

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46

## APPENDIX I

### AIR QUALITY - SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

This appendix provides supporting information for Section 3.5 (Air Quality). Section 1 describes the affected environment, Section 2 describes the air emissions from each alternative, and Section 3 discusses the environmental consequences.

#### **1.0 Affected Environment**

The affected environment, as defined for the purposes of the air quality impact assessment, includes the ambient air affected by non radiological air pollutants emitted from activities associated with the release, handling, processing, transportation, and disposal of solid materials generated from licensed facilities under the Alternatives, and the General Public potentially exposed to such non radiological air pollutants. The affected environment also includes environmental receptors potentially affected by air emissions from activities associated with the Alternatives.

This section describes the environmental setting and baseline national air emissions to which air emissions associated with the Alternatives are compared in the environmental consequences analysis and also includes a discussion of baseline ambient air quality. National air emissions rather than baseline ambient air quality, is used as the baseline for assessment of air quality impacts because site-specific baseline ambient air quality cannot be determined for the Draft GEIS.

#### **1.1 Baseline Ambient Air Quality**

Air quality is assessed by measurements collected at thousands of air quality monitoring stations around the country, and these monitoring data are used to assess baseline ambient air quality associated with site-specific actions. Management of air quality is generally conducted on a local or regional scale and is achieved by controlling air emissions sources within the area that contribute to violations of the NAAQS. Potential air quality environmental consequences of site-specific actions are generally compared to baseline ambient air quality data. However, site-specific analyses cannot be conducted for the Alternatives, as the specific quantities of licensee-released materials that would flow into and through each air quality management area, and the affect of those activities on associated local air concentrations, cannot be estimated. Therefore, the air emissions estimated for each of the Alternatives are compared to total national emissions for each air pollutant for which there is an ambient air quality standard, and no site-specific baseline ambient air quality data are included in the Draft GEIS.

#### **1.2 Emissions**

Management of air quality is achieved by controlling sources that contribute the air pollutants associated with each air quality standard. Emissions from air emissions sources are typically estimated using emission factors. An emission factor is a parameter that describes the air pollutant emission rate of a particular process in terms of some common and easily quantified activity that is directly related to the emissions activity. For example, emissions from secondary

1 ferrous metal processing (recycling) are estimated by applying an emission factor that represents  
2 the average emission rate of particulate matter for each ton of scrap ferrous metal processed. If  
3 the total tons of scrap ferrous metal to be processed in a ferrous metal mill is known, the total  
4 emissions resulting from the process can be estimated by multiplying the total tons throughput by  
5 the emission factor in units of pounds of emissions per ton of ferrous metal processed.  
6

7 Many of the processes associated with the Alternatives are regulated to ensure that emissions are  
8 controlled to specified levels. The types and performance of air pollution control equipment in  
9 use at any given facility will differ. Typically, air pollutant emission factors are expressed as the  
10 uncontrolled emission rate, and the result of the estimate produced for any specific facility is  
11 adjusted to account for the control efficiency of the air pollution control system.  
12

13 Air quality management programs are typically implemented on a local or urban area scale.  
14 Information on the specific rates of activities conducted within the specific local or urban scale  
15 air quality management areas is required to complete these local analyses. Activity rate data  
16 would include, for example, total vehicle miles traveled in the area each year, or the total tons of  
17 ferrous metal produced in the area each year. However, the quantities of licensee-released  
18 materials that would flow into and through each air quality management area for each of the  
19 Alternatives cannot be estimated for the Draft GEIS, and, therefore, no site-specific or  
20 region-specific air quality analyses can be conducted. Since the affect of meteorology and  
21 climatology on environmental impacts is only relevant for local analyses, it is not meaningful to  
22 discuss meteorology and climatology in this study.  
23

24 Since air emissions associated with activities conducted under each Alternative can only be  
25 estimated on a national scale, the total national emissions (in units of tons per year) of each  
26 pollutant for each process and activity associated with each Alternative is used as the  
27 environmental setting (air quality baseline) for the purposes of evaluating the air quality impacts  
28 of the Alternatives. The national emissions of each pollutant from each industry sector and  
29 process (e.g., ferrous metal production) are included in the EPA National Emissions Inventory  
30 (NEI) (EPA 2004a). The same emission factors used by EPA to prepare the NEI are applied to  
31 appropriate estimates of the material flow through each process to estimate the incremental  
32 effects on air quality associated with each Alternative.  
33

34 The NEI, used in this Draft GEIS to establish the affected environment, is prepared annually by  
35 the Emission Factors and Inventories Group (EFIG) within the EPA Office of Air Quality  
36 Planning and Standards (OAQPS). The development of the annual NEI by EPA is a requirement  
37 of the Clean Air Act. The EPA coordinates closely with State air quality planning agencies to  
38 ensure that the database is as accurate as possible and that local conditions are represented to the  
39 extent possible. The EPA NEI database is available at <http://www.epa.gov/ttn/>  
40 [chief/net/1999inventory.html](http://www.epa.gov/ttn/) (EPA 2004a). Emissions estimates are available in the NEI for  
41 each of the specific process activities that can be quantified, as well as for the equipment and  
42 transportation activities that are associated with the Alternatives.  
43

44 The total national emissions (in units of tons per year) of each pollutant for each process and  
45 associated activity is used as the environmental setting (air quality baseline) for the purposes of  
46 evaluating the air quality impacts of the alternatives. The national emissions of each pollutant

from each industry sector and process (e.g., ferrous metal production) are included in the NEI. A summary of national emissions by source activity for the processes related to the alternatives for 1999 is provided in Table I-1. The annual period 1999 is used as the baseline measure because it is the most recent annual, national, air emissions inventory that has received extensive quality assurance.

**Table I-1 National Annual Air Metric Emissions from Specific Processes for 1999  
(metric tons per year)**

Source Category	SO <sub>2</sub>	VOC	PM10	PM2.5	NO <sub>x</sub>	CO
Concrete Recycling	10	43	51	47	115	200
Secondary Ferrous Metal Production	7,997	3,361	7,609	7,074	5,326	22,346
Secondary Copper	48	336	1,833	1,792		
Secondary Aluminum	1,272	18,068	11,640	11,148	2,745	1,701
Subtitle D Landfills	1	1,393	609	250	13	65
Solid Waste Incinerators	11,852	1,190	2,393	2,128	30,127	10,775

Source: EPA NEI database at <http://www.epa.gov/ttn/chief/net/1999inventory.html>.

## 2.0 Air Emissions From Alternatives

The EFIG maintains a compilation of the recommended emission factors for use in estimating emissions from various air emissions source types, including air emissions processes and activities associated with each Alternative. The record of emission factors for stationary sources is the Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors, AP-42, Fifth Edition, Volume 1: Stationary Point and Area Sources <http://www.epa.gov/ttn/chief/ap42/index.html> (EPA 2003e). Emission factors for highway mobile sources (e.g., diesel trucks) are developed by use of the MOBILE6 model that is maintained and updated by the EPA Office of Transportation and Air Quality (OTAQ) (EPA 2003f). Emission factors for mobile sources are dependent on the vehicle type, vehicle age, and speed, among other influences. Highway emission factors for heavy duty trucks that would be used to transport materials under the Alternatives will be developed using the MOBILE6 model. Input conditions representative of the average conditions anticipated for transportation of materials under the Alternatives will be selected to derive these average emission factors. The emission factors will then be applied to estimates of the total miles of transport required for each of the alternatives.

