

<b>TELEPHONE CONVERSATION RECORD</b>	<b>Date:</b> April 1, 2005	<b>Time:</b> 09:15
<b>Mail Control No.:</b> 136235 <b>Inspection No.:</b> N/A	<b>License No.:</b> 29-23921-01	<b>Docket No.:</b> 030-36786
<b>Person Called:</b> Shane Brightwell, Consultant-RSO	<b>Licensee:</b> Richard Barry Marketing Group	<b>Telephone No.:</b> 631-278-0610
<b>Person Calling:</b> Steven Courtemanche/(610) 337-5075		
<b>Subject:</b> Telephone deficiency.		
<p><u>Summary:</u> I called Mr. Brightwell and explained that while I was writing up the license I noticed one additional discrepancy. The application mentions sealed sources manufactured by mb-microtec as having model numbers X00/A, X00/B, and X00/C. Mr. Brightwell stated that leaving the sealed source designations unchanged was an oversight on his part. The designations were generic in nature and should have read the same as found in the Sealed Source and Device Registration Sheet for mb-Microtec, i.e., NR-446-D-103-E. These designations are Device Model Numbers 100/1, 100/2, 100/3, 100/4, and 100/5; and for the Sealed Source Model Numbers 400/1, 400/2, and 400/3. Mr. Brightwell discussed other manufacturers of timepieces and sealed sources but I suggested that that action should be treated as an amendment after the original applications for a possession and distribution license are issued. Mr. Brightwell agreed with this approach.</p>		
<b>Action Required/Taken:</b> Document for ADAMS		
<b>Prepared by</b> Steven Courtemanche	<b>Date:</b> April 1, 2005	