

ROB received  
3/9/05

**From:** Jean Hiatt <jhiatt3@cstone.net>  
**To:** <northanna\_ESP@nrc.gov>  
**Date:** Mon, Feb 28, 2005 6:10 PM  
**Subject:** Comments opposing proposed new nuclear reactors at North Anna

(653)

Dear Chief, Rules and Directives Branch,  
Division of Administrative Services  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission,  
Washington, D.C. 20555

I ask that you not grant an Early Site Permit at the North Anna Nuclear Power Plant. The two reactors that are already operating at North Anna withdraw 1.9 million gallons of water per minute and then return hot water back into the lake. This utilization of water already has a damaging effect on the lake, the residents of the lake, and the aquatic life in the lake. The proposed reactor units would mean a great amount of additional water withdrawn per minute. It appears that it would be an increase of 60% over current conditions. Increased heating of the water as well as increased contamination by chemicals and radioactive particles would further degrade the water conditions. There is no question that we will have periodic droughts in the future. The additional nuclear reactors will undoubtedly have serious adverse effects on the aquatic life in the lake as well as in the water downstream, the Lake Anna homeowners, and the people using the lake for recreation and fishing.

12/10/04  
69 FR 71854

There are many other very powerful reasons to deny the application for building additional nuclear reactors at North Anna. The most important and persuasive reason is that there are no safe means to store spent fuel since the waste products of nuclear reactors will pose a threat to our existence for hundreds of years. That danger is unimaginable!

Water is a necessity of life and it is not a renewable resource. How can you blithely plan to continue to cause damage to our water supplies and our environment? How can the N.R.C. prepare an Environmental Impact Statement that finds that the building of new reactors at North Anna would have no major adverse environmental effects? That is an unreasonable finding.

Please consider all the thoughtful presentations opposing the granting of this Early Site Permit given at the February 17th public meeting as well as all the letters you have received from citizens who object to this plan.

Additional nuclear reactors at North Anna are not in the public's interest.

Thank you for considering this letter.

Sincerely,  
Jean E. Hiatt  
1534 Rugby Avenue,  
Charlottesville, VA 22903

SISP Review Complete

Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03

Add J. Cushing (JXC9)

A. Williamson (ARW1)

**Mail Envelope Properties (4223A4E1.9A1 : 18 : 55713)**

**Subject:** Comments opposing proposed new nuclear reactors at North Anna  
**Creation Date:** Mon, Feb 28, 2005 6:15 PM  
**From:** Jean Hiatt <jhiatt3@cstone.net>

**Created By:** jhiatt3@cstone.net

**Recipients**

nrc.gov  
owf4\_po.OWFN\_DO  
NorthAnna\_ESP

**Post Office**

owf4\_po.OWFN\_DO

**Route**

nrc.gov

<b>Files</b>	<b>Size</b>	<b>Date &amp; Time</b>
MESSAGE	2459	Monday, February 28, 2005 6:15 PM
Mime.822	3398	

**Options**

**Expiration Date:** None  
**Priority:** Standard  
**Reply Requested:** No  
**Return Notification:** None

**Concealed Subject:** No  
**Security:** Standard