

From: Gina Thompson
To: Tammy Croote
Date: 1/27/05 3:13PM
Subject: Re: FY05 proposed fee rule

This is to inform you that RES has reviewed the subject document and has no comments.

>>> Tammy Croote 01/21/05 02:27PM >>>

Attached is the FY05 proposed fee rule for office review and concurrence. To assist in your review, also attached is (1) a summary of the changes in the FY05 proposed rule with reference to the rule pages that discuss these changes, (2) a table showing all the annual fees in FY05 compared to FY04 and FY03. (If you don't have Lotus and would like a copy of this table, please let me know).

We are requesting concurrences from the following offices by COB Friday, January 28: NRR, NMSS, NSIR, RES, OIP, OSTP, ADM, OGC, and EDO. For program offices, please notify both Connie Schum and myself when you have concurred. I will be sending a hard copy of the rule to office directors and the EDO.

Besides some editorial changes, there are a few changes to note from the draft you previously reviewed:

1. Some General Licensee costs are now included in the 'surcharge' because they are associated with unregistered GLs (that don't pay fees). As such, GL registration fees were reduced to \$630 and other materials users licensees also experienced an annual fee decrease. The surcharge category for unlicensed/complex decom. sites has been expanded to include the costs of these unregistered GLs. Because surcharge costs are distributed to other licensees (mostly reactors), others fees will increase because of this.
2. For each fee class, there is a high-level explanation for the changes to the annual fees from FY04.
3. There is one additional licensee in the spent fuel/reactor decom. fee class (Foster Wheeler Env. Corp, as of 11/30/04).

Please let me know if you have any questions or comments. Thanks for you assistance in reviewing this.

Tammy

CC: Constance Schum