12/14/04 RDB received

From: "Jean Sideris" <jean@envirocitizen.org>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 3:03 PM
Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna

1

December 08, 2004

10/10/04
69FR71854

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Jean Sideris
148 Powderhouse Blvd # 1
Somerville, MA 02144-1624
USA
jean@envirocitizen.org

E-RIDS=ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (AKW1)

SISP Review Complete
Template=ADM-013

Mail Envelope Properties (41B75DE9.B75 : 19 : 52085)

Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna
Creation Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 3:02 PM
From: "Jean Sideris" <jean@envirocitizen.org>

Created By: jean@envirocitizen.org

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2021	Wednesday, December 8, 2004 3:02 PM
Mime.822	3223	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RSB Received

12/10/04

69FR71854

(2)

From: "Amanda Snyder" <amanda@envirocitizen.org>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 3:42 PM
Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit

December 08, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Amanda Snyder
115 B Cleveland
Charlottesville, VA 22903
USA
amanda@envirocitizen.org

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (AWI)

SISP Review Complete

Template = ADM-013

Mail Envelope Properties (41B76733.1A8 : 6 : 53672)

Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit
Creation Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 3:42 PM
From: "Amanda Snyder" <amanda@envirocitizen.org>

Created By: amanda@envirocitizen.org

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2015	Wednesday, December 8, 2004 3:42 PM
Mime.822	3229	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 ADM received

12/10/04
69 FR 71854
(3)

From: "Chandra Sigmund" <chandra@chandrasrealm.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 4:22 PM
Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna

December 08, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Chandra Sigmund
418 Milford St Apt H
Glendale, CA 91203-2756
USA
chandra@chandrasrealm.com

SISP. Review Complete
template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (SXC9)
A. Williamson (AKW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B77098.787 : 9 : 55175)

Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna
Creation Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 4:22 PM
From: "Chandra Sigmund" <chandra@chandrasrealm.com>

Created By: chandra@chandrasrealm.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2021	Wednesday, December 8, 2004 4:22 PM
Mime.822	3235	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB received

12/10/04
69 FR 71854
(4)

From: "Dale Richard Felker, III" <drf8f@virginia.edu>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 4:22 PM
Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna

December 08, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Dale Richard Felker, III
1 Valley Cir
Charlottesville, VA 22903
USA
drf8f@virginia.edu

SESP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (AKW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B77098.786 : 8 : 55174)

Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna
Creation Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 4:22 PM
From: "Dale Richard Felker, III" <drf8f@virginia.edu>

Created By: drf8f@virginia.edu

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2017	Wednesday, December 8, 2004 4:22 PM
Mime.822	3235	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB Received

From: "Alex Balboa" <alexbalboa@fastmail.fm>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 4:32 PM
Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna

12/10/04
69FR 71854
(5)

December 08, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Alex Balboa
1996 Waverly Drive
Bel Air, MD 21015-1100
USA
alexbalboa@fastmail.fm

SISP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (AEW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B772DE.900 : 11 : 55552)

Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna
Creation Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 4:32 PM
From: "Alex Balboa" <alexbalboa@fastmail.fm>

Created By: alexbalboa@fastmail.fm

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2011	Wednesday, December 8, 2004 4:32 PM
Mime.822	3211	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

RDB received 12/14/04

12/10/04
69FR 71854
(6)

From: "Robert Rutkowski" <r_e_rutkowski@hotmail.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 4:42 PM
Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit

December 08, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Robert Rutkowski
2527 SE Faxon Ct
Topeka, KS 66605-2086
USA
r_e_rutkowski@hotmail.com

SISP Review Complete
Template=ADM-013

E-RIDS=ADM-03
Add=J. Cushing (5xc9)
A. Williamson (AEW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B77542.A78 : 12 : 55928)

Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit
Creation Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 4:42 PM
From: "Robert Rutkowski" <r_e_rutkowski@hotmail.com>

Created By: r_e_rutkowski@hotmail.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2016	Wednesday, December 8, 2004 4:42 PM
Mime.822	3242	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

10/14/04 BDO nuclear

From: "Ron Richards" <richards@email.arizona.edu>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 4:42 PM
Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project

12/10/04
69 FR 71854
(7)

December 08, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Ron Richards
1546 E Blacklidge Dr
Tucson, AZ 85719-2663
USA
richards@email.arizona.edu

SISP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (AWW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B77542.A79 : 13 : 55929)

Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project
Creation Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 4:42 PM
From: "Ron Richards" <richards@email.arizona.edu>

Created By: richards@email.arizona.edu

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	**Route**
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	**Size**	**Date & Time**
MESSAGE	2017	Wednesday, December 8, 2004 4:42 PM
Mime.822	3253	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04
RDB Received

From: "Ryan Davis" <ryansdavis@yahoo.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 4:52 PM
Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna

12/10/04
69 FR 71854
(8)

December 08, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Ryan Davis
225 W Verdugo Ave Apt 203
Burbank, CA 91502
USA
ryansdavis@yahoo.com

SISP Review Complete

Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (AEW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B7778B.BD1 : 7 : 56273)

Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna
Creation Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 4:52 PM
From: "Ryan Davis" <ryansdavis@yahoo.com>

Created By: ryansdavis@yahoo.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2010	Wednesday, December 8, 2004 4:52 PM
Mime.822	3204	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

RDB received 12/14/04

From: "Peter Buck" <peter.buck@eds.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 4:52 PM
Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna

12/10/04
69FR 7854
(9)

December 08, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Peter Buck
4901 Pole Rd
Alexandria, VA 22309-1727
USA
peter.buck@eds.com

E-RIDS=ADM-03
Add = J. Curling (JXC9)
A. Williamson (AWI)

SISP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

Mail Envelope Properties (41B7778B.BD2 : 8 : 56274)

Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna
Creation Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 4:52 PM
From: "Peter Buck" <peter.buck@eds.com>

Created By: peter.buck@eds.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2003	Wednesday, December 8, 2004 4:52 PM
Mime.822	3195	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04
BDB received

12/10/04
69FR71854
(10)

From: "Stephen Dunne" <sjdunnejr@yahoo.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 4:53 PM
Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna

December 08, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Stephen Dunne
7 Marsh Ave
Worcester, MA 01605-2214
USA
sjdunnejr@yahoo.com

SISP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (AEW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B777A9.BD6 : 12 : 56278)

Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna
Creation Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 4:52 PM
From: "Stephen Dunne" <sjdunnejr@yahoo.com>

Created By: sjdunnejr@yahoo.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2005	Wednesday, December 8, 2004 4:52 PM
Mime.822	3203	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04
BDB Resource

From: "Kelly Riley" <khanlon74@yahoo.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 5:02 PM
Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project

12/10/04
69FR 71854
(11)

December 08, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Kelly Riley
154 Montgomery Dr
Harleysville, PA 19438
USA
khanlon74@yahoo.com

SFSP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (ARW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B779EA.D25 : 22 : 56613)

Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project
Creation Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 5:02 PM
From: "Kelly Riley" <khanlon74@yahoo.com>

Created By: khanlon74@yahoo.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2007	Wednesday, December 8, 2004 5:02 PM
Mime.822	3225	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 BDD received

From: "Marilyn Williams" <m.snead@earthlink.net>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 5:02 PM
Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project

12/10/04
69 FR 71854

(12)

December 08, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Marilyn Williams
4696 Lower River Rd
Lewiston, NY 14092-1055
USA
m.snead@earthlink.net

SISP Review Complete

Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (AKW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B779EA.D26 : 23 : 56614)

Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project
Creation Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 5:02 PM
From: "Marilyn Williams" <m.snead@earthlink.net>

Created By: m.snead@earthlink.net

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2017	Wednesday, December 8, 2004 5:02 PM
Mime.822	3251	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB Reference

From: "Meaghan Patrick" <meggie_p85@hotmail.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 5:12 PM
Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna

12/10/04
69FR 71854
(13)

December 08, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Meaghan Patrick
44 Silverthorne Bush Dr.
Toronto, ON M9C 2X8
Canada
meggie_p85@hotmail.com

SISP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (AEW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B77C45.E5C : 8 : 56924)

Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna
Creation Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 5:12 PM
From: "Meaghan Patrick" <meggie_p85@hotmail.com>

Created By: meggie_p85@hotmail.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2021	Wednesday, December 8, 2004 5:12 PM
Mime.822	3233	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

RDB received 12/14/04

From: "Ana Najera Mendoza" <anajeramendo@macalester.edu>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 5:12 PM
Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit

12/10/04
69FR71854
(14)

December 08, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Ana Najera Mendoza
Macalester College
1600 Grand Avenue
St. Paul, MN 55105
USA
anajeramendo@macalester.edu

ESP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (ARW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B77C44.E58 : 4 : 56920)

Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit
Creation Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 5:12 PM
From: "Ana Najera Mendoza" <anajeramendo@macalester.edu>

Created By: anajeramendo@macalester.edu

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2037	Wednesday, December 8, 2004 5:12 PM
Mime.822	3270	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 + RDB received

From: "Andrew Leonard" <andy@cryptogamic.org>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 5:12 PM
Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project

12/10/04
69FR 71854
15

December 08, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Andrew Leonard
2600 Fairview Ave E Apt 2
Seattle, WA 98102-3241
USA
andy@cryptogamic.org

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (AEW1)

SFSP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

Mail Envelope Properties (41B77C44.E57 : 3 : 56919)

Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project
Creation Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 5:12 PM
From: "Andrew Leonard" <andy@cryptogamic.org>

Created By: andy@cryptogamic.org

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2019	Wednesday, December 8, 2004 5:12 PM
Mime.822	3245	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 BD13 Received

From: "Valerie Soza" <valsoza@msn.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 5:12 PM
Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna

12/10/04
69FR 71854
(16)

December 08, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Valerie Soza
500 NW 60th St Apt 1
Seattle, WA 98107-2158
USA
valsoza@msn.com

SISP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Curling (JXC9)
A. Williamson (AFW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B77C45.E59 : 5 : 56921)

Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna
Creation Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 5:12 PM
From: "Valerie Soza" <valsoza@msn.com>

Created By: valsoza@msn.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2007	Wednesday, December 8, 2004 5:12 PM
Mime.822	3195	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

RDB received 12/14/04

12/10/04
69FR 71854

(17)

From: "Andrew Janicki" <ajani810@uwsp.edu>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 5:12 PM
Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project

December 08, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Andrew Janicki
2127A Ellis St
Stevens Point, WI 54481-3811
USA
ajani810@uwsp.edu

SISP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (AWI)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B77C45.E5F : 11 : 56927)

Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project
Creation Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 5:12 PM
From: "Andrew Janicki" <ajani810@uwsp.edu>

Created By: ajani810@uwsp.edu

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2011	Wednesday, December 8, 2004 5:12 PM
Mime.822	3231	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

10/14/04 BDBrewer

From: "Dawn Winalski" <dwinalski@yahoo.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 5:22 PM
Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit

10/10/04
69 FR 71854
(18)

December 08, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Dawn Winalski
1118 F St NE Unit 1
Washington, DC 20002-5328
USA
dwinalski@yahoo.com

SISP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (AEW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B77EA3.FAE : 21 : 57262)

Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit
Creation Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 5:22 PM
From: "Dawn Winalski" <dwinalski@yahoo.com>

Created By: dwinalski@yahoo.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2014	Wednesday, December 8, 2004 5:22 PM
Mime.822	3218	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

10/14/04
RDB Received

12/10/04
69FR 71854
(19)

From: "Elizabeth Field" <emfield@hotmail.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 5:22 PM
Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna

December 08, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Field
102 Wolfes Neck Rd
Freeport, ME 04032-5317
USA
emfield@hotmail.com

SISP Review Complete
Template=ADM-013

E-RIDS=ADM-03
Add=J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (AWW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B77EA4.FAF : 22 : 57263)

Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna
Creation Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 5:22 PM
From: "Elizabeth Field" <emfield@hotmail.com>

Created By: emfield@hotmail.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2013	Wednesday, December 8, 2004 5:22 PM
Mime.822	3215	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB Received