Emissions from heavy equipment operations are estimated by the use of another model developed by OTAQ called the NONROAD model (EPA 2003g). This model includes assumptions about the distribution and activity levels of various types of off road mobile sources. The output of the model provides estimates for specific types of equipment. Emissions from non-road heavy equipment (e.g., ferrous metal scrap pile loaders), are developed by applying an estimate of the total anticipated hours of operation for a representative type of heavy equipment that is commonly used for the particular type of process. The appropriate emission factor for that type of heavy equipment is used to derive a reasonable estimate of the air emissions for each Alternative.

1     **2.1     Concrete**

2  
3     Fugitive Particulate Emissions

4  
5     Concrete released from licensed facilities for the No Action, Unrestricted Release, and Limited  
6     Dispositions Alternatives would be broken up to remove ferrous metal reinforcing bars, and to  
7     create pieces in size ranges that can be moved and loaded onto trucks at the licensed facilities.  
8     These size-reduction processes can emit fugitive dust. Additional fugitive releases may be  
9     generated as the material is loaded into trucks for removal from the site. Similarly, other size-  
10    reduction processes at transfer station operations at which concrete rubble is processed are also  
11    potential sources of fugitive dust emissions. The fugitive dust generated by these mechanical  
12    activities includes mass in the smaller size range (<2.5 µm diameter particles) and has the  
13    potential to be transported to downwind receptor locations. Most of the particles larger than 10  
14    µm are removed from the atmosphere by gravitational settling near the air emission source and  
15    are not generally considered in air quality analyses. Depending upon wind speed and direction  
16    and other atmospheric conditions, populations living close to the licensee's facility or to other  
17    facilities where concrete rubble is processed for size reduction could be exposed to ambient air  
18    concentrations of fugitive dust. Such exposures would depend upon the hours of operation of the  
19    processes and dust suppression measures that are applied during the process.

20  
21    Combustion Source Emissions

22  
23    Movement and size-reduction of concrete would involve use of heavy equipment, such as dozers  
24    and loaders. Such equipment is commonly powered by diesel engines. Diesel engines emit NO<sub>x</sub>  
25    and VOC, the precursors of ozone, CO, particulate matter in the fine size fraction, and CO<sub>2</sub>. The  
26    precursors of ozone and the particulate matter emissions have the potential to combine with other  
27    pollutants generated by mobile and stationary sources in the general area to affect regional air  
28    quality.

29  
30    Transportation Emissions

31  
32    Under the No Action and Unrestricted Release Alternatives large pieces of concrete would be  
33    loaded into heavy duty trucks or rail cars at the licensee facility for transport to the concrete  
34    recycling process facilities. Transport of concrete rubble does not have a high potential to  
35    generate fugitive emissions, however, transportation of crushed aggregate suitable for use at a  
36    roadway construction site could contribute fugitive emissions during transport if the truck trailer  
37    is not securely covered. Truck engines used to transport materials would emit NO<sub>x</sub>, VOC, CO,  
38    particulate matter in the fine size range and CO<sub>2</sub>. The General Public along the transportation  
39    routes would be exposed to the diesel combustion exhaust and any fugitive emissions released.

40  
41    Process Emissions

42  
43    Once the concrete reaches the concrete recycling process site, additional mechanical processing  
44    would be conducted to further reduce the concrete rubble into the appropriate aggregate size  
45    range for end use as road bed fill. The process involves grinding and crushing and would  
46    generate fugitive dust. Wind erosion could result in the generation of fugitive particulate

1 emissions from aggregate storage piles. The mass of emissions would depend on the length of  
2 time the storage pile remains undisturbed, the moisture content of the aggregate, and the wind  
3 speed. The potential for population exposure would depend upon the wind speed and direction  
4 and the distance between the facility and human receptors.  
5

6 Table I-2 summarizes air emission sources, processes and activities by material type (concrete,  
7 ferrous metal and trash disposal) for the No Action, Unrestricted Release and Limited  
8 Disposition Alternatives.  
9

## 10 **2.2 Ferrous Metal, Aluminum, and Copper**

11  
12 Processing of ferrous metal, aluminum, and copper for recycling under the No Action and  
13 Unrestricted Release Alternatives involves similar activities with similar air emissions  
14 characteristics. Similar processes and activities are associated with the release of the materials at  
15 the licensee sites and the transport of the materials to the recycling facilities. There are specific  
16 differences in the magnitude and constituents of air emissions from the recycling processes for  
17 ferrous metal, aluminum, and copper as a result of the furnace types used to melt the scrap and  
18 the characteristics of the metals themselves, but the process activities for the secondary metals  
19 processing are similar for all three materials. This section provides a generic description of the  
20 process and the specific emissions characteristics for the different kinds of furnaces used to melt  
21 metal scrap.  
22

### 23 Fugitive Particulate Emissions

24  
25 Fugitive dust emissions sources associated with the processing of the metal scrap at the licensed  
26 facility are associated with the bulk loading of the scrap metal into trucks or railcars. These  
27 processes have only a minimal potential for dispersion of the fugitive emissions from the  
28 licensed facility site because the emissions are characterized by large particle sizes that settle  
29 under gravitational influences and do not tend to disperse from the source.  
30

### 31 Process Emissions

32  
33 Several different types of cutting machines or shredders might be used to reduce the size of the  
34 scrap metal pieces for transport either at the licensed facility site or at secondary processing  
35 facilities. The scrap metal processing could result in metal fumes emissions. Ozone precursors  
36 would be generated from the diesel or gasoline engines used to power the equipment.  
37

38 While the processes that are used at the recycling facilities to recycle ferrous metal, aluminum,  
39 and copper are conceptually the same, different types of furnaces are used for each metal.  
40 Therefore, different emission rates and constituents are associated with each metal recycling  
41 process. The scrap metal is processed by reducing the scrap to the molten state in a furnace,  
42 separating the impurities from the metal, and casting the recovered metal into intermediate or  
43 final products. Recovered metal is often cast into ingots that would then be further processed into  
44 finished materials (e.g., automobile engine blocks) at some other facility, or cast into new  
45 products at the recycling facility site.  
46

**Table I-2 Air Emissions Sources, Processes, and Activities Associated with No Action, Unrestricted Release, and Limited Dispositions Alternatives**

<b>Material</b>	<b>Activity</b>	<b>Air Emissions Source</b>	<b>Exposed General Public</b>
<b>Concrete</b> (No Action, Unrestricted Release, and Limited Dispositions Alternatives)	Crushing/Grinding	Fugitive Dust	Vicinity of licensed facility
	Loading for Transport	Fugitive Dust Heavy Equipment Engine Emissions	Vicinity of licensed facility, and incremental contribution to urban and regional air quality inventory
	Transport to Road Bed Material Processing Facility	Fugitive Dust Heavy-Duty Truck Highway Emissions	Along the transportation route, and incremental contribution to urban and regional air quality inventory
	Unloading/Storage Piles	Fugitive Dust Heavy Equipment Engine Emissions	Vicinity of processing facility, and incremental contribution to urban and regional air quality inventory
	Processing into Road Bed Material	Fugitive Dust Heavy Equipment Engine Emissions	Vicinity of processing facility, and incremental contribution to urban and regional air quality inventory
	Transport to Road Building Site	Fugitive Dust Heavy-Duty Truck Highway Emissions	Along the transportation route, and incremental contribution to urban and regional air quality inventory
	Final Use - Roadbed Construction	Fugitive Dust Heavy Equipment Engine Emissions	Near road construction site, and incremental contribution to urban and regional air quality inventory
	Disposal of Concrete Dust in Landfill	Fugitive Dust Heavy Equipment Engine Emissions	Vicinity of landfill, and incremental contribution to urban and regional air quality inventory

