NRG

From:

Ray Gallucci NRR

To: ALAN.M.KOLACZKOWSKI@saic.com; Bley@ieee.org; Erasmia Lois; Gareth Parry; jafores@sandia.gov; James Bongarra; Mardy Kazarians; Michael Junge; Peter Koltay; Rebecca Nease

Date:

4/8/04 7:45AM

Subject:

RE: DATES FOR Follow-on expert elicitation meeting--confirmed

I think the "ground rules" are that "anything goes" so long as hot shutdown can be achieved. I don't like these ground rules, but, as per my memo yesterday after talking with Phil, there apparently has already been an implicit acceptance of this based on our <u>not</u> specifying what OpManAx are "in" and "out" in the SECY that started this rulemaking. The only specifications on OpManAx acceptability were that they be feasilbe (and, by implication, reliable as well). So, symptom-based "recoveries," such as reclosing the opened PORV via fuse box pull, are "in" because they have been "greened" as non-compliances during inspections.

Again, this may not be "right," but I don't see us having the luxury of redirecting the rulemaking at this point - we can only work on enhancing the criteria, specifically the Time "Margin." If it helps, let's try to pick less "controversial" examples (no "recoveries," for example).

>>> Peter Koltay 04/07/04 05:24PM >>> NKR before we start up again we should review the ground rules for MAs and set

>>> Erasmia Lois 04/06/04 04:47PM >>>

Dates: May 4 and 5

Times: 8:30 - 5:30

ROOM: 0-13B4

CC: Alex Klein; Andrew Kugler; Arda Artinian; David Lew; N.P. Kadambi; Phil Qualls; Sunil Weerakkody

228