

~~SENSITIVE ALLEGATION MATERIAL~~

NEW ALLEGATION: RIII-2004-A-0047

April 30, 2004

MEMORANDUM TO: Ken Riemer, Chief, Plant Support Branch, DRS

FROM: Ken Lambert, OAC, RIII

SUBJECT: **RECEIPT OF NEW ALLEGATION: RIII-2004-A-0047 (Pt. Beach)**

On February 25, 2004, EICS staff received concerns regarding three contract workers at Pt. Beach that knowingly entered containment to conduct work while signed onto an RWP that only allowed work in the Auxiliary Building and at least one of the three workers knowingly worked up to his/her dosimetry dose alarm limit of 50 mrem and subsequently received a dose of 51 mrem, which is in excess of the RWP limit of 50 mrem. Your staff is reviewing the concerns and per your request, the PSB will provide the allegation review results at the ARB.

I have scheduled an Allegation Review Board(ARB) on Monday, May 3, 2004. Please review the attached information to prepare for the ARB.

cc w/attachments:

ARB Copy

Paul, OI

Hane, OI

Kryk, OI

Ulie, OI

Berson, RC

Pederson, DRS

Riemer, DRS

Alexander, DRS

Louden, DRP

Kunowski, DRP

RIIIDRS_ADMIN

RIIIDRP_ADMIN

G-58

Each stated concern or NRC identified issue should be documented on a separate sheet. Each concern must be documented and written with enough detail to allow thorough follow up.

Concern No. 1: The NRC is concerned that three contract ISI/NDE workers knowingly entered Containment to conduct work while signed in on an Auxiliary Building ONLY Radiation Work Permit (RWP).

Regulatory Basis: 10 CFR 50.9, deliberate misconduct.

- I. Action Evaluation: The following method of resolution is recommended (circle):
 - A. Send to Licensee Requesting Response in ____ Days. (Describe the general areas we expect the licensee to address.)
 - B. Priority RIII Follow up and Closure Memo to OAC
 - C. Follow up During Routine Inspection Within ____ Days and Closure Memo to OAC
 - D. Refer to OI. Recommended Priority: HIGH NORMAL LOW
Recommended Basis:
 - E. Outside NRC's Jurisdiction. Describe Basis Below.
 - F. Too General for Follow-up. Describe Basis Below.
 - G. Other (specify) -

Responsible for Action - EICS/OI/Branch

- II. Special Considerations/Instructions: PSB to provide allegation review results at the ARB.

Each stated concern or NRC identified issue should be documented on a separate sheet. Each concern must be documented and written with enough detail to allow thorough follow up.

Concern No. 2: The NRC is concerned that while the three contract ISI/NDE workers were conducting work in Containment on the incorrect RWP, at least one worker knowingly worked up to his dosimetry dose alarm limit (50 mrem), and subsequently received dose in excess of the allowed amount by the RWP (the individual received 51 mrem).

Regulatory Basis: Technical Specifications if work was performed in a high radiation area.

- I. Action Evaluation: The following method of resolution is recommended (circle):
- A. Send to Licensee Requesting Response in ____ Days. (Describe the general areas we expect the licensee to address.)
 - B. Priority RIII Follow up and Closure Memo to OAC
 - C. Follow up During Routine Inspection Within ____ Days and Closure Memo to OAC
 - D. Refer to OI. Recommended Priority: HIGH NORMAL LOW
Recommended Basis:
 - E. Outside NRC's Jurisdiction. Describe Basis Below.
 - F. Too General for Follow-up. Describe Basis Below.
 - G. Other (Specify) -

Responsible for Action - EICS/OI/Branch

- II. Special Considerations/Instructions: PSB to provide allegation review results at the ARB.