**Table I-2 Air Emissions Sources, Processes, and Activities Associated with No Action, Unrestricted Release, and Limited Dispositions Alternatives (continued)**

<b>Material</b>	<b>Activity</b>	<b>Air Emissions Source</b>	<b>Exposed General Public</b>
<b>Ferrous Metal, Copper, Aluminum</b> (No Action and Unrestricted Release Alternatives)	Sorting/sizing for removal	Torches and Cutting Tools Heavy Equipment Engine Emissions	Vicinity of licensed facility, and incremental contribution to urban and regional air quality inventory
	Loading for Transport	Heavy Equipment Engine Emissions	Vicinity of licensed facility, and incremental contribution to urban and regional air quality inventory
	Transport to Recycling Facility	Heavy-Duty Truck Highway Emissions	Along the transportation route, and incremental contribution to urban and regional air quality inventory
	Unloading/Storage/Preparation for Recycling	Torches and Cutting Tools Heavy Equipment Engine Emissions	Vicinity of processing facility, and incremental contribution to urban and regional air quality inventory
	Smelting/Refining	Furnace	Vicinity of processing facility
	Transport to Secondary Casting	Heavy-Duty Truck Highway Emissions	Along the transportation route, and incremental contribution to urban and regional air quality inventory
	Secondary Melting casting	Furnace	Vicinity of secondary processing facility
	Molding/cutting/shaping in new use	Torches and Cutting Tools	Near reuse manufacturing facility
	Transport of Final Product to point of use	Heavy-Duty Truck Highway Emissions	Along the transportation route, and incremental contribution to urban and regional air quality inventory
<b>Trash Disposal</b> (No Action, Unrestricted Release, and Limited Dispositions Alternatives)	Transport of Recycling Process wastes to Landfill	Heavy-Duty Truck Highway Emissions	Along the transportation route, and incremental contribution to urban and regional air quality inventory
	Unloading and disposal of trash at EPA/State-regulated landfill	Heavy Equipment Engine Emissions	Vicinity of landfill or disposal facility
	Disposal in EPA/State-regulated landfill	Heavy Equipment Engine Emissions	Vicinity of landfill or disposal facility

1 The principal air pollutant emitted from any type of secondary metals processing activity is  
2 particulate matter. The particulate matter results from the condensation of metal fumes that are  
3 emitted from the molten metal processes when they are released into the ambient air. The  
4 resulting particulate matter is primarily in the form of metal oxides. These metal oxide  
5 emissions form in the small size ranges that can disperse from process stacks and vents into the  
6 ambient air and then disperse from the facility location. Particulate emissions from secondary  
7 metal processing facilities are subject to air quality regulations and subject to air emissions  
8 control requirements.

9  
10 Processing and disposal of the impurities generated as slag from these furnaces can also cause  
11 emissions of particulate matter. These processes are conducted in ways that minimize the release  
12 of air pollutants.

### 13 Ferrous Metal

14  
15  
16 Scrap ferrous metal is recycled by incorporating scrap into a furnace along with virgin ferrous  
17 metal scrap (i.e., scrap ferrous metal generated at the ferrous metal mill from ferrous metal  
18 processing) and pig iron. The mixture is melted and impurities are extracted. The final ferrous  
19 metal melt is tapped and used to form either cast products, ingots or rolled sheets for use in a  
20 variety of applications. The furnaces used to process scrap ferrous metal can be part of an  
21 integrated ferrous metal mill where iron ore is processed in addition to ferrous metal, but it is  
22 more often completed at specialty iron and ferrous metal foundries that concentrate on secondary  
23 ferrous metal processing. Most of the furnaces used to process ferrous metal do not use external  
24 heat sources. The main types of secondary ferrous metal furnaces are basic oxygen furnaces and  
25 electric arc furnaces (EAF). Scrap ferrous metal may also be melted directly in a foundry. In the  
26 blast furnace process, molten pig iron from a blast furnace is used to melt the scrap. Scrap may  
27 also be melted by heat generated through electrical resistance in EAFs. Oxygen lancing is often  
28 used to remove impurities by forming oxides that create the furnace slag. The oxidation  
29 reactions are exothermic and create additional heat for the process. Some smaller foundries use  
30 gas fired furnaces to melt scrap ferrous metal. The primary air pollutant emissions from ferrous  
31 metal furnaces are particulate matter resulting from fumes released by the melt. These fumes are  
32 most commonly in the form of metal oxides. Ferrous metal blast furnaces and foundries emit  
33 combustion products from combustion of fuel to provide heat to melt the ferrous metal. EAF  
34 furnaces result in secondary emissions of combustion products from generation of electricity.

### 35 Aluminum

36  
37  
38 Aluminum scrap is processed primarily in reverberatory furnaces. Reverberatory furnaces are  
39 generally natural gas fired immediately above the melt and have a curved roof that redirects the  
40 rising heat back into the melt. Emissions from the furnace fuel use are estimated as a separate  
41 process. The primary emissions from aluminum recycling are particulate matter resulting from  
42 fumes released by the melt, and combustion products from burning of natural gas.

1 Copper

2  
3 Copper scrap may be processed in cupola furnaces, reverberatory furnaces, or electric arc  
4 furnaces. Copper scrap is generally mixed with virgin copper and clean scrap. The pure metal is  
5 separated from the impurities and tapped to form ingots or in some applications is cast directly  
6 into new products, such as copper pipe. The copper recycling processes emits metal fume  
7 particulate matter and combustion emissions.  
8

9 **2.3 Trash**

10  
11 Under the No Action, Unrestricted Release, EPA/State-Regulated Disposal, and Limited  
12 Dispositions Alternatives, trash released from licensed facilities is assumed to be directly  
13 disposed in a Subtitle D landfill. Air emissions sources and emission factors associated with  
14 disposal of trash are discussed in Section 3.3.  
15

16 **3.0 Environmental Consequences**

17  
18 Total national air emissions (in units of tons per year) from processes and activities associated  
19 with the Alternatives are estimated using emission factors. For example, the total amount of  
20 particulate matter (PM) associated with the recycling of ferrous metal under the Unrestricted  
21 Release Alternative is estimated by multiplying the total amount of ferrous metal released from  
22 licensed facilities that is recycled in ferrous metal mills (in units of tons per year) by a factor for  
23 the amount of particulate matter emitted per ton of ferrous metal recycled (in units of mass  
24 particulate matter per ton ferrous metal processed). The same emission factors used to prepare  
25 the NEI are applied to appropriate estimates of the material flow through each process to estimate  
26 the incremental effects on air quality associated with each Alternative.  
27

28 Approximately 15 to 20 million tons of concrete and approximately 2 million tons of ferrous  
29 metal would be released from licensed commercial nuclear reactor facilities under any of the  
30 Alternatives (Appendix F). It is assumed that all of the concrete would be sent for recycle as  
31 road-bed. The amount of ferrous metal is compared to approximately 82 million metric tons per  
32 year in the United States. Conversely, approximately 6,600 metric tons of copper and 200 tons  
33 of aluminum are anticipated to be released from commercial nuclear reactor facilities.