From: "Beka Wilson" <bexta63@hotmail.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 5:32 PM
Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project

12/10/04
69FR71854
(20)

December 08, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Beka Wilson
751 E 9th Ave
Denver, CO 80203-3377
USA
bexta63@hotmail.com

SISP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (AEW2)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B78104.0E9 : 11 : 57577)

Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project
Creation Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 5:32 PM
From: "Beka Wilson" <bexta63@hotmail.com>

Created By: bexta63@hotmail.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2002	Wednesday, December 8, 2004 5:32 PM
Mime.822	3220	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB received

From: "Kim Burgess" <khburgess@uofu.net>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 5:32 PM
Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project

12/10/04
69FR 71854
(21)

December 08, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Kim Burgess
2831 Saint Marys Way
Salt Lake City, UT 84108
USA
khburgess@uofu.net

SESP Review Complete
Template - ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Wellinson (AEW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B78104.0EA : 12 : 57578)

Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project
Creation Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 5:32 PM
From: "Kim Burgess" <khburgess@uofu.net>

Created By: khburgess@uofu.net

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2011	Wednesday, December 8, 2004 5:32 PM
Mime.822	3227	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RD Brewer

From: "Stephanie Sims" <starr77777@yahoo.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 5:42 PM
Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit

12/10/04
69 FR 71854
(42)

December 08, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Sims
2333 Warwick Dr
Oldsmar, FL 34677
USA
starr77777@yahoo.com

SISP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Cc = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (AWI)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B7835B.21E : 20 : 57886)

Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit
Creation Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 5:42 PM
From: "Stephanie Sims" <starr77777@yahoo.com>

Created By: starr77777@yahoo.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2004	Wednesday, December 8, 2004 5:42 PM
Mime.822	3212	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/11/04 RJB Received

From: "nancy spears" <wolffree1@aol.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 5:42 PM
Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna

12/10/04
69 FR 71854
(23)

December 08, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

nancy spears
15 Southgate Dr
Bossier City, LA 71112
USA
wolffree1@aol.com

SISP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (AKW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B7835B.220 : 22 : 57888)

Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna
Creation Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 5:42 PM
From: "nancy spears" <wolffree1@aol.com>

Created By: wolffree1@aol.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2004	Wednesday, December 8, 2004 5:42 PM
Mime.822	3196	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB received

From: "Stewart Rosenkrantz" <srosenkr@bellsouth.net>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 5:42 PM
Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project

12/10/04
69FR71854
(24)

December 08, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Stewart Rosenkrantz
2319 SE 9th St
Pompano Beach, FL 33062
USA
srosenkr@bellsouth.net

STSP Review complete

Temp Late = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (AWI)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B7835B.21F : 21 : 57887)

Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project
Creation Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 5:42 PM
From: "Stewart Rosenkrantz" <srosenkr@bellsouth.net>

Created By: srosenkr@bellsouth.net

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2016	Wednesday, December 8, 2004 5:42 PM
Mime.822	3256	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RJB Received

From: "Betty J. Van Wicklen" <g10121@care2.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 5:52 PM
Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna

12/10/04
69FR 71854
(25)

December 08, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Betty J. Van Wicklen
41 Lakeshore Dr Apt 2B
Watervliet, NY 12189-2915
USA
g10121@care2.com

SISP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JRC9)
A. Williamson (ARW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B785A7.30B : 7 : 58123)

Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna
Creation Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 5:52 PM
From: "Betty J. Van Wicklen" <g10121@care2.com>

Created By: g10121@care2.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2021	Wednesday, December 8, 2004 5:52 PM
Mime.822	3229	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 BDB received

12/10/04

69FR 71854

(26)

From: "Thomas Kay" <tkay@umich.edu>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 5:52 PM
Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project

December 08, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Thomas Kay
824 S. Boulevard St.
Tallahassee, FL 32301
USA
tkay@umich.edu

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (ARW1)

SISP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

Mail Envelope Properties (41B785A8.30E : 10 : 58126)

Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project
Creation Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 5:52 PM
From: "Thomas Kay" <tkay@umich.edu>

Created By: tkay@umich.edu

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2003	Wednesday, December 8, 2004 5:52 PM
Mime.822	3213	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB Received

From: "Stacey Lightfoot" <slightfoot23@planet-save.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 6:12 PM
Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project

12/10/04
69FR71854
27

December 08, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Stacey Lightfoot
76 Ronald Dr
Burlington, NJ 08016
USA
slightfoot23@planet-save.com

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (AKW1)

SESP Review Complete
Template - ADM-013

Mail Envelope Properties (41B78A65.4CB : 5 : 58571)

Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project
Creation Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 6:12 PM
From: "Stacey Lightfoot" <slightfoot23@planet-save.com>

Created By: slightfoot23@planet-save.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2014	Wednesday, December 8, 2004 6:12 PM
Mime.822	3260	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 ADBreserve

From: "Ed Devitt" <tylodevitt@msn.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 6:12 PM
Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna

12/10/04
69 FR 71854
28

December 08, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Ed Devitt
3228 N Galloway Ave Apt 812
Mesquite, TX 75150-4381
USA
tylodevitt@msn.com

SISP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (AFW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B78A65.4CC : 6 : 58572)

Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna
Creation Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 6:12 PM
From: "Ed Devitt" <tylodevitt@msn.com>

Created By: tylodevitt@msn.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2015	Wednesday, December 8, 2004 6:12 PM
Mime.822	3203	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB Received

12/10/04
69FR 71854
(29)

From: "Heidi Rood" <heidi.rood@sympatico.ca>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 6:12 PM
Subject: Say No to the North Anna nuclear project

December 08, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

Please reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project. I am writing to ask you to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Heidi Rood
10 Daniels Rd
Mendon, MA 01756-1336
USA
heidi.rood@sympatico.ca

SISP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (AWW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B78A65.4D0 : 10 : 58576)

Subject: Say No to the North Anna nuclear project
Creation Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 6:12 PM
From: "Heidi Rood" <heidi.rood@sympatico.ca>

Created By: heidi.rood@sympatico.ca

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	1926	Wednesday, December 8, 2004 6:12 PM
Mime.822	3128	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB received

From: "Sue Sjolin" <suesjolin@hotmail.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 6:12 PM
Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit

12/10/04
69 FR 71854
30

December 08, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Sue Sjolin
8031 Danette Ct
Spring, TX 77379
USA
suesjolin@hotmail.com

SESP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Curling (JXC9)
A. Williamson (AEW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B78A65.4CD : 7 : 58573)

Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit
Creation Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 6:12 PM
From: "Sue Sjolin" <suesjolin@hotmail.com>

Created By: suesjolin@hotmail.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2000	Wednesday, December 8, 2004 6:12 PM
Mime.822	3202	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

10/14/04 RDB Received

From: "Michael Bennett" <resolver33@yahoo.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 6:22 PM
Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna

10/10/04
69FR 71854
(31)

December 08, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Michael Bennett
1711 W 69th St Apt 4
Cleveland, OH 44102
USA
resolver33@yahoo.com

SFSP Review Complete
Template - ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (ACW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B78CB6.5D3 : 19 : 58835)

Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna
Creation Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 6:22 PM
From: "Michael Bennett" <resolver33@yahoo.com>

Created By: resolver33@yahoo.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2012	Wednesday, December 8, 2004 6:22 PM
Mime.822	3216	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 KDB Received

From: "KL Matlock" <KLMatlock@earthlink.net>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 6:32 PM
Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit

12/10/04
69FR 71854
32

December 08, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

KL Matlock
895 Jackson St
San Jose, CA 95112-3011
USA
KLMatlock@earthlink.net

SISP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Curling (JXC9)
A. Williamson (AKW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B78EF9.6E5 : 18 : 59109)

Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit
Creation Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 6:32 PM
From: "KL Matlock" <KLMatlock@earthlink.net>

Created By: KLMatlock@earthlink.net

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2008	Wednesday, December 8, 2004 6:32 PM
Mime.822	3214	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB received

From: "Merrill Cole" <merrillcole@earthlink.net>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 6:32 PM
Subject: Please Find an Alternative to the North Anna Nuclear Project

12/10/04
69 FR 71854
33

December 08, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urge the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Merrill Cole
15211 Highway 77
Panama City, FL 32409-2661
USA
merrillcole@earthlink.net

SISP Review Complete

Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A Williamson (ARW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B78EF9.6E6 : 19 : 59110)

Subject: Please Find an Alternative to the North Anna Nuclear Project
Creation Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 6:32 PM
From: "Merrill Cole" <merrillcole@earthlink.net>

Created By: merrillcole@earthlink.net

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2016	Wednesday, December 8, 2004 6:32 PM
Mime.822	3248	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB received

From: "Kevin Laffey" <illumen@aol.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 6:52 PM
Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project

12/10/04
69 FR 71854
(34)

December 08, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Kevin Laffey
5670 Holly Oak Dr
Los Angeles, CA 90068
USA
illumen@aol.com

ESP Review Complete

Terry Crite = ADM-013

E-RIDS - ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (AEW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B793C5.87A : 23 : 59514)

Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project
Creation Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 6:52 PM
From: "Kevin Laffey" <illumen@aol.com>

Created By: illumen@aol.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2003	Wednesday, December 8, 2004 6:52 PM
Mime.822	3215	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 KDB Received

From: "Clancey Kilcoyne" <ckilcoyn@vfna.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 7:02 PM
Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna

12/10/04
69FR 71854
35

December 08, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Clancey Kilcoyne
8167 Southwestern Blvd Apt 248
Dallas, TX 75206-2014
USA
ckilcoyn@vfna.com

E-RFDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A-Williamson (ARW1)

STSP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

Mail Envelope Properties (41B79606.919 : 7 : 59673)

Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna
Creation Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 7:02 PM
From: "Clancey Kilcoyne" <ckilcoyn@vfna.com>

Created By: ckilcoyn@vfna.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2022	Wednesday, December 8, 2004 7:02 PM
Mime.822	3222	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB received

From: "Mark Johnson" <ninmar@mindspring.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 7:12 PM
Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project

12/10/04
69 FR 71854
36

December 08, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Mark Johnson
141 E 3rd St Apt 8E
New York, NY 10009
USA
ninmar@mindspring.com

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (AKW1)

SESP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

Mail Envelope Properties (41B79860.99B : 12 : 59803)

Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project
Creation Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 7:12 PM
From: "Mark Johnson" <ninmar@mindspring.com>

Created By: ninmar@mindspring.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2008	Wednesday, December 8, 2004 7:12 PM
Mime.822	3232	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB Received

From: "Lesley Bensinger" <Labmu@aol.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 7:12 PM
Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit

12/10/04
69 FR 71854
(37)

December 08, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Lesley Bensinger
1605 Shimer Ave
Bethlehem, PA 18018-4723
USA
Labmu@aol.com

SISP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (AWI)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B79860.99C : 13 : 59804)

Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit
Creation Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 7:12 PM
From: "Lesley Bensinger" <Labmu@aol.com>

Created By: Labmu@aol.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2006	Wednesday, December 8, 2004 7:12 PM
Mime.822	3204	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04
RDB received

From: "Nate Beuttenmueller" <beuttenmuellern@ripon.edu>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 7:12 PM
Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project

12/10/04
69 FR 71854
38

December 08, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Nate Beuttenmueller
600 Campus Dr Unit 61
Ripon, WI 54971-1400
USA
beuttenmuellern@ripon.edu

SISP Review Complete

Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (AEW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B79860.99D : 14 : 59805)

Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project
Creation Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 7:12 PM
From: "Nate Beuttenmueller" <beuttenmuellern@ripon.edu>

Created By: beuttenmuellern@ripon.edu

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2023	Wednesday, December 8, 2004 7:12 PM
Mime.822	3269	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04
BDB received

From: "Kris McCradic" <krismccradic@hotmail.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 7:32 PM
Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project

12/10/04
69FR 71854
(39)

December 08, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Kris McCradic
2642 Melbourne St
Salt Lake City, UT 84106
USA
krismccradic@hotmail.com

