Nuclear Management Company

۲

Ξ	State	Change	History
---	-------	--------	---------

. .

:

ACE Assign Work Initiate 4/7/2004 12:41:55 PM Owner by KREIL, SHERWOOD, JULIE GARY	Reassign Assign Work 4/11/2004 2:42:28 PM by SHERWOOD, GARY UOYDE Work 4/12/2004 5:08:29 AM by HAWKI, LOYDE UOYDE UOYDE UOYDE UOYDE	Work Complete by JENSEN, BILL COYDE				
El Section 1						
QA/Nuclear Oversight?:	N Science Construction	Ν				
Activity Request Id:	ACE001666					
Activity Type:	Apparent Cause Submit Date: Evaluation	4/7/2004 12:41:55 PM				
Site/Unit:	Point Beach - Unit 1					
Activity Requested:	Perform an Apparent Cause Evaluation of CAP055366 in accordance with NP 5.3.1. 30 day due date. Ensure you have finished the ACE CBT training. To access CBT, from Point Beach homepage - Work Groups - Training. Under the Point Beach Specific Training heading, click on Apparent Cause Evaluation.					
© CATPR:	N Initiator:	SHANNON, DAN 🖾				
Initiator Department:	PR Radiation Responsible Group Code: Protection PB	EPI Engineering Programs Inspection Services PB				
Responsible Department		HAWKI, LOYDE 🛛				
Activity Performer:	JENSEN, BILL 🖾					
E Section 2						
Priority:	3 Due Date: 6/7/2004					
Mode Change Restraint:	(None) Management Exception From PI?: N					
	N ONRC Commitment Date:					
•	В					
⊟ Section 3						
Apparent Cause Evaluation: Event Description: While working in the Unit one containment building during U1R28, a worker exceeded his electronic dosimeter dose alarm setpoint and received a dose alarm. The ED dose alarm setworks are received 51 mrem. The worker, along with two other workers, were working in containment on the wrong RWP. They were working on RWP 04-161, which is for work in the PAB, and set the ED dose alarm level at 50 mrem. The correct RWP that they should have used is RWP 04-139, for their work in containment, which set the ED dose alarm at 80 mrem. The other two workers did not receive a dose alarm. Extent of Condition Assessment: Alter of Condition Assessment: Alter receiving the dose alarm, the individual left U1 containment at the 26' elevation and reported to the RP station. The other two workers secured equipment and exited containment through the 66' elevation, also reporting to the RP station. RP management questioned the three individuals, initiated CAP 055366, and requested that NDE supervision and the Programs Engineering Supervisor, night shift, conduct a stand-down and brief all NDE technicians on the event, (aw NP 1.1.10, "Human Performance Program"). RP management restricted RCA access for the three individuals using the guidance of the Human Performance Programs Engineering Supervisor, night shift, and the LMT NDE Shift Lead, night shift, conduct a turnan Performance Programs Engineering Supervisor, night shift, and the LMT NDE Shift Lead, night shift, conducted the stand-down brief at approximately 200. The Programs Engineering Supervisor, night shift, and the LMT NDE Shift Lead, night shift, conducted the stand-down brief at approximately 200. The Programs Engineering Supervisor, the Program						

- · ·

- -

.

.

_

	Apparent Cause Statement (Who, What, Why): Three contract NDE technicians conducted radiation work in the Unit 1 containment during U1R28 under the RWP for PAB work instead of the RWP for Containment work, as required by NP 4.2.19, "General Rules for Work in a Radiologically Controlled Area," Section 4.3, Radiation Work Permits (RWPs).A contract NDE technician then intentionally allowed his radiation dose to approach and exceed the (perceived, although wrong) dose limit for the RWP under which he was working in the Unit 1 containment during U1R28, instead of exiting at an earlier opportunity, as required by NP 4.2.27, "Personnel Exposure Monitoring Device Minimum Requirements and General Use." Step 3.7.1 states that the worker is to ensure that the exposure accumulation does not exceed that authorized by the RWP. In this case the RWP (although the wrong one) limit was 50 mrem (per entry). Inattention to details (on NDE personnel's part) and possibly making assumptions based on work performed at other sites appear to be the major factors in this incident.			
Activity Completed:	4/16/2004 4:29:37 PM - JENSEN, BILL: After receiving the dose alarm, the individual left U1 containment at the 26' elevation and reported to the RP station. The other two workers secured equipment and exited containment through the 66' elevation, also reporting to the RP station. RP management questioned the three individuals, initiated CAP 055366, and requested that NDE supervision and the Programs Engineering Supervisor, night shift, conduct a stand-down and brief all NDE technicians on the event, as well as conduct a Human Performance Event Investigation Tool synopsis of the event (iaw NP 1.1.10, "Human Performance Program"). RP management restricted RCA access for the three individuals pending completion of these actions. The Programs Engineering Supervisor, night shift, and the LMT NDE Shift Lead, night shift, conducted the stand-down brief at approximately 2300. The Programs Engineering Supervisor, night shift, then interviewed the three individuals using the guidance of the Human Performance Event Investigation Tool.Lastly, after a final meeting between the three individuals, their supervisor, the Programs Engineering Supervisor, and RP General Supervisor, Radiation Support, the three individuals were re-authorized for RCA work at approximately 0045, 06 APR 2004.			
Hot Buttons:	(None)			
E Section 4				
QA Supervisor:	(None) Licensing Supervisor: (None)			
ACE Event Description Grad	ie: 0 Ø ACE Extent of Condition Grade: 0			
ACE Corrective Actions Gra	de: 0 Ø ACE Apparent Cause Grade: 0			
E Attachments and Parent/Chi	ld Links			
Bubtask from CAP055366: PM)	Worker Received Electronic Dosimeter Dose Alarm by KREIL, JULIE (4/7/2004 12:41:56			
E Change History				
4/12/2004 5:08:29 AM by HAWK Last State Change Date Changeo Last State Changer Changed Fro	From ******* To *******			
4/16/2004 4:29:37 PM by JENSEN, BILL Last Modifier Changed From ******* To ******* Last State Change Date Changed From ******* To ******* Last State Changer Changed From ******* To ******* Apparent Cause Evaluation Changed From 'Event Description: Extent of Condition Assessment: Required Corrective Actions (Create actions if not complete): Apparent Cause Statement (Who, What, Why):' To '[]While working in the Unit one containment building during U1R28, a worker exceeded his electronic dosimeter dose alarm setpoint and received a dose alarm. The ED dose alarm level was set at 50 mrem and the worker received 51 mrem. Th[more diffs]' Activity Completed Changed From " To '[Appended:] After receiving the dose alarm, the individual left U1 containment at the 26' elevation and reported to the RP station. The other two workers secured equipment and exited containment through the 66' elevation, also reporting to the RP st[]' State Changed From ****** To ****** Owner Changed From ****** To *******				