MicroSaint tool to estimate time margins
[Erasmia - could Jay provide something on this?]), plus the detailed
material from the workshops, covering Time Margin and, to a large extent,
Demo. Jim, I see you as the main thinker and data source in the #3 effort,
perhaps with assistance from some RES HFEs such as Jay and Paul. I think
John and Alan will want to get more feedback on this than I can provide.
Might a skull session among ourselves (Erasmia, Jim, myself, some RES HFEs
with John and Alan by phone) be worthwhile?

CC: John Lehner