SFSP Review Complete

Template - ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Quiching (JXC9)
A. Williamson (AEW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B79D09.AFE : 17 : 60158)

Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project
Creation Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 7:32 PM
From: "Kris McCradic" <krismccradic@hotmail.com>

Created By: krismccradic@hotmail.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2016	Wednesday, December 8, 2004 7:32 PM
Mime.822	3248	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 BDB received

From: "Barbara L Wood" <barbara@dobsis.org>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 7:52 PM
Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit

12/10/04
69FR 71854
(40)

December 08, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Barbara L Wood
PO Box 423
Burley, WA 98322
USA
barbara@dobsis.org

ESP Review Complete

Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JKC9)
A. Williamson (AWI)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B7A1CB.C3B : 9 : 60475)

Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit
Creation Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 7:52 PM
From: "Barbara L Wood" <barbara@dobsis.org>

Created By: barbara@dobsis.org

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	**Route**
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	**Size**	**Date & Time**
MESSAGE	1996	Wednesday, December 8, 2004 7:52 PM
Mime.822	3200	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 KDB received

From: "Kevin Vaught" <klvaught@bellsouth.net>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 7:52 PM
Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project

12/10/04
69FR 71854
(41)

December 08, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Kevin Vaught
505 Oak Forest Cir
Antioch, TN 37013-1842
USA
klvaught@bellsouth.net

SI of Review Complete

Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS-ADM-03
Add - J Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (AKW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B7A1CB.C3C : 10 : 60476)

Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project
Creation Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 7:52 PM
From: "Kevin Vaught" <klvaught@bellsouth.net>

Created By: klvaught@bellsouth.net

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2012	Wednesday, December 8, 2004 7:52 PM
Mime.822	3238	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB Received

From: "Steve Summers" <ssum@vcn.bc.ca>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 8:02 PM
Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna

12/10/04
69 FR 71854
(42)

December 08, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

California was able to eliminate the construction of many nuclear power plants years agpo through conservation programs.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Steve Summers
8349 Laurelridge Rd
San Diego, CA 92120
USA
ssum@vcn.bc.ca

STSP Review Complete

Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (ARW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B7A40F.CFB : 1 : 60667)

Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna
Creation Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 8:02 PM
From: "Steve Summers" <ssum@vcn.bc.ca>

Created By: ssum@vcn.bc.ca

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2125	Wednesday, December 8, 2004 8:02 PM
Mime.822	3323	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB Received

From: "Sally Simpson" <sally.simpson@sbcglobal.net>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 8:22 PM
Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna

12/10/04
69 FR 71854
(43)

December 08, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Sally Simpson
326 Crooked Crk
Garland, TX 75043-3100
USA
sally.simpson@sbcglobal.net

SISP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (ARW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B7A8C6.E7A : 9 : 61050)

Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna
Creation Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 8:22 PM
From: "Sally Simpson" <sally.simpson@sbcglobal.net>

Created By: sally.simpson@sbcglobal.net

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2015	Wednesday, December 8, 2004 8:22 PM
Mime.822	3231	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RBBecerrede

From: "martha leahy" <martha638@webtv.net>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 8:32 PM
Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna

12/10/04
69FR 71854
(44)

December 08, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

martha leahy
39 Lockeland Rd
Winchester, MA 01890-3341
USA
martha638@webtv.net

SISP Review Complete
Template=ADM-013

E-RIDS=ADM-03
add=J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (AKW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B7AB2D.F34 : 20 : 61236)

Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna
Creation Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 8:32 PM
From: "martha leahy" <martha638@webtv.net>

Created By: martha638@webtv.net

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2009	Wednesday, December 8, 2004 8:32 PM
Mime.822	3205	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB Received

From: "Martha Waltman" <marthawaltman@hotmail.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 8:42 PM
Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project

12/10/04

December 08, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

69 FR 71854

45

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Martha Waltman
PO Box 643
Newberry, FL 32669-0643
USA
marthawaltman@hotmail.com

SISP Review Complete
Template=ADM-013

E-RIDS=ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
G. Williamson (AEW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B7AD8B.FBF : 9 : 61375)

Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project
Creation Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 8:42 PM
From: "Martha Waltman" <marthawaltman@hotmail.com>

Created By: marthawaltman@hotmail.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2010	Wednesday, December 8, 2004 8:42 PM
Mime.822	3246	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB Received

From: "Corina Anderson" <meloncollie78@hotmail.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 8:42 PM
Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna

12/10/04
69FR71854
(46)

December 08, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Corina Anderson
600 McLaughlin Dr # 28
Santa Cruz, CA 95064-1086
USA
meloncollie78@hotmail.com

SISP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (SXC9)
A. Williamson (ARW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B7AD8B.FBE : 8 : 61374)

Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna
Creation Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 8:42 PM
From: "Corina Anderson" <meloncollie78@hotmail.com>

Created By: meloncollie78@hotmail.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2025	Wednesday, December 8, 2004 8:42 PM
Mime.822	3239	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB [illegible]

From: "Mitchell Maness" <mitchellmaness@earthlink.net>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 8:42 PM
Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna

P-110104
69FR 71854
(47)

December 08, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Mitchell Maness
2800 Knight St Apt 1
Dallas, TX 75219-6249
USA
mitchellmaness@earthlink.net

STSP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (AKW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B7AD8B.FBD : 7 : 61373)

Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna
Creation Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 8:42 PM
From: "Mitchell Maness" <mitchellmaness@earthlink.net>

Created By: mitchellmaness@earthlink.net

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2022	Wednesday, December 8, 2004 8:42 PM
Mime.822	3242	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDBlessner

From: "Charles Alvarez" <caaps6m@yahoo.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 8:42 PM
Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project

12/10/04

69FR 71854

(48)

December 08, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Charles Alvarez
8550 Forest Pkwy Apt 2M
Woodhaven, NY 11421
USA
caaps6m@yahoo.com

STSP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (AKW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B7AD8B.FC0 : 10 : 61376)

Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project
Creation Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 8:42 PM
From: "Charles Alvarez" <caaps6m@yahoo.com>

Created By: caaps6m@yahoo.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2012	Wednesday, December 8, 2004 8:42 PM
Mime.822	3234	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB received

From: "Shyla Raghav" <supershyler@hotmail.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 8:42 PM
Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project

12/10/04
69FR71854
49

December 08, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Shyla Raghav
5 Morning Star
Irvine, CA 92612-3746
USA
supershyler@hotmail.com

SISP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (ARW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B7AD8B.FC1 : 11 : 61377)

Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project
Creation Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 8:42 PM
From: "Shyla Raghav" <supershyler@hotmail.com>

Created By: supershyler@hotmail.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2008	Wednesday, December 8, 2004 8:42 PM
Mime.822	3236	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RD3 Received

12/10/04

69 FR 71854

50

From: "Richard Spotts" <spotts@infowest.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 8:52 PM
Subject: Please reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit

December 08, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

Please reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project. It would be wrong to issue this permit on the highly speculative grounds that it will not adversely impact the environment.

Please also carefully examine the "cradle to grave" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing at least some lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you very much for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Richard Spotts
1125 W Emerald Dr
Saint George, UT 84770-6026
USA
spotts@infowest.com

SISP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
G. Williamson (ARW2)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B7AFE6.03D : 10 : 61501)

Subject: Please reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit
Creation Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 8:52 PM
From: "Richard Spotts" <spotts@infowest.com>

Created By: spotts@infowest.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2074	Wednesday, December 8, 2004 8:52 PM
Mime.822	3293	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB received

12/10/04
69FR71854
(51)

From: "Dorothea Fransson" <dfransso@tulane.edu>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 9:02 PM
Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna

December 08, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Dorothea Fransson
MR 812
31 McAlister
New Orleans, LA 70118
USA
dfransso@tulane.edu

SISP Review Complete
template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (AKW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B7B224.0BD : 13 : 61629)

Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna
Creation Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 9:02 PM
From: "Dorothea Fransson" <dfransso@tulane.edu>

Created By: dfransso@tulane.edu

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2014	Wednesday, December 8, 2004 9:02 PM
Mime.822	3221	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB Received

From: "rachel atwood" <ra892@bard.edu>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 9:12 PM
Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project

12/10/04
69 FR 71854
52

December 08, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

rachel atwood
69 Pomeroy Ln
Amherst, MA 01002-2905
USA
ra892@bard.edu

SISP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (AEW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B7B489.17C : 4 : 61820)

Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project
Creation Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 9:12 PM
From: "rachel atwood" <ra892@bard.edu>

Created By: ra892@bard.edu

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2000	Wednesday, December 8, 2004 9:12 PM
Mime.822	3212	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB received

From: "BettyJean Herner" <Kitrynak@aol.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 9:12 PM
Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project

12/10/04
69 FR 71854
(53)

December 08, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

BettyJean Herner
9087 Prospect Rd
Strongsville, OH 44149-1304
USA
Kitrynak@aol.com

SISP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Curling (JXC9)
G. Williamson (ARW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B7B489.17D : 5 : 61821)

Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project
Creation Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 9:12 PM
From: "BettyJean Herner" <Kitrynak@aol.com>

Created By: Kitrynak@aol.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2013	Wednesday, December 8, 2004 9:12 PM
Mime.822	3235	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDBrewer

From: "kerry burkhardt" <muddydog69@hotmail.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 9:22 PM
Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna

12/10/04
69FR 71854

(54)

December 08, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

kerry burkhardt
182 Ferndale Ave
Kenmore, NY 14217
USA
muddydog69@hotmail.com

SISP Review Complete

Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC5)
A. Williamson (AEW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B7B6E1.20A : 21 : 61962)

Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna
Creation Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 9:22 PM
From: "kerry burkhardt" <muddydog69@hotmail.com>

Created By: muddydog69@hotmail.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2008	Wednesday, December 8, 2004 9:22 PM
Mime.822	3216	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB Received

12/10/04

69FR 71854

(55)

From: "Beth Goldstone" <bg003k@mail.rochester.edu>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 9:12 PM
Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna

December 08, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Beth Goldstone
3037 Kathleen Ln
Flossmoor, IL 60422
USA
bg003k@mail.rochester.edu

SISP Review Complete

Template=ADM-013

E-RIDS=ADM-03

ADD= J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (ACW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B7B489.17E : 6 : 61822)

Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna
Creation Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 9:12 PM
From: "Beth Goldstone" <bg003k@mail.rochester.edu>

Created By: bg003k@mail.rochester.edu

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2012	Wednesday, December 8, 2004 9:12 PM
Mime.822	3226	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB Received

12/10/04
69FR 71854
(56)

From: "Marina Spitkovskaya" <moonsidhe@gmail.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 9:22 PM
Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit

December 08, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Marina Spitkovskaya
125 Dwight St
New Haven, CT 06511-4501
USA
moonsidhe@gmail.com

SISP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add: J. Cushing (JXC9)
G. Williamson (AEW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B7B6E2.20B : 22 : 61963)

Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit
Creation Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 9:22 PM
From: "Marina Spitkovskaya" <moonsidhe@gmail.com>

Created By: moonsidhe@gmail.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2013	Wednesday, December 8, 2004 9:22 PM
Mime.822	3229	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB received

From: "Candace Johnson" <canjohnson@aol.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 9:22 PM
Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna

December 08, 2004

12/10/04
69FR 71854

57

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

Please reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Thank you,
Candace Johnson

Sincerely,

Candace Johnson
10466 Moselle St
San Diego, CA 92131-1419
USA
canjohnson@aol.com

SISP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (AEW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B7B6E2.20D : 24 : 61965)

Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna
Creation Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 9:22 PM
From: "Candace Johnson" <canjohnson@aol.com>

Created By: canjohnson@aol.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	518	Wednesday, December 8, 2004 9:22 PM
Mime.822	1621	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB received

From: "Ben Reed" <anarchyscapitalist@hotmail.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 11:52 PM
Subject: Lake Anna Early Site Permit

December 08, 2004

12/10/04
69FR 71854
58

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I write today to urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Ben Reed
3 Saddlewood Ct
Hilton Head Island, SC 29926-2607
USA
anarchyscapitalist@hotmail.com