34 Therefore, air quality impacts associated with recycling and disposal of aluminum and copper  
35 are not discussed quantitatively in the Draft GEIS. Less than 0.07 million tons of trash would be  
36 released from licensed nuclear reactor facilities, and less than 0.9 million tons of trash is  
37 anticipated to be released from licensed facilities other than commercial nuclear reactors. This  
38 compares with estimates of approximately 209 million tons per year of municipal solid waste.  
39 The air quality impact analysis for trash is based on the disposal of trash in either EPA/State-  
40 regulated landfills, EPA/State-regulated incinerators, or LLW disposal facilities. Trash is not  
41 assumed to be recycled or reused under any of the Alternatives.  
42

43 Sources and activities associated with the Alternatives to which NESHAP standards apply are  
44 shown in Table I-3. Process emissions of hazardous air pollutants (HAPs) would be released  
45 from the recycling of ferrous metal under the No Action and Unrestricted Release Alternatives,  
46 The emission factors for HAPs for ferrous metal recycling are small compared to the emission

**Table I-3 Summary Table – Total Air Emissions from Alternatives  
(metric tons)**

<b>Total Emissions</b>					
<i>No Action Alternative and Unrestricted Release Alternative</i>					
	PM <sub>10</sub>	SO <sub>2</sub>	NO <sub>x</sub>	VOC	CO
Concrete (recycling)	1,219	Neg.	4,654	1,132	910
Ferrous metal (recycling)	8,362	2,905	7,248	4,614	--
Trash (landfill disposal)	67	Neg.	186	94	94
<b>TOTAL EMISSIONS</b>	<b>9,648</b>	<b>2,905</b>	<b>12,124</b>	<b>5,839</b>	<b>1,004</b>
<i>EPA/State-Regulated Disposal Alternative</i>					
Concrete (landfill disposal)	1,210	Neg.	4,583	1,132	910
Ferrous metal (landfill disposal)	36	Neg.	776	60	326
Trash (landfill disposal)	67	Neg.	186	94	94
Trash (incineration)	171	117	337	94	157
<b>TOTAL EMISSIONS</b>	<b>1,417</b>	<b>117</b>	<b>5,696</b>	<b>1,285</b>	<b>1,394</b>
<i>Limited Dispositions Alternative</i>					
Concrete (recycling)	1,219	Neg.	4,646	1,132	910
Ferrous metal (landfill disposal)	36	Neg.	776	60	326
Trash (landfill disposal)	67	Neg.	186	94	94
<b>TOTAL EMISSIONS</b>	<b>1,320</b>	<b>Neg.</b>	<b>5,570</b>	<b>1,285</b>	<b>1,330</b>
<i>LLW Disposal Alternative</i>					
<b>TOTAL EMISSIONS</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>889</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>94</b>
<b>Annual Emissions</b>					
<i>No Action Alternative and Unrestricted Release Alternative</i>					
Annual Emissions (metric tons/year)	205	62	258	124	21
<i>EPA/State-Regulated Disposal Alternative</i>					
Alternative Not Including Trash Incineration					
Annual Emissions (metric tons/year)	28	Neg.	118	26	28
Alternative Including Trash Incineration					
Annual Emissions (metric tons/year)	30	3	121	27	30
<i>LLW Disposal Alternative</i>					
Annual Emissions (metric tons/year)	2	0.2	19	2	2
<i>Limited Dispositions Alternative</i>					
Annual Emissions (metric tons/year)	33	Neg.	139	32	33

Neg = Negligible

1 factors for the criteria (NAAQS) air pollutants for ferrous metal recycling, in terms of emissions  
2 per ton of ferrous metal recycled. Therefore, the HAP emissions from ferrous metal recycling  
3 would be small as compared to the total inventory of HAPs emitted on a national basis.  
4 Similarly, the HAP emissions associated with disposal of material in Subtitle D landfills or  
5 EPA/State-regulated incinerators would also be small as compared to the total inventory of HAPs  
6 emitted from landfill disposal and incineration of solid waste. In addition, the facilities where  
7 these materials would be processed are already subject to HAP emissions limitation standards  
8 whether or not the materials from licensed facilities are processed. Therefore, HAP emissions  
9 from ferrous metal recycling and landfill disposal and incineration of wastes generated from  
10 ferrous metal recycling are not discussed quantitatively in the DGEIS.  
11

### 12 **3.1 No Action Alternative**

13  
14 Air emissions sources, processes, and activities that are anticipated to contribute air pollutant  
15 emissions for the No Action, Unrestricted Release, and Limited Dispositions Alternatives for  
16 each material are listed in Table I-4. Air emissions sources, processes, and activities associated  
17 with the No Action Alternative are similar to those for the Unrestricted Release Alternative for  
18 recycling of concrete, ferrous metal, aluminum, and copper and disposal of trash. Under the  
19 Limited Dispositions Alternative, concrete would be recycled and other material would be  
20 disposed of in landfills. Air emissions associated with the No Action Alternative would be  
21 similar to those for the Unrestricted Release Alternative based on the amount of solid material  
22 that would be released and potentially recycled under these two Alternatives. For the purposes of  
23 the air emissions environmental consequences analysis it is assumed that all of the released solid  
24 material for both the No Action and Unrestricted Release Alternatives are recycled and that none  
25 is disposed of, and for the Limited Dispositions Alternative, it is assumed that all of the concrete  
26 is recycled. These assumptions maximize the air emissions estimated for the Alternatives.  
27 Sources, activities, and air emissions for the Unrestricted Release Alternative are presented in  
28 Section 3.2 below.  
29

### 30 **3.2 Unrestricted Release Alternative**

31  
32 As discussed in Section 3.1, air emissions sources, processes, and activities for the No Action  
33 Alternative are similar for those for the Unrestricted Release Alternative with respect to the  
34 recycling of concrete, ferrous metal, aluminum, and copper and disposal of trash and also for the  
35 Limited Dispositions Alternative for concrete and trash (Table I-4). The activities that result in  
36 air emissions for each of the materials assessed can be grouped in four general categories:  
37 materials processing, heavy equipment operation, recycling operations, and transportation. Each  
38 of the materials must be segregated and sized to allow transportation from the site. These  
39 processes require the use of heavy equipment, such as crushers, and equipment to load the  
40 processed materials into trucks or railcars. The materials are then transported to appropriate  
41 processing or disposal facilities by trucks or railroad. Similar types of heavy equipment are used  
42 to unload the materials at a suitable processing or disposal facility. At a processing facility the  
43 materials are used as feed stock for the process that results in the recyclable materials. Finally,  
44 the processed materials and unwanted waste products from the processing activity must be  
45 transported to the end use site or to the appropriate disposal site. These processes and their  
46 associated air emissions are described below for each of the solid materials.

