

Current Status of the Package Performance Study

Larry Camper, Director
Division of Waste Management
and Environmental Protection (DWMEP)
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
February 2005



PACKAGE PERFORMANCE GOAL

Demonstrate the inherent robustness of full scale spent nuclear fuel transportation casks by conducting confirmatory research using an enhanced public participatory approach



TEST PROTOCOLS REPORT- NUREG 1768

Comment Period: Feb - May 2003

Four Dominant Themes

- Full scale testing
 - to regulatory limits
- Conduct a realistic demo test based on realistic accident scenarios
- Test casks to failure
- Address Terrorism





PPS – CHALLENGES

- Design and perform a full-scale test or tests to demonstrate the robustness of current vintage spent nuclear fuel transportation casks
- Use realistic test conditions
- Recognize budget realities
 - Increased test realism
 - Increased program costs
- Improve public understanding of and confidence in cask certification process



COMMISSION DIRECTION – MAY 04

- Purchase and test a full-scale, NRC certified rail transportation cask
 - Realistically conservative test
 - Sufficient instrumentation to collect data for validating analytical methods, including scaling
 - Fully engulfing fire
- Submit a demonstration test plan, for approval
- Submit predictions of cask performance (6 months after test plan approval)
- Interact with the US Department of Energy (DOE) concerning potential funding for PPS and potential use of a truck cask for PPS



DEVELOPMENT

- Scenario Development
 - Cask transportation accidents are low probability events
 - 8 accidents involving transportation of casks, 1.6 M miles, no releases (2002)
 - 4 truck accidents, 4 train accidents
 - Over 1300 spent fuel shipments in NRC certified packages have taken place in the last 20 years
 - Department of Transportation (DOT) Volpe Center data (1988-1995)
 - Provides a relative ranking of rail accident scenarios
 - Highest conditional probabilities are train derailments resulting in impacts or collisions with soil, roadbeds, rock, structures, railcars, or locomotives



DEVELOPMENT

- Challenges
 - The ability to validate scaling methodology
- Uncertainties
 - Nonlinear nature of collision (i.e., yielding impact surface)
 - Analysis predictions (railcar behavior, cask tie-downs, friction)



COMMISSION DIRECTION

- Staff Conceptual Demo Test Plan
 - submitted July 2004
- Commission Direction Dec 2004
 - (SRM-SECY-04-0135)
 - Clarified demonstration test
 - orientation 90 Degrees across rail track
 - natural progression no fire testing



COMMISSION DIRECTION



Recommended

Explore 2004 Drop Tests (Germany

and US)









PPS - SCHEDULE

- Conceptual Test Plan for Commission Review – Spring 05
- After Commission Approval expect
 - Development of Detailed Test Plan
 - Test Facility Selection and Preparation
 - Cask Procurement
 - QA Oversight for Cask Fabrication



CONCLUDING REMARKS

- Cask certification process provides protection of public health and safety
- Commission has provided guidance to the staff on the direction of PPS
- NRC staff is developing a test plan
- NRC staff will keep Public informed of progress



NRC PPS Contacts:

Abdul Sheikh, Project Manager, RES (301) 415-6004

axs9@nrc.gov

Amy Snyder, Project Manager, SFPO (301) 415-8580 amss3@nrc.gov



QUESTIONS/DISCUSSION