SISP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
Add = A. Williamson (AEW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B7D9FF.B81 : 19 : 64385)

Subject: Lake Anna Early Site Permit
Creation Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 11:52 PM
From: "Ben Reed" <anarchyscapitalist@hotmail.com>

Created By: anarchyscapitalist@hotmail.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2039	Wednesday, December 8, 2004 11:52 PM
Mime.822	3240	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB received

From: "Kathleen Mikulski" <kmyski@hotmail.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 9:42 PM
Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna

12/10/04
69FR 71854
59

December 08, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Mikulski
55 Sewall Ave # PHB
Brookline, MA 02446-5249
USA
kmyski@hotmail.com

ESP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
G. Williamson (AEW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B7BB7F.335 : 20 : 62261)

Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna
Creation Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 9:42 PM
From: "Kathleen Mikulski" <kmyski@hotmail.com>

Created By: kmyski@hotmail.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2016	Wednesday, December 8, 2004 9:42 PM
Mime.822	3220	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB received

From: "Thomas Matsuda" <matsudat@yahoo.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 9:42 PM
Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit

12/10/04
69FR 71854
60

December 08, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Thomas Matsuda
655 Roaring Brook Rd
Conway, MA 01341
USA
matsudat@yahoo.com

SISP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
G. Williamson (ARW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B7BB80.336 : 21 : 62262)

Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit
Creation Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 9:42 PM
From: "Thomas Matsuda" <matsudat@yahoo.com>

Created By: matsudat@yahoo.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2006	Wednesday, December 8, 2004 9:42 PM
Mime.822	3210	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 BDD received

From: "Wanda S. Ballentine" <wsb2001@adelphia.net>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 10:32 PM
Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna

12/10/04
69FR 71854
61

December 08, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Wanda S. Ballentine
1195 Sylvania Rd
Cleveland Heights, OH 44121-2523
USA
wsb2001@adelphia.net

SISP Review Complete
template=ADM-013

E-RIDS=ADM-03
Add=J. Cushing (SXC9)
A. Williamson (AEW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B7C74F.6B8 : 19 : 63160)

Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna
Creation Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 10:32 PM
From: "Wanda S. Ballentine" <wsb2001@adelphia.net>

Created By: wsb2001@adelphia.net

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2025	Wednesday, December 8, 2004 10:32 PM
Mime.822	3239	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB Received

From: "Phillip Rockey" <philrockey@hotmail.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 10:42 PM
Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit

12/10/04
69FR 71854
62

December 08, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Phillip Rockey
547 Del Rio Cir
Oakdale, CA 95361-2531
USA
philrockey@hotmail.com

SFSP Review Complete

Template=ADM-013

E-RIDS=ADM-03
Add=J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (GRW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B7C996.7D8 : 7 : 63448)

Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit
Creation Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 10:42 PM
From: "Phillip Rockey" <philrockey@hotmail.com>

Created By: philrockey@hotmail.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2011	Wednesday, December 8, 2004 10:42 PM
Mime.822	3223	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB received

From: "Warren Wright" <wwwright@earthlink.net>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 10:52 PM
Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna

12/10/04
69FR 71854
(63)

December 08, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Warren Wright
1011 Stanford Ave
Oakland, CA 94608-2317
USA
wwwright@earthlink.net

SISP Review Complete
Template=ADM-013

E-RIDS=ADM-03
Add=J. Cushing (JKC9)
A. Williamson (AEW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B7CBE8.8A7 : 14 : 63655)

Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna
Creation Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 10:52 PM
From: "Warren Wright" <wwwright@earthlink.net>

Created By: wwwright@earthlink.net

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2012	Wednesday, December 8, 2004 10:52 PM
Mime.822	3216	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB received

From: "Neil Asselin" <nasselin3@comcast.net>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 11:12 PM
Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna

12/10/04
69FR 71854
64

December 08, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Neil Asselin
47545 American Way Drive
Macomb, MI 48044-2511
USA
nasselin3@comcast.net

SISP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
Add = A. Williamson (ARW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B7D0A1.9AA : 23 : 63914)

Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna
Creation Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 11:12 PM
From: "Neil Asselin" <nasselin3@comcast.net>

Created By: nasselin3@comcast.net

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2016	Wednesday, December 8, 2004 11:12 PM
Mime.822	3216	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RJB received

From: "Claire Watson" <ce99watson@aol.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 11:12 PM
Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project

December 08, 2004

12/10/04
69FR 71854
65

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Claire Watson
246 Peppertree Way
Pittsburg, CA 94565-7060
USA
ce99watson@aol.com

SISP Review Complete

Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (AEW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B7D0A1.9AB : 24 : 63915)

Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project
Creation Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 11:12 PM
From: "Claire Watson" <ce99watson@aol.com>

Created By: ce99watson@aol.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2011	Wednesday, December 8, 2004 11:12 PM
Mime.822	3231	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

10/12/04 RDB pressed

From: "Michael Mihok" <largo31@redjellyfish.net>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 11:32 PM
Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna

10/10/04
69FR 71854
66

December 08, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Michael Mihok
792 Atlantic City Blvd
Bayville, NJ 08721-3030
USA
largo31@redjellyfish.net

GIS Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
G. Williamson (ARW6)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B7D54D.A86 : 18 : 64134)

Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna
Creation Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2004 11:32 PM
From: "Michael Mihok" <largo31@redjellyfish.net>

Created By: largo31@redjellyfish.net

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2020	Wednesday, December 8, 2004 11:32 PM
Mime.822	3228	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RJ Received

From: "Sarah Kendall" <bohemia82@yahoo.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 4:02 AM
Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit

12/10/04
69 FR 71854
(67)

December 09, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Sarah Kendall
296 Missouri Ave
Herndon, VA 20170-5421
USA
bohemia82@yahoo.com

SISP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (ARW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B8149A.65C : 23 : 1628)

Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit
Creation Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 4:02 AM
From: "Sarah Kendall" <bohemia82@yahoo.com>

Created By: bohemia82@yahoo.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2008	Thursday, December 9, 2004 4:02 AM
Mime.822	3212	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

10/14/04 ADB received

From: "Barbara Singer" <barbsinger6260@aol.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 4:02 AM
Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit

12/10/04
69 FR 71854
(68)

December 09, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Barbara Singer
8731 W Summerdale Ave
Chicago, IL 60656
USA
barbsinger6260@aol.com

SISP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (ARW)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B8149A.65B : 22 : 1627)

Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit
Creation Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 4:02 AM
From: "Barbara Singer" <barbsinger6260@aol.com>

Created By: barbsinger6260@aol.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2012	Thursday, December 9, 2004 4:02 AM
Mime.822	3224	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB received

From: "Khaalen East" <keast@lclark.edu>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 4:02 AM
Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna

12/10/04
69FR 71854
(69)

December 09, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Khaalen East
MSC# 1993
0615 SW Palatine Hill Rd.
Portland, OR 97219
USA
keast@lclark.edu

SISP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (ARW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B814B9.65F : 1 : 1631)

Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna
Creation Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 4:02 AM
From: "Khaalen East" <keast@lclark.edu>

Created By: keast@lclark.edu

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2019	Thursday, December 9, 2004 4:02 AM
Mime.822	3212	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB Received

From: "Michael Evans" <c_thomas_flood@hotmail.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 4:02 AM
Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna

12/10/04
69FR 71854
(70)

December 09, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Michael Evans
1406 Humboldt St
Denver, CO 80218-2310
USA
c_thomas_flood@hotmail.com

SISP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (AR101)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B814BA.661 : 3 : 1633)

Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna
Creation Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 4:02 AM
From: "Michael Evans" <c_thomas_flood@hotmail.com>

Created By: c_thomas_flood@hotmail.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2014	Thursday, December 9, 2004 4:02 AM
Mime.822	3230	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB received

From: "Jane Kimbrough" <janekimbro@aol.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 4:02 AM
Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna

12/10/04
69FR71854
(71)

December 09, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Jane Kimbrough
906 Via Casitas
Greenbrae, CA 94904
USA
janekimbro@aol.com

SISP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (AJW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B814BA.664 : 6 : 1636)

Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna
Creation Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 4:02 AM
From: "Jane Kimbrough" <janekimbro@aol.com>

Created By: janekimbro@aol.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2004	Thursday, December 9, 2004 4:02 AM
Mime.822	3202	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB received

From: "Ann Hardy" <aaa@strato.net>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 7:12 AM
Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna

December 09, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

12/10/04
69 FR. 71854
72

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Ann Hardy
2009 Lakewood Dr
Sebring, FL 33872-4000
USA
aaa@strato.net

SISP Review Complete

Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (ARW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B84116.17E : 23 : 4478)

Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna
Creation Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 7:12 AM
From: "Ann Hardy" <aaa@strato.net>

Created By: aaa@strato.net

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	1999	Thursday, December 9, 2004 7:12 AM
Mime.822	3179	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RJB received

From: "Sandy Eichert" <seichert@horizon-productions.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 9:12 AM
Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project

12/10/04
69FR71854
73

December 09, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Sandy Eichert
7104 Bluecrest Dr
Cincinnati, OH 45230
USA
seichert@horizon-productions.com

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (AEW1)

ESP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

Mail Envelope Properties (41B85D44.EBB : 12 : 7867)

Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project
Creation Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 9:12 AM
From: "Sandy Eichert" <seichert@horizon-productions.com>

Created By: seichert@horizon-productions.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2020	Thursday, December 9, 2004 9:12 AM
Mime.822	3268	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB received

From: "Adrienne Moumin" <Photowonder2010@hotmail.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 10:02 AM
Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna

12/10/04
69 FR 71854
(74)

December 09, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Adrienne Moumin
2807 Byron St
Silver Spring, MD 20902-2606
USA
Photowonder2010@hotmail.com

SISP Review Complete
Template - ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JFC9)
A. Williamson (AWW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B868FB.619 : 23 : 9753)

Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna
Creation Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 10:02 AM
From: "Adrienne Moumin" <Photowonder2010@hotmail.com>

Created By: Photowonder2010@hotmail.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2021	Thursday, December 9, 2004 10:02 AM
Mime.822	3239	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

10/14/04 BDB received

From: "Kirk Rhoads" <kirkrhoads@hotmail.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 10:02 AM
Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna

10/10/04
69FR 71854
(75)

December 09, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Kirk Rhoads
301 Cooper St
Mountain Home, AR 72653
USA
kirkrhoads@hotmail.com

SISP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (ARW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B868FB.61A : 24 : 9754)

Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna
Creation Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 10:02 AM
From: "Kirk Rhoads" <kirkrhoads@hotmail.com>

Created By: kirkrhoads@hotmail.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2007	Thursday, December 9, 2004 10:02 AM
Mime.822	3207	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB Received

From: "Scot Phillips" <bittersweettooth@msn.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 10:12 AM
Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna

12/10/04
691=R 71854
76

December 09, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Scot Phillips
500 E 61 Terr
Kansas City, MO 64110
USA
bittersweettooth@msn.com

E-RIDS=ADM-03
Add= J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (AKW1)

SISP Review Complete
Template=ADM-013

Mail Envelope Properties (41B86B62.780 : 7 : 10112)

Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna
Creation Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 10:12 AM
From: "Scot Phillips" <bittersweettooth@msn.com>

Created By: bittersweettooth@msn.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2009	Thursday, December 9, 2004 10:12 AM
Mime.822	3217	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB Received

From: "ROGER KEMPTON" <rogkempton20002000@yahoo.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 10:32 AM
Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project

December 09, 2004

12/10/04
691=R 71854
(77)

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

ROGER KEMPTON
55 W Prospect St
Nanuet, NY 10954-2622
USA
rogkempton20002000@yahoo.com

E-RIDS=ADM-03
Add= J. Quiching (JXC9)
A. Williamson (AKW1)

SISP Review Complete
Template=ADM-013

Mail Envelope Properties (41B86FFE.A64 : 22 : 10852)

Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project
Creation Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 10:32 AM
From: "ROGER KEMPTON" <rogkempton20002000@yahoo.com>

Created By: rogkempton20002000@yahoo.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2016	Thursday, December 9, 2004 10:32 AM
Mime.822	3256	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