**Table I-4 Air Emissions Sources, Processes, and Activities Associated with No Action, Unrestricted Release, and Limited Dispositions Alternatives**

	<b>Activity</b>	<b>Regulated Pollution</b>	<b>Exposure Location</b>
Concrete	Crushing/Grinding	Fugitive Dust Heavy Equipment Engine Emissions	Vicinity of licensed facility; Vicinity of the processing facility
	Loading, Unloading, Storage Piles	Fugitive Dust Heavy Equipment Engine Emissions	Vicinity of licensed facility; Vicinity of the processing facility
	Transportation from licensed facility and to final use site, or to landfill	Fugitive Dust	Along the transportation route, and incremental contribution to urban and regional air quality inventory
		Heavy-Duty Truck Highway Emissions	
	Final Use - Roadbed Construction	Fugitive Dust Heavy Equipment Engine Emissions	Near road construction site, and incremental contribution to urban and regional air quality inventory
Disposal of Concrete Dust in Landfill	Fugitive Dust Heavy Equipment Engine Emissions	Vicinity of landfill, and incremental contribution to urban and regional air quality inventory	
Ferrous metal	Sorting/sizing for removal	Torches and Cutting Tools Heavy Equipment Engine Emissions	Vicinity of licensed facility
	Loading and unloading	Heavy Equipment Engine Emissions	Vicinity of licensed facility, and vicinity of processing facility
	Transportation between processing facilities, or landfill (for Limited Dispositions Alternative)	Heavy-Duty Truck Highway Emissions	Along the transportation route, and incremental contribution to urban and regional air quality inventory
	Smelting/Refining/Casting	Furnace	Vicinity of processing facility
	Molding/cutting/shaping in new use	Torches and Cutting Tools	Near reuse manufacturing facility
	Transport of Final Product to point of use	Heavy-Duty Truck Highway Emissions	Along the transportation route, and incremental contribution to urban and regional air quality inventory
Trash	Loading and unloading for transport	Heavy Equipment Engine Emissions	Vicinity of licensed facility, and vicinity of processing facility
	Transportation from licensed facility to waste incinerator or landfill	Heavy-Duty Truck Highway Emissions	Along the transportation route, and incremental contribution to urban and regional air quality inventory
	Disposal in EPA/State-Regulated Landfill	Heavy Equipment Engine Emissions	Vicinity of the landfill

1 Transportation

2  
3 Transportation of the intermediate and completely processed concrete aggregate, ferrous metal,  
4 and trash will contribute exhaust emissions from truck engines. Exhaust emissions from heavy  
5 duty diesel trucks are calculated by the application of emission factor models that represent the  
6 distribution of truck engines in service. Those emission factors are applied to estimates of the  
7 miles traveled by trucks with each engine type.  
8

9 There is also a possibility of fugitive dust emissions from the load. A cover is required for trucks  
10 and railcars that are transporting these types of materials to limit the fugitive dust emissions and  
11 the contributions from this type of activity are assumed to be negligible. There is no approved or  
12 recommended method to estimate these emissions. Therefore, fugitive emissions are not  
13 included in the emissions estimate from transportation processes.  
14

15 Air emissions estimates for transportation activities associated with the Unrestricted Release and  
16 Limited Dispositions Alternatives represent the total emissions expected for transportation of all  
17 of the materials to be released from licensed facilities. Material specific estimates used in this air  
18 quality analysis were estimated by allocating the transportation emissions based on the relative  
19 quantities of the materials. Table I-5 summarizes the allocation of the transportation emissions  
20 for concrete, ferrous metal and trash. Total emissions for all heavy duty diesel trucks for 1999,  
21 the most recent year for which data have been published by EPA, is 2,390,000 metric tons per  
22 year for NO<sub>x</sub>, and 130,909 metric tons per year for PM10. The average annual national emissions  
23 for NO<sub>x</sub> and PM10 for transportation of concrete are well under 0.001 percent of total heavy duty  
24 diesel emissions and are insignificant from an air quality management perspective.  
25

26 **Table I-5 Unrestricted Release and Limited Dispositions Alternatives**  
27 **Transportation Emissions**

Material	Quantity Released (10 <sup>6</sup> tons) <sup>1</sup>	Material Mass Fraction	Total Emissions (metric tons/year)			
			NO <sub>x</sub>	PM10	SO <sub>2</sub>	CO <sub>2</sub>
Concrete (metric tons)	20	0.89	5	0.2	Neg.	5,062
Ferrous metal (metric tons)	2	0.11	0.62	0.02	Neg.	626
Trash (metric tons)	0.066	0.003	0.12	Neg.	Neg.	17
Unrestricted Release Alternative Total (metric tons)	22	1	6	0.22	0.05	5,075
Total US Annual Emissions <sup>2</sup>	–	–	2,390,900	130,909	90,909	na

35 <sup>1</sup>Solid Material Generated by Commercial Nuclear Power Reactors.

36 <sup>2</sup> (EPA 2004a) NEI Total emissions for all heavy duty diesel engines in 1999. CO<sub>2</sub> emissions are not reported in the NEI  
37 totals.

1 Concrete

2  
3 Initially, large pieces of reinforced concrete are generated during the demolition process. These  
4 large pieces must be broken up into smaller pieces that can be loaded into trucks and to remove  
5 the ferrous metal reinforcing bars. The concrete that is targeted for disposal is loaded into trucks  
6 for transportation to landfills. The concrete that is to be used as road bed aggregate is loaded  
7 into trucks for transport to a facility that will break the pieces into smaller sizes, using similar  
8 equipment as that used at the licensed facility. Finally, the sized aggregate is transported to the  
9 road construction site, and the unusable pieces to a disposal facility.

10  
11 *Concrete Rubbilization*

12  
13 The size-reduction processes can emit fugitive dust. Additional fugitive releases may be  
14 generated as the material is loaded into trucks for removal from the site. Similarly, other size-  
15 reduction processes at transfer station operations at which concrete rubble is processed are also  
16 potential sources of fugitive dust emissions. The fugitive dust generated by these mechanical  
17 activities includes mass in the smaller size range (<10 µm diameter particles) and has the  
18 potential to be transported to downwind receptor locations. Most of the particles larger than 10  
19 µm are removed from the atmosphere by gravitational settling near the air emission source and  
20 are not generally considered in air quality analyses. Depending upon wind speed and direction  
21 and other atmospheric conditions, populations living close to the licensee's facility or to other  
22 facilities where concrete rubble is processed for size reduction could be exposed to ambient air  
23 concentrations of fugitive dust. Such exposures would depend upon local meteorological  
24 conditions, the hours of operation of the processes, and dust suppression measures that are  
25 applied during the process.

26  
27 Fugitive dust emissions from these types of operations are typically controlled by wetting the  
28 materials or some other dust suppression method. Emissions from these activities are low and  
29 estimates are not included as a separate source category in routine air emissions inventories.  
30 Emission factors for stone and aggregate crushing, screening and secondary crushing processes  
31 are used as a surrogate to represent an estimate of the contribution of these processes to air  
32 emissions loads. Emission factors for fugitive dust emissions in the PM10 size range from the  
33 crushing processes range from 0.0007 to 0.015 expressed in units of lbs/ton of processed  
34 material. The emission factors for fugitive dust during loading and unloading processes range  
35 from 0.000016 to 0.0001 (lb/ton loaded or unloaded). Emission factors for the 2.5 µm size range  
36 have not been finalized for many of these processes. EPA estimates PM2.5 emissions in the NEI  
37 by applying size fraction assumptions to source categories that lack a PM2.5 emission factor.  
38 Emissions of PM10 associated with the Alternatives are compared to annual PM2.5 emissions  
39 estimates to provide a conservative assessment of the potential air impacts.