10/11/04 BDB received

From: "Debbie Burack" <dhurwitz@prodigy.net>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 10:42 AM
Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit

12/10/04
69FR 71854
(78)

December 09, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Debbie Burack
225 E 46th St
New York, NY 10017
USA
dhurwitz@prodigy.net

STSP - Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (ACW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B8726F.BFB : 4 : 11259)

Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit
Creation Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 10:42 AM
From: "Debbie Burack" <dhurwitz@prodigy.net>

Created By: dhurwitz@prodigy.net

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2002	Thursday, December 9, 2004 10:42 AM
Mime.822	3208	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 BDBrewer

From: "Christopher Hargrove" <HargroveFire368@aol.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 10:52 AM
Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project

12/10/04
69FR 71854
79

December 09, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Christopher Hargrove
3534 S Haven Rd
Knoxville, TN 37920
USA
HargroveFire368@aol.com

ESP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (ARW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B874BC.D4A : 14 : 11594)

Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project
Creation Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 10:52 AM
From: "Christopher Hargrove" <HargroveFire368@aol.com>

Created By: HargroveFire368@aol.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2015	Thursday, December 9, 2004 10:52 AM
Mime.822	3259	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB Received

From: "Jamie Brown" <mosejam@yahoo.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 11:02 AM
Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit

12/10/04
69 FR 71850

80

December 09, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Jamie Brown
1785 Halbro Dr
Marietta, GA 30062-1926
USA
mosejam@yahoo.com

E-RIDS - ADM-03
Cal. = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (ARW1)

SISP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

Mail Envelope Properties (41B87718.E6F : 7 : 11887)

Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit
Creation Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 11:02 AM
From: "Jamie Brown" <mosejam@yahoo.com>

Created By: mosejam@yahoo.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2003	Thursday, December 9, 2004 11:02 AM
Mime.822	3199	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB Received

From: "Sandra Wagner" <sandyl@bright.net>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 11:12 AM
Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit

10/10/04
69 FR 71854

(81)

December 09, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Sandra Wagner
126 Avenue B
Bryan, OH 43506
USA
sandyl@bright.net

SISP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (ARW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B87959.FAC : 24 : 12204)

Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit
Creation Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 11:12 AM
From: "Sandra Wagner" <sandyl@bright.net>

Created By: sandyl@bright.net

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	1995	Thursday, December 9, 2004 11:12 AM
Mime.822	3195	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

10/14/04 RDB Received

From: "Janice Foss" <libraj@comcast.net>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 11:12 AM
Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit

10/10/04
69FR 71864

(82)

December 09, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Janice Foss
633 Richmond St
El Cerrito, CA 94530-3212
USA
libraj@comcast.net

E-RIDS=ADM-03
Add= J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (AAW1)

SISP Review Complete

Template= ADM-013

Mail Envelope Properties (41B8795A.FAE : 1 : 12206)

Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit
Creation Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 11:12 AM
From: "Janice Foss" <libraj@comcast.net>

Created By: libraj@comcast.net

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2007	Thursday, December 9, 2004 11:12 AM
Mime.822	3205	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB Received

From: "Judith Carlson" <judie_carlson@cnt.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 11:22 AM
Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit

12/10/04
69FR71854

December 09, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

83

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Judith Carlson
1
Maple Grove, MN 55369
USA
judie_carlson@cnt.com

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Cc = J. Cushing (SXC9)
A. Williamson (AEW1)

ESP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

Mail Envelope Properties (41B87BCA.134 : 16 : 12596)

Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit
Creation Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 11:22 AM
From: "Judith Carlson" <judie_carlson@cnt.com>

Created By: judie_carlson@cnt.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	1995	Thursday, December 9, 2004 11:22 AM
Mime.822	3207	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RD B. Rec'd

From: "Jerry Dalton" <gjdalton@worldnet.att.net>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 11:32 AM
Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit

12/10/04
69FR 71854

(84)

December 09, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Jerry Dalton
874 Benedetti Dr Apt 202
Naperville, IL 60563
USA
gjdalton@worldnet.att.net

SISP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

ERIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williams (ARW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B87E25.283 : 1 : 12931)

Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit
Creation Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 11:32 AM
From: "Jerry Dalton" <gjdalton@worldnet.att.net>

Created By: gjdalton@worldnet.att.net

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2019	Thursday, December 9, 2004 11:32 AM
Mime.822	3235	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 ARDB Received

From: "Anthony Sanchez" <anthony@envirocitizen.org>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 11:52 AM
Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit

12/10/04
69FR71854

85

December 09, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Anthony Sanchez
91 Kenrock Rdg
San Antonio, TX 78254-2520
USA
anthony@envirocitizen.org

SISP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (ARW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B882B6.504 : 17 : 13572)

Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit
Creation Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 11:52 AM
From: "Anthony Sanchez" <anthony@envirocitizen.org>

Created By: anthony@envirocitizen.org

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2018	Thursday, December 9, 2004 11:52 AM
Mime.822	3238	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB received

From: "Michelle Palladine" <mpalladine@earthlink.net>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 12:02 PM
Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project

12/10/04
69FR 71854
(86)

December 09, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Michelle Palladine
2825 E Tahquitz Canyon Way
Palm Springs, CA 92262-6906
USA
mpalladine@earthlink.net

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (AKW1)

SISP Review Complete
template = ADM-013

Mail Envelope Properties (41B8851E.649 : 17 : 13897)

Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project
Creation Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 12:02 PM
From: "Michelle Palladine" <mpalladine@earthlink.net>

Created By: mpalladine@earthlink.net

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2033	Thursday, December 9, 2004 12:02 PM
Mime.822	3275	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB received

From: "Doug Finkelnburg" <doca21@hotmail.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 12:12 PM
Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project

12/10/04
69FR 71854
(87)

December 09, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Doug Finkelnburg
910 1/2 E E St
Moscow, ID 83843-3226
USA
doca21@hotmail.com

SISP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (SXC9)
A. Williamson (AKW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B88785.7B5 : 6 : 14261)

Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project
Creation Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 12:12 PM
From: "Doug Finkelnburg" <doca21@hotmail.com>

Created By: doca21@hotmail.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2007	Thursday, December 9, 2004 12:12 PM
Mime.822	3233	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB received

From: "Brendan Hoffman" <bhoffman@citizen.org>
To: <northanna_esp@nrc.gov>
Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 12:17 PM
Subject: January 19 public meeting

12/10/04
69FR.71854
(88)

i would like to register to speak.

Brendan Hoffman
Organizer, Nuclear Energy & Waste
Critical Mass Energy and Environment Program
Public Citizen
p: 202.454.5130
f: 202.547.7392
bhoffman@citizen.org
www.citizen.org/cmep

SISP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

ERIDS = ADM-03
Cee = J. Quichiq (JXC9)
A. Williamson (AKA1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B888BB.882 : 11 : 14466)

Subject: January 19 public meeting
Creation Date: 12/9/04 12:17PM
From: "Brendan Hoffman" <bhoffman@citizen.org>

Created By: bhoffman@citizen.org

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	**Route**
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	**Size**	**Date & Time**
MESSAGE	222	12/09/04 12:17PM
Mime.822	1013	

Options		Options
Expiration Date:	None	Expiration Date:
Priority:	Standard	Priority:
Reply Requested:	No	Reply Requested:
Return Notification:	None	Return Notification:
Concealed Subject:	No	
Security:	Standard	

12/14/04 BDB received

From: "Heidi Holeman" <lewru@hotmail.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 12:42 PM
Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit

12/10/04
69 FR 71854
(89)

December 09, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Heidi Holeman
1503 Barkley St
Norman, OK 73071
USA
lewru@hotmail.com

SISP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (ARW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B88E76.C6F : 16 : 15471)

Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit
Creation Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 12:42 PM
From: "Heidi Holeman" <lewru@hotmail.com>

Created By: lewru@hotmail.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	1999	Thursday, December 9, 2004 12:42 PM
Mime.822	3199	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB Received

From: "Sheila Ward" <asopao@yahoo.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 12:52 PM
Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit

12/10/04
69FR 71854
(90)

December 09, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Sheila Ward
265 Calle Sorbona Apt 2
San Juan, PR 00927-4106
USA
asopao@yahoo.com

ESP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (AWW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B890DA.DB1 : 13 : 15793)

Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit
Creation Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 12:52 PM
From: "Sheila Ward" <asopao@yahoo.com>

Created By: asopao@yahoo.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2011	Thursday, December 9, 2004 12:52 PM
Mime.822	3205	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB received

From: "Chell D Pfeffer" <thetreehugger@hotmail.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 1:02 PM
Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project

12/10/04
69FR 71854
(91)

December 09, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Chell D Pfeffer
8125 Stewarts Ferry Pike
Mount Juliet, TN 37122
USA
thetreehugger@hotmail.com

SISP Review Complete —
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (ARW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B8933D.EF2 : 9 : 16114)

Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project
Creation Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 1:02 PM
From: "Chell D Pfeffer" <thetreehugger@hotmail.com>

Created By: thetreehugger@hotmail.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2024	Thursday, December 9, 2004 1:02 PM
Mime.822	3262	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

10-11-04 R DB, received

From: "Joanna F. Welch" <joannawelch@hotmail.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 1:12 PM
Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit

12/10/04
69FR 71854
92

December 09, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Joanna F. Welch
PO Box 7584
Yellowtail, MT 59035-7584
USA
joannawelch@hotmail.com

SISP Review Complete -
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Curtiss (JXC)
A. Williamson (AFW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B89585.036 : 8 : 16438)

Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit
Creation Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 1:12 PM
From: "Joanna F. Welch" <joannawelch@hotmail.com>

Created By: joannawelch@hotmail.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2012	Thursday, December 9, 2004 1:12 PM
Mime.822	3230	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB Received

From: "Patricia Larch" <yona_@hotmail.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 1:32 PM
Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna

12/10/04
69FR 71854
93

December 09, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Patricia Larch
507 N Brunswick Ave
South Hill, VA 23970-1601
USA
yona_@hotmail.com

SISP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS=ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (AKW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B89A4F.2DB : 10 : 17115)

Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna
Creation Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 1:32 PM
From: "Patricia Larch" <yona_@hotmail.com>

Created By: yona_@hotmail.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2013	Thursday, December 9, 2004 1:32 PM
Mime.822	3211	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB received

From: "Andrea Cimino" <amcimino9@aol.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 2:12 PM
Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project

12/10/04
69FR 71854
(94)

December 09, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Andrea Cimino
9908 Killarney Ln Apt 101
Gaithersburg, MD 20877
USA
amcimino9@aol.com

SFSP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
Add = A. Williamson (ARW1

Mail Envelope Properties (41B8A390.8DE : 24 : 18654)

Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project
Creation Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 2:12 PM
From: "Andrea Cimino" <amcimino9@aol.com>

Created By: amcimino9@aol.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2015	Thursday, December 9, 2004 2:12 PM
Mime.822	3233	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

10/14/04 RDB received

From: "rebecca golden" <bex_golden@hotmail.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 2:22 PM
Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit

12/10/04
69FR71854
95

December 09, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

rebecca golden
1223 Bonnyvale Rd
Brattleboro, VT 05301-2578
USA
bex_golden@hotmail.com

SISP Review Complete
Template - ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (ACW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B8A5F7.A2B : 7 : 18987)

Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit
Creation Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 2:22 PM
From: "rebecca golden" <bex_golden@hotmail.com>

Created By: bex_golden@hotmail.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2017	Thursday, December 9, 2004 2:22 PM
Mime.822	3231	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB received

From: "Audrey Blumeenau" <blumeneau@aboutaudrey.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 2:52 PM
Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project

12/10/04
69FR 71854
(96)

December 09, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Audrey Blumeenau
310 Morrissey Blvd
Santa Cruz, CA 95062-1256
USA
blumeneau@aboutaudrey.com

SISP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS=ADM-03
Add = (JXC9) J. Carbing
A. Williamson (AWW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B8ACE8.E87 : 23 : 20103)

Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project
Creation Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 2:52 PM
From: "Audrey Blumeenau" <blumeneau@aboutaudrey.com>

Created By: blumeneau@aboutaudrey.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2022	Thursday, December 9, 2004 2:52 PM
Mime.822	3262	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RD Received

From: "Nina Keefer" <shieldmaiden_m@yahoo.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 2:52 PM
Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit

12/10/04
69FR 71854
(97)

December 09, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

There will always be adverse impacts on the environment when a nuclear plant is built! No more!!!

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Nina Keefer
1029 Terry St
Longmont, CO 80501-4316
USA
shieldmaiden_m@yahoo.com

SISP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Wilkinson (AWI)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B8ACE8.E88 : 24 : 20104)

Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit
Creation Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 2:52 PM
From: "Nina Keefer" <shieldmaiden_m@yahoo.com>

Created By: shieldmaiden_m@yahoo.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2109	Thursday, December 9, 2004 2:52 PM
Mime.822	3327	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB Received

From: "Sara Lemmon" <lemmonsara@yahoo.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 3:02 PM
Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project

12/10/04
69FR 71854
(98)

December 09, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Sara Lemmon
975 Washington St Apt 4
Denver, CO 80203-3350
USA
lemmonsara@yahoo.com

SISP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (AFW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B8AF5A.FFB : 20 : 20475)

Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project
Creation Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 3:02 PM
From: "Sara Lemmon" <lemmonsara@yahoo.com>

Created By: lemmonsara@yahoo.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2013	Thursday, December 9, 2004 3:02 PM
Mime.822	3233	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB received

From: "Virginia Cassidy" <virginia.cassidy@unisys.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 3:03 PM
Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna

12/10/04
69FR 71854
(99)

December 09, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment; bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Virginia Cassidy
1772 Hendricks Station Rd
Harleysville, PA 19438
USA
virginia.cassidy@unisys.com

SFSP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Cc = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (AWW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B8AF81.025 : 12 : 20517)

Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna
Creation Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 3:02 PM
From: "Virginia Cassidy" <virginia.cassidy@unisys.com>

Created By: virginia.cassidy@unisys.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2028	Thursday, December 9, 2004 3:02 PM
Mime.822	3250	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB response

From: "Steve Jerbi" <lengthwise@hotmail.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 3:32 PM
Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project

12/10/04
69 FR 71854
(100)

December 09, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Steve Jerbi
1100 E 55th St
Chicago, IL 60615-5134
USA
lengthwise@hotmail.com

E-RIDS=ADM-03
Add= J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (AWI)

SESP Review Complete=
Template - ADM-013

Mail Envelope Properties (41B8B65F.389 : 5 : 21385)

Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project
Creation Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 3:32 PM
From: "Steve Jerbi" <lengthwise@hotmail.com>

Created By: lengthwise@hotmail.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2007	Thursday, December 9, 2004 3:32 PM
Mime.822	3231	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB received

From: "Julio Torres" <jctl65@hotmail.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 3:42 PM
Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna

December 09, 2004

10/10/04
69FR 71854
101

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Julio Torres
Calle Lamas#13-05
Santa Cruz de Aragua, CO 2123
Venezuela
jctl65@hotmail.com

ERIDS=ADM-03
Add=J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Wilkinson (AEW1)

SISP Review Complete
Template=ADM-013

Mail Envelope Properties (41B8B8B7.49D : 6 : 21661)

Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna
Creation Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 3:42 PM
From: "Julio Torres" <jctl65@hotmail.com>

Created By: jctl65@hotmail.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2020	Thursday, December 9, 2004 3:42 PM
Mime.822	3214	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB received

From: "Felix Aguilar, MD, MPH" <felix.aguilar@earthlink.net>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 4:02 PM
Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project

12/10/04
69FR 71857
102

December 09, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Felix Aguilar, MD, MPH
771 Raymond Ave
Long Beach, CA 90804-4629
USA
felix.aguilar@earthlink.net

SISP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Curling (JXC9)
A. Williamson (ARW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B8BD62.74E : 20 : 22350)

Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project
Creation Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 4:02 PM
From: "Felix Aguilar, MD, MPH" <felix.aguilar@earthlink.net>

Created By: felix.aguilar@earthlink.net

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2027	Thursday, December 9, 2004 4:02 PM
Mime.822	3285	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB Received

From: "Linda Harrell" <lhh630@yahoo.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 4:22 PM
Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit

12/10/04
69 FR 71854
(103)

December 09, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Linda Harrell
7449 Hendersonville Hwy
Yemassee, SC 29945
USA
lhh630@yahoo.com

SISP Review Complete
Template = ADM-03

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (AEW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B8C212.A00 : 10 : 23040)

Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit
Creation Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 4:22 PM
From: "Linda Harrell" <lhh630@yahoo.com>

Created By: lhh630@yahoo.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2008	Thursday, December 9, 2004 4:22 PM
Mime.822	3206	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB Rec'd

From: "Ken Irwin" <btrmoon@ameritech.net>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 4:32 PM
Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna

12/10/04
691=R 71854
(104)

December 09, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Ken Irwin
4432 N Damen Ave
Chicago, IL 60625-1702
USA
btrmoon@ameritech.net

ESP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (AWI)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B8C46B.B29 : 7 : 23337)

Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna
Creation Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 4:32 PM
From: "Ken Irwin" <btrmoon@ameritech.net>

Created By: btrmoon@ameritech.net

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2006	Thursday, December 9, 2004 4:32 PM
Mime.822	3200	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB Received

From: "Gregory Esteve" <gregatourhouse@hotmail.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 5:52 PM
Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna

12/10/04
69FR 71854
105

December 09, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Gregory Esteve
3655 N Scenic Hwy
Lake Wales, FL 33898
USA
gregatourhouse@hotmail.com

SESP Review Complete

E-RIDS=ADM-03
Add = J. Anderson (JXC9)
A. Williamson (ARW1)

Template = ADM-013

Mail Envelope Properties (41B8D716.360 : 10 : 25440)

Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna
Creation Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 5:52 PM
From: "Gregory Esteve" <gregatourhouse@hotmail.com>

Created By: gregatourhouse@hotmail.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2015	Thursday, December 9, 2004 5:52 PM
Mime.822	3229	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

10/14/04 RDB Received

From: "katarzyna /" <katarzynajong@hotmail.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 5:52 PM
Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project

December 09, 2004

12/10/04
69FR 71854
(106)

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

katarzyna /
/
/, ON N3C 3C1
Canada
katarzynajong@hotmail.com

E-RIDS=ADM-03
Add= J. Cushing (JKC9)
A. Williamson (ARW1)

SISP Review Complete
Template=ADM-013

Mail Envelope Properties (41B8D717.362 : 12 : 25442)

Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project
Creation Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 5:52 PM
From: "katarzyna /" <katarzynajong@hotmail.com>

Created By: katarzynajong@hotmail.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	1991	Thursday, December 9, 2004 5:52 PM
Mime.822	3221	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB Received

From: "Brett Feldman" <feldjamin@hotmail.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 7:22 PM
Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project

12/10/04
69FR 71854
(107)

December 09, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Brett Feldman
4 Admiral Dr
Emeryville, CA 94608
USA
feldjamin@hotmail.com

SISP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (AKW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B8EC4C.B66 : 14 : 27494)

Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project
Creation Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 7:22 PM
From: "Brett Feldman" <feldjamin@hotmail.com>

Created By: feldjamin@hotmail.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2004	Thursday, December 9, 2004 7:22 PM
Mime.822	3230	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 BDB Received

From: "Jennifer Heavilin" <Pika1319@aol.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 8:32 PM
Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna

12/10/04
69FR71854
108

December 09, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Also, to help conserve resources, please do not reply via postal mail. Thanks!

Sincerely,

Jennifer Heavilin
1830 High Ave Apt B6
Panama City, FL 32405
USA
Pika1319@aol.com

SISP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (ARW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B8FC9E.00F : 7 : 28687)

Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna
Creation Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 8:32 PM
From: "Jennifer Heavilin" <Pika1319@aol.com>

Created By: Pika1319@aol.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2074	Thursday, December 9, 2004 8:32 PM
Mime.822	3282	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RS Received

From: "Mark Reback" <mark@consumerwatchdog.org>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 8:12 PM
Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit

December 09, 2004

12/10/04
69FR71854
(109)

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Mark Reback
2915 St George St Apt 3
Los Angeles, CA 90027-3026
USA
mark@consumerwatchdog.org

SFSP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (AKW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B8F7F5.E9A : 9 : 28314)

Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit
Creation Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 8:12 PM
From: "Mark Reback" <mark@consumerwatchdog.org>

Created By: mark@consumerwatchdog.org

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2023	Thursday, December 9, 2004 8:12 PM
Mime.822	3235	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB Received

From: "Chelsea Snelgrove" <chsnelgrove@yahoo.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 8:22 PM
Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna

12/10/04
69FR 71854
(110)

December 09, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Chelsea Snelgrove
290 Southerland Ter NE # 3
Atlanta, GA 30307-2344
USA
chsnelgrove@yahoo.com

SISP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A Williamson (AKW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B8FA58.F47 : 7 : 28487)

Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna
Creation Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 8:22 PM
From: "Chelsea Snelgrove" <chsnelgrove@yahoo.com>

Created By: chsnelgrove@yahoo.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2024	Thursday, December 9, 2004 8:22 PM
Mime.822	3234	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

10/14/04 RDB Received

From: "Jeffri Frontz" <jhf@infinet.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 9:32 PM
Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna

12/10/04
69FR71854
111

December 09, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urge the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Jeffri Frontz
310 Walhalla Rd
Columbus, OH 43202-1444
USA
jhf@infinet.com

SISP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (ARW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B90AC4.3D2 : 20 : 29650)

Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna
Creation Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 9:32 PM
From: "Jeffri Frontz" <jhf@infinet.com>

Created By: jhf@infinet.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2003	Thursday, December 9, 2004 9:32 PM
Mime.822	3193	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB Received

From: "Linda York" <lindayork5@msn.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 9:32 PM
Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit

12/10/04
69FR 71854
112

December 09, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Linda York
13029 15th Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98125-4023
USA
lindayork5@msn.com

SISP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (ARW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B90AC4.3D3 : 21 : 29651)

Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit
Creation Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 9:32 PM
From: "Linda York" <lindayork5@msn.com>

Created By: lindayork5@msn.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2005	Thursday, December 9, 2004 9:32 PM
Mime.822	3201	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB Received

From: "Linda Prostko" <lprostko@hotmail.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 10:22 PM
Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit

12/10/04
69 FR 71554.
113

December 09, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Linda Prostko
PO Box 141621
Grand Rapids, MI 49514-1621
USA
lprostko@hotmail.com

SFSP Report Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = S. Cushing (SXC9)
A. Williamson (ARW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B91679.765 : 10 : 30565)

Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit
Creation Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2004 10:22 PM
From: "Linda Prostko" <lprostko@hotmail.com>

Created By: lprostko@hotmail.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2011	Thursday, December 9, 2004 10:22 PM
Mime.822	3217	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

10/14/04 BDB received

From: paxus calta <paxuscalta@yahoo.com>
To: <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Fri, Dec 10, 2004 2:36 AM
Subject: wanting to speak at the draft EIS hearing Jan 19th in Louisa

Dear NRC:

I am interested in speaking at the public hearing on the Norht Anna Draft EIS.

I am a Louisa County resident.

Please let me know if you need any other information to approve this request.