40  
41 Total fugitive dust emissions generated by crushing and sorting the concrete is estimated to be  
42 157.5 metric tons if all 19.8 million tons of the concrete is completely processed into road bed  
43 aggregate. This total assumes two loading and unloading operations (one at the licensed facility  
44 and one at the aggregate processing facility). Emissions are smaller for the concrete that is  
45 disposed in a landfill because less crushing and screening is required. If it is assumed that these  
46 operations will occur uniformly over a 47 year period, the annual emissions are 3.35 metric tons

1 per year. The annual emissions of the smaller size fraction PM<sub>2.5</sub> represented in the 1999 NEI  
2 inventory (EPA 2004a) for crushing and screening processes is 46.2 short tons.

### 3 4 *Heavy Equipment Operation*

5  
6 A variety of types of equipment that use heavy duty diesel engines can be used to process the  
7 concrete. These engines emit particulate matter in both size ranges, oxides of nitrogen (NO<sub>x</sub>),  
8 carbon monoxide (CO), volatile organic compounds (VOC), and small amounts of HAP  
9 pollutants. The emission factors for these engines are represented in units of grams per  
10 horsepower-hour. The following assumptions were used to develop emissions estimates for the  
11 heavy equipment engines. Primary crusher engines rated at 200 horsepower can process 10 short  
12 tons of concrete per hour. Bull dozers rated at 100 horsepower can create piles of concrete at a  
13 rate of 10 short tons per hour. Finally, front end loaders rated at 100 horsepower, can load five  
14 tons of concrete per hour into trucks. These assumptions result in emissions estimates of 1,050  
15 metric tons of particulate matter, 4,376 metric tons of NO<sub>x</sub>, 910 metric tons of CO, and 1,132  
16 metric tons of VOC.

### 17 18 *Road Bed Construction*

19  
20 The aggregate produced from recycled concrete is assumed to be incorporated into road bed  
21 underlayment during road construction. Following road way preparation, and before paving, this  
22 aggregate is spread on the road bed to serve as a support for the paving materials, and to stabilize  
23 the materials under the asphalt or concrete used as paving material. There is a potential for  
24 fugitive dust emissions during these processes, but in most cases dust suppression methods are  
25 applied during road construction. EPA has not published recommended emissions estimation  
26 methods or emission factors for this activity, and typically emissions from these processes are  
27 not included in standard air emissions inventories. Since emissions are expected to be minimal,  
28 and there is no recommended estimation method, emissions from this activity are not addressed  
29 quantitatively in this Draft GEIS.

30  
31 Overall criteria pollutant emissions from processing and transportation of concrete released from  
32 licensed facilities under the Unrestricted Release and Limited Dispositions Alternatives  
33 represents a small fraction of the total national emissions associated with processing and  
34 transportation of concrete generated from all demolition and concrete recycling operations  
35 nationwide. A comparison of total estimated criteria pollutant emissions associated with the  
36 Unrestricted Release and Limited Dispositions Alternatives and total national emissions is shown  
37 in Table I-6. Overall emissions from recycling of concrete released from licensed facilities is  
38 estimated to be 0.2 percent or less of national emissions from concrete recycling.

### 39 40 Ferrous Metal

41  
42 Processing ferrous metal for recycling under the Unrestricted Release Alternative involves the  
43 same general categories of emission sources as those described for concrete. Ferrous metal  
44 would not be recycled under the Limited Dispositions Alternative, but would be disposed of in  
45 landfills. Air emissions from landfill disposal of ferrous metal are included in Section 3.3. The  
46 process of breaking the concrete into smaller pieces to remove ferrous metal reinforcing bars is

**Table I-6 Unrestricted Release and Limited Dispositions Alternatives  
Emissions from Concrete Recycling (metric tons)**

	PM <sub>10</sub>	VOC	NO <sub>x</sub>	CO
Concrete Rubblization (metric tons)	158	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable
Heavy Equipment Operation (metric tons)	1,050	1,132	4,376	910
Transportation (metric tons)	10	Not available	278	Not available
Road Bed Construction	[emission factors for road bed construction unavailable]			
Total Emissions (metric tons)	1,219	1,132	4,654	910
Annual Emissions (metric tons/year)	26	24	99	19
National Average Emissions (metric tons per year, all heavy duty diesel highway vehicles)	145,152	36,817	1,404,080	217,531
Percent of National Average	0.02	<0.01	< 0.01	<0.01

(1) Total emissions are projected to occur over a period of 47 years.

the same process described for concrete and no additional fugitive dust emissions would be generated solely because of the extraction of ferrous metal reinforcing bars. Heavy equipment will be used to load the ferrous metal into trucks, transportation sources will create air emissions during the transport of the ferrous metal, and emissions result from the furnaces where the ferrous metal is melted to be recast into ingots, sheets, rolls or bars.

#### *Smelting Furnace*

The recycled ferrous metal scrap is assumed to be recycled in one of three specific furnace types. Since it is not possible to determine the exact flow of ferrous metal to different reprocessing facilities, an average emission factor has been used. The average emission factor is based on the assumption that all of the recycled ferrous metal scrap is processed in one of the three primary furnace types used in commercial ferrous metal recycling. The three types of furnaces are electric arc furnace, the basic oxygen furnace (or an oxygen lanced foundry), and the open hearth foundry furnace. NUREG-1640 provides an estimate of the percent of scrap ferrous metal recycled in the U.S. that flows through each of these major recycling furnace types. Table I-7 summarizes the PM10 emission factors for each furnace type (EPA Compilation of Emission Factors, EPA Publication AP-42). NRC has assumed for the purposes of the air emissions impact assessment that the percent of ferrous metal scrap throughput directed to each furnace type for the licensee-released scrap ferrous metal is the same as that for the U.S. as a whole. The percent throughput estimate is used as a weighting factor for each process-specific particulate emission factor to derive a weighted average emission factor for the scrap ferrous metal smelting process. This factor is multiplied by the estimated total tonnage of scrap ferrous metal to be released under the Unrestricted Release Alternative to derive the emission rate for the smelting process. The weighting calculation for the particulate emission factor is summarized in Table I-7.

**Table I-7 Ferrous Metal Scrap Smelting Particulate Emission Factor Derivation**

Furnace Type	Emission Factor (lb/ton)	Fractional Throughput	Weighting Contribution
Electric Arc Furnace	13	0.581	7.553
Open Hearth Foundry	11	0.216	2.376
Oxygen Lanced Foundry	10	0.203	2.03
Weighted Average emission factor			11.959 lb/ton (5.98 kg/MG)

Application of the weighted average emission factor, and assuming that all 2.45 million tons of ferrous metal is recycled yields a total emission estimate for uncontrolled particulate matter of 13,319 metric tons. Typically, ferrous metal furnaces employ a particulate matter control device that achieves an average of 95 percent control efficiency. Applying that level of control yields 666 metric tons of particulate matter from the furnace operation. Additional particulate matter emissions result when metal fumes condense during the pours to form ingots, rolls, or sheets of ferrous metal that can be used in further processing. The emission factor for that process is 2.8 lb/ton (1.4kg/MG). Since it is more difficult to control those emissions resulting from the pours, no control efficiency is applied to the emissions from that process. It is assumed that the complete processing of recycled ferrous metal requires two melts and two pours. The first set of melt and pour is assumed to create an ingot, roll of sheet output, convenient for storage and transport to a second processing site where some final use product is cast. The total emissions of particulate matter for the recycling operation is 8,362 metric tons or 178 metric tons per year. That total represents 8.8 percent of the total annual particulate matter emissions from secondary ferrous metal production in the 1999 NEI inventory.

The emissions of SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub>, CO and VOC associated with ferrous metal furnaces is dependent on the fuels used, and other operating characteristics of the furnaces. The emissions of these other air pollutants are assumed to change by the same 8.8% factor that was calculated for particulate matter.

#### *Heavy Equipment Operation*

Heavy equipment powered with heavy duty diesel engines are used to collect the scrap ferrous metal at the demolition site, transfer the ferrous metal to trucks or rail cars, and to transfer the ferrous metal to the feed stock at the ferrous metal processing furnaces. Similar types of equipment as those described for the removal of concrete are used to remove the ferrous metal. The estimate for emissions from heavy equipment used to process ferrous metal assumes that a bull dozer rated at 100 horsepower can aggregate 2 short tons of scrap ferrous metal per hour, and that a front end loader can transfer 20 short tons of ferrous metal per hour into trucks or rail cars. The total emissions assume two cycles of the transfer process, one at the licensed facility and one at the processing facility.

Summary

Overall criteria pollutant emissions from processing and transportation of ferrous metal released from licensed facilities under the Unrestricted Release Alternative represents a small fraction of the total national emissions associated with processing and transportation of ferrous metal generated from all ferrous metal recycling operations nationwide. A comparison of total estimated criteria pollutant emissions associated with the Unrestricted Release Alternative and total national emissions is shown in Table I-8. The emissions resulting from the smelting operation may approach 8% of the emissions from a typical year of ferrous metal processing. Overall emissions from the transportation and recycling of ferrous metal released from licensed facilities are estimated to be <0.01 percent of the average annual emissions that result from the combined effect of heavy equipment, heavy-duty highway diesel engines and recycling.

**Table I-8 Unrestricted Release Alternative  
Air Emissions from Ferrous Metal Recycling  
(metric tons)**

	PM <sub>10</sub>	SO <sub>2</sub>	VOC	NO <sub>x</sub>
Smelting Furnace	8,325	2,905	4,554	7,022
Heavy Equipment Operation	36	-	60	772
Transportation	0.864	NA	NA	2,294
Total Emissions	8,362	2,905	4,614	7,248
Total Emissions (metric tons/year)	178	62	98	154
National Average Emissions (metric tons per year)	43,999	9,121	33,538 <sup>(1)</sup>	547,788
Percent of National Average	0.4	0.67	2.9	<0.01

(1) Secondary ferrous metal production only.

Trash

Under the No Action, Unrestricted Release, and Limited Dispositions Alternatives, trash would be disposed of in an EPA/State-regulated landfill. No recycling or reuse of trash is anticipated under any Alternative. Air emissions from trash landfill disposal and trash incineration are discussed under the EPA/State-Regulated Disposal Alternative in Section 3.3.

**3.3 EPA/State-Regulated Disposal Alternative**

The EPA/State-Regulated Disposal Alternative has similar emissions characteristics to the No Action, Unrestricted Release, and Limited Dispositions Alternatives with respect to handling and transportation of the materials released at licensed facilities. Under the No Action, Unrestricted Release, and Limited Dispositions Alternatives and the EPA/State-Regulated Disposal Alternative, materials released from licensed facilities would be processed to size and sorted at the licensed facility, and then transported from the licensed facility. These activities and their emissions characteristics would be identical for the EPA/State-Regulated Disposal Alternative.

1 However, under the EPA/State-Regulated Disposal Alternative, all of the materials would be  
2 disposed rather than recycled. Mobile source emissions and fugitive dust emissions would be  
3 associated with the placement of the materials in EPA/State-regulated disposal facilities.  
4

#### 5 Concrete and Ferrous Metal

6  
7 Under the EPA/State-Regulated Disposal Alternative solid materials would not be recycled but  
8 would be transported to an EPA/State-regulated landfill for disposal. For concrete, the same  
9 rubbilization, heavy equipment, and transportation activities would be conducted under the  
10 EPA/State-Regulated Disposal Alternative as under the No Action, Unrestricted Release, and  
11 Limited Dispositions Alternatives, and the air emissions per ton of concrete processed are  
12 assumed to be identical. Under the EPA/State-Regulated Disposal Alternative, the rubbilized  
13 concrete would be transported in trucks an average of 58 miles (93.3 kilometers) to an  
14 EPA/State-regulated disposal facility rather than transported an average of 198 miles (318.6  
15 kilometers) to a recycling location. Fugitive particulate emissions from disposal of concrete  
16 rubble in a EPA/State-regulated landfill would be negligible (see assumptions in Appendix K).  
17

18 For ferrous metal the overall emissions would be lower under the EPA/State-Regulated Disposal  
19 Alternative and the Limited Dispositions Alternative than under the No Action and Unrestricted  
20 Release Alternatives, as under the EPA/State-Regulated Disposal Alternative and Limited  
21 Dispositions Alternative there would be no process emissions from smelting of the ferrous metal.  
22 Air emissions from transportation of ferrous metal to the EPA/State-regulated disposal facility  
23 are assumed to be identical to those estimated for the No Action and Unrestricted Release  
24 Alternatives.  
25

#### 26 Trash

27  
28 Trash released by licensed facilities is assumed to be sent to either EPA/State-regulated landfills  
29 or to EPA/State-regulated solid waste incinerators. Trash will be collected and sorted if  
30 necessary to produce waste piles at the licensed facilities. Heavy equipment will be used to load  
31 that waste into trucks for transport to the landfill or incinerator location. The potential for  
32 fugitive dust emissions during these processes is minimal, since trash will contain a very small  
33 amount of particulate matter that can be dislodged to find its way into the air. The loaded trucks  
34 will generate air emissions during transport. Additional heavy equipment will be used to transfer  
35 the trash to feed stock at the incinerator, or to place the trash in the landfill. The assumptions  
36 used to estimate air emissions from the removal of trash and the resulting emissions estimates are  
37 described.  
38

#### 39 *Heavy Equipment Operation*

40  
41 It is assumed that a bull dozer rated at 100 horsepower can gather 0.5 short tons of released trash  
42 per hour, and that front loaders rated at 100 horsepower can load 0.5 short tons of trash into  
43 trucks per hour. The same assumption is used for unloading at the facility and moving the  
44 material into place as either a feed stock for an incinerator or into position in the landfill. The  
45 emissions estimates for the assumed 66,000 metric tons of trash from power generating reactor

1 units yields an estimate of 67 metric tons of PM<sub>10</sub>, 186 metric tons of NO<sub>x</sub>, and 94 metric tons of  
2 CO.

3  
4 *Transportation*

5  
6 Transportation air emissions for the EPA/State-Regulated Disposal Alternative for trash are the  
7 same as the estimates for the No Action, Limited Dispositions, and Unrestricted Release  
8 Alternatives for trash.

9  
10 *EPA/State-Regulated Landfill Disposal*

11  
12 Typically, operations at EPA/State-regulated landfills are controlled to keep the potential for  
13 fugitive emissions at a minimum. Currently, there are no recommended approaches to estimate  
14 these emissions and it is assumed that any fugitive dust emissions from the landfilling process  
15 are negligible.

16  
17 *EPA/State-Regulated Incineration*

18  
19 Under the EPA/State-Regulated Disposal Alternative, trash could be incinerated. EPA/State-  
20 regulated solid waste incinerators are designed to achieve near complete combustion of the  
21 organic material included in the trash stream. Therefore, a well designed and operated incinerator  
22 typically results in very low emissions of CO. As with all combustion sources some of the  
23 nitrogen in the air supplied to the unit would be oxidized to NO<sub>x</sub> during the combustion process.  
24 The emission rates of VOC and PM from the incinerator would depend on the specific combustor  
25 design and operation, the nature of the trash, and the characteristics of the incinerator air  
26 emission control system. Inorganic materials in the trash could be released as particulate matter  
27 in the incinerator flue gas stream to the incinerator stack, and these emissions would disperse into  
28 the atmosphere and be transported to off site receptors. Some organic materials in the trash may  
29 not achieve complete oxidation and result in formation of products of incomplete combustion,  
30 which would also be emitted in the stack gas. Depending upon the composition of the trash and  
31 the conditions of the incineration process and air emission control system, polycyclic organic  
32 matter and chlorinated dioxins and furans could be formed in the incinerator and emitted in the  
33 stack gas. Incinerators are subject to emissions limitation standards to control the amount of  
34 these hazardous air pollutants and the incremental contribution of the trash released from  
35 licensed facilities is negligible.

36  
37 There are three primary types of combustion technology used in solid waste incinerators: mass  
38 burn, refuse-derived fuel (RDF), and modular combustors. Mass burn units charge the  
39 combustion chamber with waste that has not been separated or processed prior to firing.  
40 Typically, these units operate by moving the waste material through the combustion zone on a  
41 grate and add both underfeed and overfeed air. The excess air facilitates near complete  
42 combustion but can also increase the particulate matter emissions, by causing some of the PM to  
43 entrain into the flue gas stream rather than being collected in the ash pit beneath the traveling  
44 grate.

In RDF combustors the fuel is processed by shredding or by finely dividing the fuel into a dust that is suitable for co-firing with pulverized coal. The waste is typically processed by sorting out all noncombustible materials and shredding the remaining fuel. The use of the shredded fuel increases the heat value of the fuel and facilitates near complete combustion.

Modular combustors also use unprocessed waste as the fuel but include two chambers. In one style of modular combustor the initial chamber is operated in a starved air mode to drive off volatile organic compounds (VOC) and CO. The exhaust is then subject to a second round of combustion with excess air to achieve the near complete combustion result. Another form uses excess air in the initial chamber and refires the exhaust in the second chamber again. The use of these designs is dependent on the nature of the waste stream.

The impacts of trash disposal from licensed facilities is estimated by applying the expected emissions from the use of heavy equipment to collect and transfer the material from the facilities to trucks and then from the trucks to the disposal site. Emissions from the highway transport of the trash are assumed to be negligible in comparison to the overall average annual heavy duty highway diesel vehicle emissions. Similarly, fugitive dust emissions during the process of landfill disposal are assumed to be negligible.

Overall criteria pollutant emissions from heavy equipment operation, transportation, and landfill disposal or incineration of trash released from licensed facilities under the EPA/State-Regulated Disposal Alternative represents a small fraction of the total national emissions associated with solid waste transportation, landfill disposal, and incineration. Emissions impacts from landfill disposal are estimated to be less than for the incineration option since landfill disposal does not include the combustion process. A comparison of total estimated criteria pollutant emissions associated with the EPA/State-Regulated Disposal Alternative and total national emissions is shown in Table I-9. Overall emissions are estimated to be 0.01 percent or less of the national emissions for solid waste disposal.

**Table I-9 EPA/State-Regulated Disposal Alternative – Trash Air Emissions from Trash Landfill Disposal/Incineration**

	PM <sub>10</sub>	NO <sub>x</sub>	CO
Heavy Equipment (metric tons)	67	186	94
Transportation (metric tons)	negligible	0.11	negligible
Landfill Disposal	negligible	negligible	negligible
Incineration (metric tons)	104	151	58
Total Emissions			
Landfill Disposal (metric tons)	67	186	94
Incineration (metric tons)	171	202	152
National Average Emissions (metric tons per year)			
Landfill Disposal	27,880	280,083	207,811
Incineration	29,810	307,415	216,109
Percent of National Average			
Landfill Disposal	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01

**Table I-9 EPA/State-Regulated Disposal Alternative – Trash Air Emissions from Trash Landfill Disposal/Incineration**

Incineration	0.01	<0.01	<0.01
--------------	------	-------	-------

**3.4 Low-Level Waste Disposal Alternative**

Activities that would generate air emissions for the LLW Disposal Alternative would be similar to those for the EPA/State-Regulated Disposal Alternative, with the exception that trash would not be incinerated under the LLW Disposal Alternative and solid materials may be transported to the LLW disposal facility by railcar in addition to by truck.

For this Alternative all of the potentially clearable materials generated from licensed facilities would be transported to a LLW disposal facility. For the purposes of this analysis all materials are assumed to be taken to the Envirocare disposal facility in Utah. For the LLW Disposal Alternative, all of the processes associated with handling and loading the solid materials would be identical to those discussed above for the No Action, Unrestricted Release, Limited Dispositions, and EPA/State-Regulated Disposal Alternatives. The transportation emissions would be much higher for the LLW Disposal Alternative, however, because the average transportation distance from the licensed facilities to the LLW disposal facility is 1,544 miles (2,482 kilometers) rather than 58 miles (93.3 kilometers) for the EPA/State-Regulated Disposal Alternative. The transportation emissions calculated for the LLW Disposal Alternative are 7 metric tons or 0.15 metric tons per year for SO<sub>2</sub>, 703 metric tons or 15 metric tons per year for NO<sub>x</sub>, and 26 metric tons or 0.6 metric tons per year for PM<sub>10</sub>. These emission totals are insignificant relative to the total annual emissions for heavy duty diesel trucks based on national emissions trends.

**3.5 Limited Dispositions Alternative**

For the Limited Dispositions Alternative, it is assumed that air emissions from reuse of tools and equipment are negligible. Air emissions from recycling of concrete into road bed material under the Limited Dispositions Alternative would be similar to the air emissions for concrete under the No Action and Unrestricted Release Alternatives as discussed in section 3.2. Air emissions from EPA/State-regulated landfill disposal of ferrous metal and trash would be similar to the air emissions for ferrous metal and trash landfill disposal under the EPA/State-Regulated Disposal Alternative as discussed in Section 3.3. Thus, as discussed in Sections 3.2 and 3.3, the impacts to air quality for the Limited Dispositions Alternative would be small.