Paxus Calta
566 Tupelo Ridge Road
Louisa VA 23093
540-903-9343

10/10/04
69 FR 71854
114

Do You Yahoo!?
Tired of spam? Yahoo! Mail has the best spam protection around
http://mail.yahoo.com

SISP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (AKW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B951E2.78A : 18 : 34698)

Subject: wanting to speak at the draft EIS hearing Jan 19th in Louisa
Creation Date: 12/10/04 2:35AM
From: paxus calta <paxuscalta@yahoo.com>

Created By: paxuscalta@yahoo.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	**Route**
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	**Size**	**Date & Time**
MESSAGE	425	12/10/04 02:35AM
Mime.822	1566	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB Received

From: "Victoria Campbell" <directtrash@hotmail.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Fri, Dec 10, 2004 4:02 AM
Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna

12/10/04
69FR 71854
115

December 10, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Victoria Campbell
286 S Batavia St
Orange, CA 92868-3902
USA
directtrash@hotmail.com

ESP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
G. Williamson (ARW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B96628.E49 : 20 : 36425)

Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna
Creation Date: Fri, Dec 10, 2004 4:02 AM
From: "Victoria Campbell" <directtrash@hotmail.com>

Created By: directtrash@hotmail.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2015	Friday, December 10, 2004 4:02 AM
Mime.822	3232	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB Received

From: "Lindsey McConnell" <linsmac@ufl.edu>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Fri, Dec 10, 2004 4:32 AM
Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna

December 10, 2004

12/10/04
69FR71854

116

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Lindsey McConnell
419 NW 14th St Apt A
Gainesville, FL 32603-1942
USA
linsmac@ufl.edu

SISP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (AXW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B96D2D.01A : 10 : 36890)

Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna
Creation Date: Fri, Dec 10, 2004 4:32 AM
From: "Lindsey McConnell" <linsmac@ufl.edu>

Created By: linsmac@ufl.edu

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2016	Friday, December 10, 2004 4:32 AM
Mime.822	3217	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB Received

From: "Mary Hirose" <wearejedi@aol.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Fri, Dec 10, 2004 6:52 AM
Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project

December 10, 2004

12/10/04
69FR71854
(117)

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Mary Hirose
1774 Westbury Dr
Hoffman Estates, IL 60195-1042
USA
wearejedi@aol.com

E-RIDS= ADM-03
ADD= J. Cushing (SXC9)
A. Williamson (ARW1)

SISP Review Complete
Template=ADM-013

Mail Envelope Properties (41B98DEA.7BA : 12 : 38842)

Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project
Creation Date: Fri, Dec 10, 2004 6:52 AM
From: "Mary Hirose" <wearejedi@aol.com>

Created By: wearejedi@aol.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2012	Friday, December 10, 2004 6:52 AM
Mime.822	3229	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 KDB Received

From: "Sharon Smith" <taosharon@aol.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Fri, Dec 10, 2004 8:12 AM
Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit

12/10/04
69FR71854
(118)

December 10, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Sharon Smith
752 Greenwich St Apt 3C
New York, NY 10014-2172
USA
taosharon@aol.com

SISP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add. = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (ARW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B9A0BA.D29 : 3 : 40233)

Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit
Creation Date: Fri, Dec 10, 2004 8:12 AM
From: "Sharon Smith" <taosharon@aol.com>

Created By: taosharon@aol.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2013	Friday, December 10, 2004 8:12 AM
Mime.822	3214	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB Receive

From: "JIM HEAD" <jimheadjr@hotmail.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Fri, Dec 10, 2004 11:52 AM
Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project

12/10/04

69 FR 71854

(119)

December 10, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

JIM HEAD
2279 Thomas Ave
Berkley, MI 48072-3239
USA
jimheadjr@hotmail.com

SFSP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

ERIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (AKW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B9D443.7EC : 4 : 47084)

Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project
Creation Date: Fri, Dec 10, 2004 11:52 AM
From: "JIM HEAD" <jimheadjr@hotmail.com>

Created By: jimheadjr@hotmail.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2004	Friday, December 10, 2004 11:52 AM
Mime.822	3223	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDBhaumik

From: "Ariel Graham" <Dance7ag@hotmail.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Fri, Dec 10, 2004 12:12 PM
Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project

12/10/04
69FR71854
120

December 10, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Ariel Graham
308 Orange Ave Apt 24
Coronado, CA 92118-1494
USA
Dance7ag@hotmail.com

SESP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (ARW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B9D904.A84 : 18 : 47748)

Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project
Creation Date: Fri, Dec 10, 2004 12:12 PM
From: "Ariel Graham" <Dance7ag@hotmail.com>

Created By: Dance7ag@hotmail.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2014	Friday, December 10, 2004 12:12 PM
Mime.822	3239	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB Receive

From: "Paul Williams" <lovemotherearth@ecoisp.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Fri, Dec 10, 2004 2:32 PM
Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project

12/10/04
69 FR 71854
121

December 10, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Paul Williams
37 N Boston Ave
Atlantic City, NJ 08401-3534
USA
lovemotherearth@ecoisp.com

SISP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

ERFDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (ARW2)

Mail Envelope Properties (41B9F9C6.B7F : 15 : 52095)

Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project
Creation Date: Fri, Dec 10, 2004 2:32 PM
From: "Paul Williams" <lovemotherearth@ecoisp.com>

Created By: lovemotherearth@ecoisp.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2020	Friday, December 10, 2004 2:32 PM
Mime.822	3259	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB Received

From: "Sarah Harris" <cerahshocker@hotmail.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Fri, Dec 10, 2004 4:52 PM
Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna

12/10/04
69FR 71854
122

December 10, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Sarah Harris
405 Strand Ave
Missoula, MT 59801-5712
USA
cerahshocker@hotmail.com

SISP Review Complete
Template=ADM-013

E-RIDS=ADM-03
Add=J. Cushing (SXC-9)
A. Williamson (ARW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41BA1AA4.A47 : 24 : 55879)

Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna
Creation Date: Fri, Dec 10, 2004 4:52 PM
From: "Sarah Harris" <cerahshocker@hotmail.com>

Created By: cerahshocker@hotmail.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2011	Friday, December 10, 2004 4:52 PM
Mime.822	3220	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

10/14/04 RDB Record

12/10/04
69 FR 71854

(123)

From: "Mary Beier" <sml_beier@hotmail.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Sat, Dec 11, 2004 11:12 AM
Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit

December 11, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Mary Beier
4200 S 4th St
Leavenworth, KS 66048-5054
USA
sml_beier@hotmail.com

SFSP Beier Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
T. A. Wilemusen (AKW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41BB1C71.B7A : 19 : 2938)

Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit
Creation Date: Sat, Dec 11, 2004 11:12 AM
From: "Mary Beier" <sml_beier@hotmail.com>

Created By: sml_beier@hotmail.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2008	Saturday, December 11, 2004 11:12 AM
Mime.822	3215	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB Received

12/10/04
69FR 71854

124

From: "Paula Jaramillo" <PJaramillo23@hotmail.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Fri, Dec 10, 2004 6:32 PM
Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna

December 10, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Paula Jaramillo
1199 Sioux St
Los Alamos, NM 87544-2827
USA
PJaramillo23@hotmail.com

SFSP Review Complete
Template = ADH-013

E-RIDS = ADH-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (ARW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41BA3208.20E : 15 : 57870)

Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna
Creation Date: Fri, Dec 10, 2004 6:32 PM
From: "Paula Jaramillo" <PJaramillo23@hotmail.com>

Created By: PJaramillo23@hotmail.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2015	Friday, December 10, 2004 6:32 PM
Mime.822	3230	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

10/14/04 KOB Received

From: "Matt Moore" <lightfoot_32@hotmail.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Fri, Dec 10, 2004 8:02 PM
Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna

12/10/04
69FR 71854
185

December 10, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Matt Moore
PO Box 984
Miller Place, NY 11764
USA
lightfoot_32@hotmail.com

ESP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (ARW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41BA4728.7A5 : 21 : 59301)

Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna
Creation Date: Fri, Dec 10, 2004 8:02 PM
From: "Matt Moore" <lightfoot_32@hotmail.com>

Created By: lightfoot_32@hotmail.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2004	Friday, December 10, 2004 8:02 PM
Mime.822	3211	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RJB Receive

From: "M. Mathewson" <califoto@yahoo.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Fri, Dec 10, 2004 8:42 PM
Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit

12/10/04
69FR 71854
(126)

December 10, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

M. Mathewson
PO Box 2249
Culver City, CA 90231-2249
USA
califoto@yahoo.com

E-RIDS=ADM-03
Add=J. Anderson (JXC9)
A. Williamson (ARW1)

SISP Review Complete
Template=ADM-013

Mail Envelope Properties (41BA5078.9C5 : 15 : 59845)

Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit
Creation Date: Fri, Dec 10, 2004 8:42 PM
From: "M. Mathewson" <califoto@yahoo.com>

Created By: califoto@yahoo.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2005	Friday, December 10, 2004 8:42 PM
Mime.822	3210	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB Received

From: "tom jackson" <tomj7@colbi.net>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Fri, Dec 10, 2004 10:12 PM
Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit

12/10/04
69FR 71854
(127)

December 10, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

tom jackson
720 16th St Apt 418
Denver, CO 80202-3238
USA
tomj7@colbi.net

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
G. Williamson (AGW5)

SISP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

Mail Envelope Properties (41BA6589.D50 : 22 : 60752)

Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit
Creation Date: Fri, Dec 10, 2004 10:12 PM
From: "tom jackson" <tomj7@colbi.net>

Created By: tomj7@colbi.net

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2004	Friday, December 10, 2004 10:12 PM
Mime.822	3199	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB Received

From: "Monica Allen" <monicakayallen@hotmail.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Fri, Dec 10, 2004 11:12 PM
Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project

12/10/04
69FR 71854
128

December 10, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Monica Allen
4631 Amesbury Dr Apt 3122
Dallas, TX 75206
USA
monicakayallen@hotmail.com

SESP Review Complete.
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
G. Wilkinson (AKW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41BA73A2.FF7 : 1 : 61431)

Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project
Creation Date: Fri, Dec 10, 2004 11:12 PM
From: "Monica Allen" <monicakayallen@hotmail.com>

Created By: monicakayallen@hotmail.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2017	Friday, December 10, 2004 11:12 PM
Mime.822	3254	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB Received

From: "Bobbie Dee Flowers" <b_flowers@hotmail.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Sat, Dec 11, 2004 4:02 AM
Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna

12/10/04
69FR 71854
129

December 11, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Bobbie Dee Flowers
418 W 17th St Apt 22A
New York, NY 10011-5826
USA
b_flowers@hotmail.com

SISP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
T. Williamson (AKW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41BAB7B3.B9C : 7 : 64412)

Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna
Creation Date: Sat, Dec 11, 2004 4:02 AM
From: "Bobbie Dee Flowers" <b_flowers@hotmail.com>

Created By: b_flowers@hotmail.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	1081	Saturday, December 11, 2004 4:02 AM
Mime.822	2237	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB Received

From: "Mitchell Maness" <mitchellmaness@earthlink.net>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Sat, Dec 11, 2004 6:52 AM
Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit

12/10/04
69FR 71854
130

December 11, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Mitchell Maness
2800 Knight St Apt 1
Dallas, TX 75219-6249
USA
mitchellmaness@earthlink.net

SISP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (5xcy)
A. Williamson (AEW 1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41BADF84.1CA : 14 : 458)

Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit
Creation Date: Sat, Dec 11, 2004 6:52 AM
From: "Mitchell Maness" <mitchellmaness@earthlink.net>

Created By: mitchellmaness@earthlink.net

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2022	Saturday, December 11, 2004 6:52 AM
Mime.822	3251	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

10/14/04 RDB received

12/10/04

69FR 71854

(131)

From: "Sherry Barnes" <sherry_l_barnes@yahoo.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Sat, Dec 11, 2004 2:14 PM
Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna

December 11, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Sherry Barnes
PO Box 3020
Crested Butte, CO 81224-3020
USA
sherry_l_barnes@yahoo.com

ESP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
ADD = J. Cushing (5xcy)
G. Wilson (ARWI)

Mail Envelope Properties (41BB46C9.285 : 22 : 4741)

Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna
Creation Date: Sat, Dec 11, 2004 2:12 PM
From: "Sherry Barnes" <sherry_l_barnes@yahoo.com>

Created By: sherry_l_barnes@yahoo.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2015	Saturday, December 11, 2004 2:12 PM
Mime.822	3232	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB

From: "Colleen McGlone" <crmcglone@moose-mail.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Sat, Dec 11, 2004 4:22 PM
Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit

12/10/04
69FR 71854
132

December 11, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Colleen McGlone
3540 Hartland Dr
New Port Richey, FL 34655-2505
USA
crmcglone@moose-mail.com

ESP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (OXC9)
A. Williamson (ARW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41BB6513.6F5 : 8 : 5877)

Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit
Creation Date: Sat, Dec 11, 2004 4:22 PM
From: "Colleen McGlone" <crmcglone@moose-mail.com>

Created By: crmcglone@moose-mail.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2023	Saturday, December 11, 2004 4:22 PM
Mime.822	3244	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

10/14/04 RDB received

10/10/04
69FR 71854
133

From: "Tavis Schmidt" <tavisschmidt@hotmail.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Sat, Dec 11, 2004 4:42 PM
Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project

December 11, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Tavis Schmidt
711 W Santa Fe Ave
Flagstaff, AZ 86001-5324
USA
tavisschmidt@hotmail.com

SISP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (AKW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41BB69B9.7D2 : 4 : 6098)

Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project
Creation Date: Sat, Dec 11, 2004 4:42 PM
From: "Tavis Schmidt" <tavisschmidt@hotmail.com>

Created By: tavisschmidt@hotmail.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2017	Saturday, December 11, 2004 4:42 PM
Mime.822	3252	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB received

12/10/04
69FR 71854
134

From: "Jennifer Doob" <jkdoophi@aol.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Sat, Dec 11, 2004 6:02 PM
Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna

December 11, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Doob
867 Warfield Ave
Piedmont, CA 94610-2118
USA
jkdoophi@aol.com

SISP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (AKW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41BB7C75.BA5 : 8 : 7077)

Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna
Creation Date: Sat, Dec 11, 2004 6:02 PM
From: "Jennifer Doob" <jkdoophi@aol.com>

Created By: jkdoophi@aol.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2006	Saturday, December 11, 2004 6:02 PM
Mime.822	3201	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB received

12/10/04
69 FR 71854
135

From: "Carol DeAntoni" <athena2@ctelco.net>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Sat, Dec 11, 2004 8:32 PM
Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit

December 11, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Carol DeAntoni
PO Box 220
Crestone, CO 81131-0220
USA
athena2@ctelco.net

SISP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (ARW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41BB9F9B.1E1 : 4 : 8673)

Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit
Creation Date: Sat, Dec 11, 2004 8:32 PM
From: "Carol DeAntoni" <athena2@ctelco.net>

Created By: athena2@ctelco.net

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2003	Saturday, December 11, 2004 8:32 PM
Mime.822	3210	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

10/14/04 RDB Rec'd

12/10/04
69FR 71854
(132)

From: "Gail McGlone" <grmcglone@snail-mail.net>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Sat, Dec 11, 2004 10:02 PM
Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna

December 11, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Gail McGlone
3510 Dellefield St
New Port Richey, FL 34655
USA
grmcglone@snail-mail.net

ESP Review Complete Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (ARW2)

Mail Envelope Properties (41BBB4BC.501 : 4 : 9473)

Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna
Creation Date: Sat, Dec 11, 2004 10:02 PM
From: "Gail McGlone" <grmcglone@snail-mail.net>

Created By: grmcglone@snail-mail.net

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2017	Saturday, December 11, 2004 10:02 PM
Mime.822	3226	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB Received

12/10/04
69FR 71854
(137)

From: "Lynn Tarek" <lynntarek@hotmail.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Sun, Dec 12, 2004 4:02 AM
Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit

December 11, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Lynn Tarek
6320 N 16th St Apt 15
Phoenix, AZ 85016-1520
USA
lynntarek@hotmail.com

SISP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Anderson (JXC9)
A. Williamson (ARW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41BC091C.061 : 16 : 12385)

Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit
Creation Date: Sun, Dec 12, 2004 4:02 AM
From: "Lynn Tarek" <lynntarek@hotmail.com>

Created By: lynntarek@hotmail.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2012	Sunday, December 12, 2004 4:02 AM
Mime.822	3217	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB Received

12/10/04

69FR 71854

(136)

From: "Kimberley Graham" <biggal21@yahoo.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Sun, Dec 12, 2004 8:32 AM
Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project

December 12, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Kimberley Graham
308 Orange Ave Apt 24
Coronado, CA 92118-1494
USA
biggal21@yahoo.com

SISP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
G. Williamson (ARW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41BC486F.814 : 12 : 14356)

Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project
Creation Date: Sun, Dec 12, 2004 8:32 AM
From: "Kimberley Graham" <biggal21@yahoo.com>

Created By: biggal21@yahoo.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2016	Sunday, December 12, 2004 8:32 AM
Mime.822	3245	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB Received

12/10/04

69FR 71854

(139)

From: "Seth Silverman" <SSilverman86@hotmail.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Sun, Dec 12, 2004 12:12 PM
Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project

December 12, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Seth Silverman
60 E End Ave Apt 8B
New York, NY 10028
USA
SSilverman86@hotmail.com

SESP Review Complete

Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushings (JXC9)

Mail Envelope Properties (41BC7C02.0EE : 3 : 16622)

Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project
Creation Date: Sun, Dec 12, 2004 12:12 PM
From: "Seth Silverman" <SSilverman86@hotmail.com>

Created By: SSilverman86@hotmail.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2013	Sunday, December 12, 2004 12:12 PM
Mime.822	3250	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

10/14/04 RDB Incoming

12/10/04
69FR 71854
(140)

From: "Wayne Kelly" <waynekins@hotmail.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Sun, Dec 12, 2004 2:42 PM
Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna

December 12, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Wayne Kelly
1257 Siskiyou Blvd # 1133
Ashland, OR 97520-2241
USA
waynekins@hotmail.com

SISP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (AKW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41BC9F2C.7DB : 1 : 18395)

Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna
Creation Date: Sun, Dec 12, 2004 2:42 PM
From: "Wayne Kelly" <waynekins@hotmail.com>

Created By: waynekins@hotmail.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2017	Sunday, December 12, 2004 2:42 PM
Mime.822	3218	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB Received

12/10/04
69 FR 71854
(141)

From: "Michael Neil" <mneil@du.edu>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Sun, Dec 12, 2004 3:22 PM
Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project

December 12, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Michael Neil
2551 S High St
Denver, CO 80210-5145
USA
mneil@du.edu

E-RIDS=ADM-03
ADD= J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (ARW1)

SESP Review Complete
Template - ADM-013

Mail Envelope Properties (41BCA884.95C : 11 : 18780)

Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project
Creation Date: Sun, Dec 12, 2004 3:22 PM
From: "Michael Neil" <mneil@du.edu>

Created By: mneil@du.edu

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	1997	Sunday, December 12, 2004 3:22 PM
Mime.822	3206	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB received

12/10/04
69FR 71854
(142)

From: "David Randall" <dave32@ecologyfund.net>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Sun, Dec 12, 2004 5:02 PM
Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna

December 12, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

David Randall
5 Longacre Ct
Port Jefferson, NY 11777
USA
dave32@ecologyfund.net

SISP Review Complete

Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
ADD = J. Cushing (JKC9)
G. Williamson (GRW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41BCBFF9.D78 : 13 : 19832)

Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna
Creation Date: Sun, Dec 12, 2004 5:02 PM
From: "David Randall" <dave32@ecologyfund.net>

Created By: dave32@ecologyfund.net

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2010	Sunday, December 12, 2004 5:02 PM
Mime.822	3217	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

10/14/04 RDB Received

From: "A. Patrick" <ap_dakinihaven@yahoo.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Sun, Dec 12, 2004 5:22 PM
Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna

12/10/04
69FR 71854
143

December 12, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

A. Patrick
3100 Ewing Ave
Altadena, CA 91001
USA
ap_dakinihaven@yahoo.com

E-RIDS=ADM-03
Add=J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (AWW)

SESP Review Complete

Template = ADM-013

Mail Envelope Properties (41BCC49C.E27 : 13 : 20007)

Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna
Creation Date: Sun, Dec 12, 2004 5:22 PM
From: "A. Patrick" <ap_dakinihaven@yahoo.com>

Created By: ap_dakinihaven@yahoo.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2004	Sunday, December 12, 2004 5:22 PM
Mime.822	3213	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 KDB Received

From: "Diana Sette" <dsette@drew.edu>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Sun, Dec 12, 2004 5:32 PM
Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit

12/10/04
69FR 71854
(44)

December 12, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Diana Sette
2296 Forest Glen Dr
Warrington, PA 18976-1588
USA
dsette@drew.edu

E-RIDS=ADM-03
Add=J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (ARW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41BCC6F0.E96 : 24 : 20118)

Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit
Creation Date: Sun, Dec 12, 2004 5:32 PM
From: "Diana Sette" <dsette@drew.edu>

Created By: dsette@drew.edu

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2008	Sunday, December 12, 2004 5:32 PM
Mime.822	3203	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 KDB response

From: "Hollis Toal" <hollistoal@hotmail.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Sun, Dec 12, 2004 10:22 PM
Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project

12/10/04
69 FR 71854
(145)

December 12, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Hollis Toal
3281 S Rainburst Pl
Tucson, AZ 85713-6834
USA
hollistoal@hotmail.com

SEP Review Complete

Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (DXC9)
A. Williamson (AWI)

Mail Envelope Properties (41BD0AFB.AB2 : 24 : 23218)

Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project
Creation Date: Sun, Dec 12, 2004 10:22 PM
From: "Hollis Toal" <hollistoal@hotmail.com>

Created By: hollistoal@hotmail.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2011	Sunday, December 12, 2004 10:22 PM
Mime.822	3238	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB Received

From: "Claire Watson" <ce99watson@aol.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Mon, Dec 13, 2004 4:02 AM
Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit

12/10/04
69FR 71854
(146)

December 12, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Claire Watson
246 Peppertree Way
Pittsburg, CA 94565-7060
USA
ce99watson@aol.com

SFSP Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = J. Cushing (JXC4)
A. Williamson (ARW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41BD5AA1.A60 : 13 : 27232)

Subject: Reconsider the Lake Anna Early Site Permit
Creation Date: Mon, Dec 13, 2004 4:02 AM
From: "Claire Watson" <ce99watson@aol.com>

Created By: ce99watson@aol.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2011	Monday, December 13, 2004 4:02 AM
Mime.822	3216	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

12/14/04 RDB received

12/10/04
69 FR 71854
(147)

From: "Eve Venema" <accordioneve@hotmail.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Mon, Dec 13, 2004 10:02 AM
Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna

December 13, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Eve Venema
2637 Golfridge Dr SE
Grand Rapids, MI 49546
USA
accordioneve@hotmail.com

E-RIDS = ADM-03

SESP Review Complete

Template = ADM-013

Add = J. Cushing (JXC9)
A. Williamson (AKW1)

Mail Envelope Properties (41BDAF0D.3FE : 21 : 33790)

Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna
Creation Date: Mon, Dec 13, 2004 10:02 AM
From: "Eve Venema" <accordioneve@hotmail.com>

Created By: accordioneve@hotmail.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2014	Monday, December 13, 2004 10:02 AM
Mime.822	3219	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

RDB received 12/14/04

From: "Erika Shamo" <erika.shamo@brinker.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Mon, Dec 13, 2004 10:52 AM
Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna

12/10/04
69FR 71854
148

December 13, 2004

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear NRC,

I urgre the NRC to reconsider issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project on the basis that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Erika Shamo
1031 N Wolcott Ave
Chicago, IL 60622-3760
USA
erika.shamo@brinker.com

E-RIDS=ADM-03
Add=J. Quiching (JKC9)
A. Williamson (AKW1)

SISP Review Complete

Template=ADM-013

Mail Envelope Properties (41BDBAAD.D8A : 15 : 36234)

Subject: No nuclear power plant in North Anna
Creation Date: Mon, Dec 13, 2004 10:52 AM
From: "Erika Shamo" <erika.shamo@brinker.com>

Created By: erika.shamo@brinker.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2012	Monday, December 13, 2004 10:52 AM
Mime.822	3219	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard