Official Transcript of Proceedings NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Title: Brunswick Steam Electric Plant EIS

Public Meeting: Afternoon Session

Docket Number: (50-324 / 50-325)

Location: Southport, North Carolina

Date: Thursday, January 27, 2005

Work Order No.: NRC-202 Pages 1-39

NEAL R. GROSS AND CO., INC. Court Reporters and Transcribers 1323 Rhode Island Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 234-4433

1	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
2	+ + + +
3	BRUNSWICK STEAM ELECTRIC PLANT, UNITS 1 AND 2
4	LICENSE RENEWAL APPLICATION
5	ENVIRONMENTAL SCOPING PROCESS
6	+ + + +
7	PUBLIC MEETING AFTERNOON SESSION
8	+ + + +
9	THURSDAY,
10	JANUARY 27, 2005
11	+ + + +
12	SOUTHPORT, NORTH CAROLINA
13	+ + + +
14	The meeting was held at 1:30 p.m. at the
15	Southport City Hall, 201 E. Moore Street, Southport,
16	NC. Chip Cameron, Facilitator, presiding.
17	
18	PRESENT
19	CHIP CAMERON, FACILITATOR
20	ANDY KUGLER
21	RICH EMCH
22	
23	
24	
25	

A-G-E-N-D-A Page Welcome - Facilitator Cameron..... 3 Overview of license renewal process Andy Kugler..... 8 Overview of environmental review process Rich Emch..... 15 Formal comments Norman Holden..... 25 Paul D. Fisher..... 28 Mike Reaves..... 31 Karen Sphar..... 36

(1:30 p.m.)

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

FACILITATOR CAMERON: Good afternoon,
everyone. My name is Chip Cameron. I'm the
special counsel for public liaison at the
Nuclear Regulatory Commission which we'll refer
to throughout the meeting as the NRC.

I want to welcome all of you to the NRC's public meeting this afternoon. Our subject today is the environmental review that the NRC conducts to help it evaluate the license application that we received from Progress Energy to renew the licenses to operate the reactors at Brunswick Units 1 and 2.

It's my pleasure to serve as your facilitator today for today's meeting. And in that role, I'll try to help all of you to have a productive meeting.

I want to talk for a few minutes about meeting process issues before we get into the substance of today's discussion. I would like to talk a little bit about the format for today's meeting, very simple ground rules, and introduce the NRC speakers that are going to be talking to you today.

In terms of format, the meeting will be done in two parts. The first part of the meeting is to give you some background on what the NRC looks at when it evaluates a license renewal application such as the one that we received from Progress Energy.

And we'll have a couple of really brief
NRC presentations for you, and then we'll go on
to see if there's any questions about our
process.

The second part of the meeting gives us an opportunity to listen to you, any concerns, recommendations, advice on the license renewal process generally, but specifically on what types of information and alternatives should we look at in preparing the draft environmental impact statement.

We are taking written comments from the public on those issues, but we wanted to be here in person with you today. And I can assure you that anything that you say to us today is going to carry the same weight as any written comments that we receive.

In terms of ground rules, after the NRC presentations, if you have a question, just

signal me and I'll bring you the cordless microphone. And if you could introduce yourself to us and any affiliation that you have, if that's appropriate, and we'll try to answer your question.

I would ask that only one person speak at a time. That's usually not a problem, I don't think it will be today, but we do want to give our full attention to whomever has the floor at the moment.

And we're also taking a transcript of the meeting. We have Marcia Jackson with us who is our reporter today, and one person at a time will allow us to have a clean transcript for the meeting.

I would urge everybody, ask everybody to try to be as concise as possible in their questions so that everyone can have an opportunity to speak. Again, I don't think that that's a problem in terms of the number of speakers that we have. I would just generally ask you to be concise.

Usually for the comments section of the meeting, we ask people to follow a five-minute quideline because we find that usually five

minutes is enough for the major points and it accomplishes two things: One, it alerts us to 2 any issues that we should begin to evaluate, and it also alerts others in the audience to issues of concern in the community. And I would just thank everybody for being 6 here, for giving us your assistance to help us evaluate this license application. 8

The NRC staff will be here after the meeting to talk to you further about concerns, answer your questions. And they are also going to give you phone numbers and contact information.

So this is one public meeting and as you'll hear, we're going to be back. But in the meantime, we want to try to maintain continuity communication with you. So if you do have questions or concerns, please contact us.

And in terms of our speakers from the NRC, we're first of all going to give you a brief welcome and overview of license renewal generally by Mr. Andy Kugler, who is right down here in the front.

Andy is the chief of the environmental section within the license renewal and

1

3

4

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

environmental impact program at the NRC. Andy and his staff, they prepare all the environmental reviews for license renewal applications and other reactor licensing actions.

And Andy has been with the NRC for 14

years. Before that he was with a nuclear

utility in the South, he was in the Naval

submarine service. He has a Bachelor's in

mechanical engineering from Cooper Union in New

York and a Master's in technical management from

Johns Hopkins University.

And after Andy, we're going to go to one of Andy's staff, Mr. Richard Emch. And Rich is the project manager for the environmental review on the Brunswick license renewal application, and he oversees and supervises that effort. And he's going to tell you about the environmental review.

Rich has been with us almost 30 years at the NRC, and he's been involved in a number of areas, not just environmental reviews but also emergency planning and radiological protection.

He has a wealth of experience. His Bachelor's degree is from Louisiana Tech in

1 physics, and he has a Master's degree in health physics from Georgia Tech University. 2 3 And with that, I'm going to turn it over 4 to Andy to talk to us. 5 MR. KUGLER: Thank you, Chip. I want to thank you all for coming to our meeting today. 6 7 I hope that the information we provide to you about the review process is helpful to you, will 8 9 help you understand what we're doing and also 10 the role that you can play in helping us to make 11 sure that our environmental impact statement is an accurate document. 12 I would like to provide some general 13 context for license renewal to start off. 14 Atomic Energy Act authorizes the Nuclear 15 Regulatory Commission to regulate the commercial 16 use of nuclear materials in the United States. 17 It also authorizes us to issue operating 18 licenses to nuclear power plants for a period of 19 40 years. For Brunswick Units 1 and 2, those 20 21 licenses expire in the years 2016 and 2014, 22 respectively. 23

Our regulations also allow us to renew those licenses for a period of up to 20 years, and Progress Energy Carolina has applied for

24

license renewal for both of these units.

As part of our review of the license renewal application, we will develop an environmental impact statement. We will review the environmental impacts of operating these two units for an additional 20 years.

The purpose of this meeting is to give you an opportunity to learn about the process and also to provide us with input on what should be within the scope of our review, particularly if there are any special issues related to this area or these plants that you feel we need to include when we develop the environmental impact statement.

At the conclusion of our presentation, we'll be happy to answer any questions you may have on the process and also to take your comments on what should be within our scope.

As Mr. Cameron mentioned, we have several members of the NRC staff and our contractors here today. Before I get into a discussion of the license renewal -- I'm sorry.

Before we get into a discussion of license renewal in particular, I would like to take a moment to talk about the NRC in terms of its

mission and what we do.

As I mentioned, the Atomic Energy Act is the legislation that authorizes us to regulate the commercial use of nuclear material in this country. In carrying out that authority, the NRC's mission is three-fold: We protect human health and safety, we protect the environment and we provide for the common defense and security.

We accomplish our mission through a combination of programs, including assessing, licensing performance, inspections, enforcement actions and evaluating operating experience from the nuclear power plants around this country.

Turning to license renewal in particular, the process that we go through during license renewal is similar to the process we use when we initially license the plants in that there are two parts to it. There's a safety review and an environmental review.

Now, the safety review has some subparts to it. It includes a safety evaluation, plant inspections and an independent review by the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards. And the environmental review is done separately from

the safety review.

This slide gives an overview of the entire license renewal process. The upper portion of this slide shows the safety review and the lower portion is the environmental review.

The safety review involves the staff's review of the safety information that was included in the application we received from Progress Energy. There's a team of about 30 NRC staff and contractors who are performing that review.

We also have a project manager for the safety review and he's here with us today. I would like to introduce him, he's Mr. S. K. Mitra, right over here. He's leading the folks who are looking at the safety side of things.

The safety review focuses on how Progress
Energy is going to manage aging for certain
selected components, systems and equipment.

Some of the programs for managing aging are
already in place, and others will be put in
place before license renewal.

The safety review process also involves audits and inspections on-site. The inspections are conducted by teams put together from both

12 headquarters personnel and staff from our 1 2 Region 2 office. 3 We do have representatives from our 4 inspection program here, and I'd like to briefly 5 introduce them. We have Paul Fredrickson, he is the branch chief from Region 2 in Atlanta. 6 And we also have Gene DiPaolo, he's the senior 7 resident inspector here at Brunswick. 8 I do want to mention, when I talk about 9 resident inspectors, a lot of people are not 10 11 aware we have people assigned to the sites who work there every day. We don't just regulate 12 from afar. 13 So Gene and his resident inspector, Joe 14 15 Austin, observe, license the activities on a day-to-day basis, perform inspections and 16 17 generally ensure that the plant is operating within our regulations. 18 19

Now, the results of the inspections that will be performed for license renewal will be documented in separate inspection reports, and they will provide input to the safety evaluation report that we are preparing.

After we prepare the safety evaluation report, we're going to provide it to the

20

21

22

23

24

Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards for their independent review. The advisory committee is a group of nationally recognized technical experts in nuclear safety who serve as a consulting body to the Commission.

They will review the application. They will review our safety evaluation report and reach independent conclusions and recommendations, and they will provide them directly to the Commission.

As I mentioned, the second part of the review process involves the environmental review, and that's really what the focus of this meeting is here today.

We're here today to receive your comments on the scope and we'll consider any comments we receive today in this meeting and also any written comments that we receive for the duration of the comment period which runs until March 11th of this year. And then in September we expect to issue the draft environmental impact statement for comment.

But as you can see from the slide, there are a number of inputs that are required to be given to the Commission in order for them to

reach their decision on whether or not to renew a license.

They need to receive the safety evaluation report, input from the inspections, our environmental impact statement and the results of the independent review by the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards.

I would also like to mention, the splash marks on the slide indicate places for public involvement. On the lower track, on the environmental review there are two such opportunities. The first of them is scoping which is what we're doing here today. And the comment period, as I mentioned, runs into March.

The second opportunity is going to come after we issue the draft environmental impact statement. We issue it for comment, and again there will be an extensive comment period.

And we'll come back here, hold another meeting or pair of meetings to allow you an opportunity to ask us questions, to discuss the results of our review, and to give us any comments you may have.

In addition to those two opportunities, there is an opportunity to request a hearing on

	15
1	this action. And that opportunity for hearing,
2	the opportunity to request a hearing runs
3	through February 4th. We can provide more
4	information on that if you have an interest in
5	that.
6	And finally, when the Advisory Committee
7	on Reactor Safeguards holds their meetings on
8	the safety evaluation report, those meetings are
9	open to the public. I do want to mention,
10	though, in general those meetings are held at
11	our headquarters in Rockville, Maryland.
12	And that concludes my comments on the
13	general process. Chip, do you want to go to
14	questions now?
15	FACILITATOR CAMERON: Why don't we go to
16	Rich.
17	MR. KUGLER: We'll go to Rich to talk
18	about the environmental process.
19	MR. EMCH: Hello, my name is Rich Emch.
20	I'm the environmental project manager for the
21	Nuclear Regulatory Commission for the Brunswick
22	license renewal review.
23	Andy has already described the process to
24	you in some degree. I'm going to start off with

a discussion of the National Environmental

Policy Act, NEPA for short.

The NEPA Act was 1969. Basically it lays out guidelines for the conduct of the environmental reviews of the development of environmental impact statements.

It requires us to use a systematic approach. It basically requires us to go out and examine, look for impacts, and to involve the public and to disclose what impacts we find and to make judgments about those impacts, and if necessary to look into mitigating features for those impacts.

The regulations require an environmental impact statement be developed for any major federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment.

The NRC did not draw a conclusion that that's what this is, but the NRC has made the decision that we will develop an environmental impact statement for license renewal, and that's what we're here for.

The Commission, we've already developed a generic environmental impact statement. And what we will do is, we will develop a plant specific supplement to that generic

environmental impact statement specifically for Brunswick.

And we will look at any issues, we look to see if there's any new and significant information about relatively minor issues, and we'll also do a plant specific evaluation of some of the more significant issues.

As part of that process, we'll be looking at alternatives to the license renewal. And one of the alternatives that we'll examine is what we call the no-action alternative which basically would be a rejection of the application by the licensee. And we'll evaluate the possible environmental impacts of those alternatives, including the no-action alternative.

The purpose of this whole review is to be able to deal with that decision standard that's on the board back there. This was written by lawyers, as you can see.

It's to determine whether or not the adverse environmental impacts of license renewal for the Brunswick Steam Electric Plant are so great that preserving the option of license renewal for energy planning decision makers would

be unreasonable.

My version of that is what we're looking to see is if there's any difficulty with the environmental impact of operating for an additional 20 years.

This is a little more detail of the environmental part of the review. Andy earlier showed you the overall review; this is the environmental review that I'm in charge of.

The application from Carolina Power & Light was received in October 2004. We sent out a notice of intent to conduct scoping. In the parlance of NEPA, what we're doing here is scoping.

We are establishing what issues need to be evaluated as part of the environmental review, and we're looking for what information is available that needs to be included in that review. So we're conducting scoping.

So the notice of intent was a notice that told you we were going to conduct scoping and we were going to hold this public meeting which is a public scoping meeting. We're now here at that meeting.

For the last couple of days, the

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 environmental team made up of people from the Nuclear Regulatory Commission and from two 2 national laboratories, Pacific Northwest 3 National Laboratory and Argonne National 4 Laboratory, were at the site and were going 5 around the environs. 6 7 Some of you may have had an opportunity to talk to some of our reviewers. I think a few of 8 9 you were visited by our socioeconomic reviewer. And then of course an important part of 10 11 that site audit is today to offer the opportunity up to you folks. 12 I like to refer to you folks as my 13 14 personal local environmental impact experts. You folks live here, you work here. You're much 15 more familiar with what's going on in the 16 environment here than we are. 17 So I need your help, and that's why we're 18 holding this meeting. I need your help to talk 19 to me about what issues we need to consider, 20 21 what information we might not be aware of that we should consider in our deliberations. 22 that's my personal plea to you, to be my local 23 24 experts.

As we get further along into the review,

we will have requests for additional information related to the review. And after we put all the information together, we'll publish a draft environmental impact statement, the draft supplemental impact statement, in September of this year.

When you registered downstairs, there was a sign-up sheet and there was yellow and blue cards. The yellow cards were for those of you who were planning to make a presentation today. The blue card -- if you're interested in receiving directly in the mail a copy of our environmental impact statement, put your name and address on one of those blue cards, we'll see to it that you're sent one directly.

Then we'll be back here once we publish that draft statement, we'll take comments from the public much as we are doing now. We'll be back in the Southport area for another public meeting, probably in the October time frame.

We'll gather all the comments, go back and finalize the document and publish the final document in approximately April of 2006.

We gather information from a wide range of places. One of them is the audit that we

21 1 conduct of records and of information that the 2 licensee has and the site audit which we've been 3 working on this week. Our investigators go out and talk to state 4 5 and local government authorities and federal authorities such as Fish and Wildlife Service, 6 7 NOAA Fisheries, the State Historic Preservation Officer; a wide range of people. 8 As a matter of fact, a couple of members 9 of our team are still out in the field today 10 11 talking about socioeconomic issues and historic They are looking for some cemeteries 12 issues. near the site. 13 There are permitting authorities. 14 15 instance, the State of North Carolina is responsible for issuing something called the 16 NPDES, the National Pollutant Discharge 17 Elimination System permit. So we talk to those 18 19

kinds of authorities.

We talk with local groups such as the Chamber of Commerce, local United Way campaign, anybody who can help us become more in touch with the socioeconomic issues of the plant in the area.

And then, of course, the last one is the

20

21

22

23

24

public comments which is what we're here for today, this afternoon. Again, as I said, I'm enlisting you, I'm deputizing you as my local environmental impact experts.

We look at a wide range of issues. We have experts with us from the team from the Pacific Northwest National Laboratory. The team leader and the assistant team leader, Mary Ann Parkhurst and Beverly Miller from Pacific Northwest National Laboratory are here with us today.

Their team includes experts in atmospheric science, radiation protection, aquatic ecology, terrestrial ecology, air quality, land use, hydrology, archeology and historic cultural resources. A very wide range, as you can see.

Hydrology, environmental socioeconomics and something that some of you may not have ever heard of called environmental justice which is an examination of whether there's any disproportionate impact on minorities and low income populations. So we have a wide range of issues that we look at.

The scoping period we're in ends on

March 11th. I'll talk a little later on how one

can make comments other than making a presentation here today. Then of course we'll issue the draft statement in September and we'll be back again, probably in October, for another meeting like this. And then we'll issue the final in April of 2006.

Points of contact. This is information about where you can either find out more information by talking to me or where you can find the document when we do publish it. And where you can also see the application, the environmental report application that was sent in by the licensee.

My name is Richard Emch. That's my phone number up there. If you wanted to -- if you're the kind of person who likes to hold the document and read it, one way of doing that is to go to the Randall Library up at the University of North Carolina in Wilmington. I was just up there a few days ago.

If you go to the reference desk and ask them for it, they will bring it to you. You can also view it on-line at this web site, and that's another place where you can see it.

In order to provide comments, the obvious

way if you're here today is to make a presentation, to give us your comments today. Another way is to send them by mail to the address up here. Another way is, if you just happen to be in the Rockville, Maryland area, you can deliver them in person.

And probably one of the simpler ways is to send them by e-mail to the BrunswickEIS web site. That's a web site I have access to that I check daily to look for comments or anything else that people want to tell me if they don't feel like calling.

I want to thank everybody for coming out today. I appreciate your time and effort. I want to remind you that you've now been officially deputized as my local environmental impact experts, and I look forward to seeing what you folks have to say.

FACILITATOR CAMERON: Thank you, Rich.

Before we go out to see if there's any
questions, will we also be able to put a hard
copy in the local library down the street for
people?

MR. EMCH: I had a discussion with the librarian there. And they have a computer

1 system that we've tested, and you can easily access the document on their computer system. 2 But they are a little cramped for shelf space, 3 and they made the decision in discussions with 4 5 us that they would rather not keep the hard 6 copy. FACILITATOR CAMERON: But we have been 7 8 in --9 MR. EMCH: We offered, yes. FACILITATOR CAMERON: Okay, thank you. 10 11 You've heard about the license renewal process generally and the environmental review 12 part of that specifically. Are there any 13 14 questions on this that we can answer for you? Okay. Let's go to the second part of the 15 meeting where we get an opportunity to listen to 16 you. And certainly, if there are any questions 17 that occur to you afterwards, we can address 18 them or we can do that after the meeting. 19 Our first speaker is Mayor Norman Holden 20 21 from the City of Southport. And I just want to 22 thank the mayor and his staff and the city from all of us for allowing us to use this facility. 23 24 Thank you, Mayor. MR. HOLDEN: You're going to have to bear 25

26 1 with me, my voice is going. Rich, if I'm one of your personal impact individuals, when do I get 2 3 my check? Actually, I wear three hats. Number one, 4 I work for the governor. If you notice 5 downstairs, you'll see the governor's 6

Southeastern office. I maintain his office. Number two, I'm liaison for the North Carolina Department of Transportation. Number three, as a hobby I'm the mayor of Southport. starting my 20th year.

I'm a native of the City of Southport, along with Colonel Fisher here, one of our board of aldermen. I have been in Southport -- I'm not going to tell you how many years, but right over next door there was a house that has been That's where I was born. moved.

When Mr. Fisher and I were running around here as kids, where the nuclear plant is located there was a dairy farm. And once the plant was decided to be built, it was a great thing for this part of North Carolina, Southeastern North Carolina and Southport.

I came here because of the nuclear plant. And I'll be more than happy to tell you

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 afterwards why I came here because I told Mike I wouldn't get into that. 2 3 But I was here during the time when you received a lot of bad press. But in the last 10 4 5 to 15 years, due to excellent management individuals, starting basically with 6 7 Mr. Cavanaugh, seems like things have improved and it has improved greatly. 8 Many times I've been out there on tours. 9 I get information weekly, quarterly from Mike, 10 11 who works for Progress Energy. But the plant means so much to the City of Southport, and we 12 really need to see it relicensed. 13 14 Not only to Southport, Southeastern North Carolina, but for the whole state of North 15 Carolina because all of you are aware of the 16 17 economy. At one time when I was growing up and 18 Mr. Fisher, we had a choice of either being a 19 fisherman or either going into the military or 20 21 going to college if you could afford it. A lot of us couldn't; I couldn't. 22 I went into the military and got my degree after I got out. 23

And then they built the Army ammunition

But when the nuclear plant came to

depot.

24

Southport, things really began to prosper. 1 Ιf you look around, you can see what's happened to 2 the City of Southport. And this is the whole 3 4 coast of North Carolina. 5 But ladies and gentlemen, you are the ones that make the decision. I'm up here, and I 6 7 would get on my knees if I could get back up, to beg for you to please relicense the Brunswick 8 9 nuclear plant. Thank you. 10 FACILITATOR CAMERON: Thank you very much, 11 Mayor. Next we're going to go to a gentleman who 12 was already mentioned, Mr. Paul Fisher, 13 14 Alderman, City of Southport. MR. FISHER: Thank you. If you're not 15 familiar with alderman, that's the same thing as 16 being a city councilman. That's what that is. 17 As I said, I'm an alderman on the City of 18 Southport and my remarks are going to be from 19 the city for the first part, and I have some 20 21 personal remarks later. I'm also a commissioner on the North 22 Carolina Eastern Municipal Power Agency, which 23 I'll go into more of that later. I'm also the 24

city representative on homeland security

throughout this county and for the city.

I work closely with people at Progress

Energy and more particularly the Brunswick plant

from the vice president, which I meet with him

occasionally, and on down to different sections

within the plant.

And what we do up there is we discuss topics such as our primary one topic, safety. We always talk about that first. And then operations. And I think if you look at the operations of the Brunswick plant, you'll find why we talk about operations. It's always something nice to talk about because it's always way up here. They are the world leaders and that's documented.

And of course, in homeland security we talk, Alan and I, who is the security officer out there, we talk almost weekly because I go to church with him every Sunday.

And another big topic which Mike and I talk about is community relations. And we have a great relationship with Progress Energy and the Brunswick plant here for community relations.

I normally go to four or five formal type

conferences with Progress Energy each year. One of them we go up and all people from the Progress Energy come down and give us a briefing, what's going on, where we're going, what the future is, what the cost will be.

And in the City of Southport we're very comfortable with the nuclear plant out here, and we're proud of their operating record and safety record. It's a definite asset to the community. We have an outstanding relationship, in my opinion, with the plant out there and Progress Energy.

I'll get back to the power agency, North
Carolina Eastern Municipal Power Agency. That's
32 cities; Southport is one of the members of
the power agency. The power agency owns a
percentage of five plants; three nuclear and two
coal fired plants.

In fact, the Brunswick facility we own
18.33 percent of the plants out here. We own
16.17 percent of the Harris plant. And the two
coal fired plants are Roxboro and Mayo.

In conclusion for my city remarks, I strongly recommend that you renew the license for the Brunswick plant. By doing that, I think

1 you'll go into a win-win situation. And that concludes my comments for the city. 2 3 My personal comments are very quick and there are only two of them. I firmly believe 4 5 that the future generation of electricity should be geared towards nuclear plants. 6 7 Second, I am completely comfortable with 8 the safety of how we store spent fuel. However, 9 I urge the federal government to get along with the YUCCA mountain project. That concludes my 10 11 remarks. FACILITATOR CAMERON: Thank you very much, 12 Mr. Fisher, for those personal remarks and the 13 14 remarks from the city and the regional group. Next we're going to go to Mr. Mike Reaves, 15 Brunswick Community College. 16 Thank you. I am Mike Reaves, 17 MR. REAVES: president of Brunswick Community College, and 18 I'm here today to support the Brunswick nuclear 19 plant and their application for license renewal. 20 First of all, they have been and continue 21 22 to be a good corporate partner with the college. We work with them. Actually, their joint 23 24 information center is located on our campus. dedicate space there and also give them the use 25

from time to time for meetings of our 1500 seat auditorium on our campus.

We also in the past have had a wonderful relationship with them in providing education, both there on the site as well as having students from there coming on our campus.

We have a preengineering program to prepare students that's totally transferable to North Carolina State University in nuclear engineering. So we have been able to help students along the way that seek not only a Bachelor's degree, but a Master's degree as well.

I have personally visited the plant on several occasions and have confidence in the personnel that work there. And some of the employees are my neighbors and friends, some attend the same church that I do, and we belong to some of the same community organizations.

I view the Brunswick nuclear plant as a clean and safe industry, one that is sensitive to the environment. They do an excellent job of keeping the public informed about drills and other safety issues.

And they are a part of our community,

donating employee time and resources to improve 1 2 our quality of life. 3 I strongly encourage you to support their 4 application. Thank you. 5 FACILITATOR CAMERON: Thank you, Mr. Reaves. 6 7 Next we are going to hear from Connie Majure-Rhett from the Greater Wilmington Chamber 8 9 Of Commerce. Hi, I'm Connie 10 MS. MAJURE-RHETT: 11 Majure-Rhett, president of the Greater Wilmington Chamber of Commerce. 12 NRC officials, I want to welcome you to 13 14 Southport and Southeastern North Carolina. I know I speak for the region's business leaders 15 and community when I say you ought to come back 16 when you have a little more time to spend some 17 of your personal money and have a great vacation 18 in this area. We have a beautiful coast. 19 up the river a little bit, but this is one of 20 21 the premier spots in North Carolina. On behalf of the 1,650 companies that are 22 23 members of the Greater Wilmington Chamber of 24 Commerce, I would like to voice my very strong

support for the processes, products and people

of Progress Energy's Brunswick Nuclear Plant. 2 Of course, you know the good job Progress Energy has done here. The plant is a safe, well-run, efficient facility. The easiest benefit to talk about for our 6

community is the energy generated here, but it's not just energy. It's the cost effective production that helps lower the cost of electricity for the 1.3 million Progress Energy customers, both private citizens and corporate customers.

This plant has a huge impact on our local \$901 million in 2003, 14 percent of our region's economic output. Economies don't start and stop at county lines, but if you go a few miles up the river to New Hanover County where my office is, the impact is still huge.

Eight percent of the value of the total county economic output, 1 percent of our county employment and 2.8 percent of county property tax collections, all resulting from this plant and it's not in New Hanover County.

Then there are the contributions this company makes that are harder to quantify but equally valuable to this region.

1

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Without a doubt, Progress Energy is among the best corporate citizens I have ever had the pleasure of working with. There are, of course, the financial contributions, both individual and corporate, to worthy community activities.

But as important as that is the human capital invested in our region by employees of Progress Energy. From volunteers repairing homes for the elderly to mentors to at-risk children to teams walking to raise monies for various diseases, the employees participate in and give back to this community. Our community organizations and non-profits are better because of the work of Progress Energy employees.

Progress Energy employees serve in leadership roles in many different vital community activities. From my perspective, I can personally attest to their leadership in economic development initiatives that help grow our economy.

These initiatives run the gamut from
helping attract new industry to our region to
serving on boards and task forces working to get
community projects built or environments
protected to trying to affect change to improve

1 the quality of life of our citizens. 2 community is better because of these corporate and individual efforts. 3 4 Some may say I am biased because I know 5 and work with so many of their employees and consider many friends. But my bias is based on 6 7 the good work and citizenship of Progress Energy, both the employees and the corporation. 8 Without a doubt, this facility and this 9 10 company is an impressive one. Relicensing 11 should be an obvious outcome of your work. 12 Thank you. FACILITATOR CAMERON: Thank you very much, 13 14 Connie. 15 Next we'll go to Karen Sphar from the Southport Oak Island Chamber of Commerce. 16 17 MS. SPHAR: Connie, if you want a job down in Southport, you can come any time. 18 My name is Karen Sphar. I'm the executive 19 vice president of the Southport Oak Island 20 21 Chamber of Commerce. And thank you for the opportunity to speak favorably about the license 22 23 renewal application for Progress Energy's 24 Brunswick plant. The Southport Oak Island Area Chamber of 25

Commerce represents over 550 businesses, predominantly in the Southport Oak Island area of Brunswick County. We have enjoyed a positive relationship with the plant over the years.

And the plant has an overwhelming economic impact on the economy of our area. Connie has already given you some of these figures, but in a recent study by the University of North Carolina Wilmington's Cameron School of Business, it's estimated the plant's economic impact on the four county region, including Brunswick, New Hanover, Pender and Columbus counties in 2003 was \$901 million. In Brunswick County alone, the impact was over \$490 million.

Not only has the plant been good for the economy, the employees of the plant are active in our community. They are volunteers in our schools, members and leaders in our clubs and organizations and large contributors to our charitable groups.

Environmentally, the plant has contributed to the ongoing study of marine life in our area, and they take great pride in the protection of that marine life.

From 2000 to 2003, Brunswick County's

1	population grew an estimated 11.6 percent.
2	Around 30 percent of the population is over 60
3	years of age and 20 percent is under 18. This
4	leaves 50 percent of our population in the work
5	force, looking for jobs. This plant provides
6	stable and excellent paying jobs to that
7	workforce.
8	We are grateful to have the plant and
9	Progress Energy as part of our community. We
10	encourage the NRC to look favorably on this
11	license renewal.
12	FACILITATOR CAMERON: Thank you, Karen.
13	That's the last speaker that we had signed
14	up. Are there any questions, any other
15	questions that we can answer, any other
16	information that we can provide?
17	Okay. Well, thank you very much for
18	coming out today. And we are going to be here
19	tonight at 7:00 o'clock and have an open house
20	at 6:00 o'clock to hear from anybody else or any
21	of you who want to join us again.
22	But thank you, and I'm going it ask Andy
23	Kugler to close the meeting out for us.
24	MR. KUGLER: Thank you, Chip. I just want
25	to thank you all again for coming to the meeting

1 today. We appreciate any input we can get from 2 you to help us in developing the environmental 3 impact statement. 4 One thing I'd like to mention, in the 5 packet of information you got when you registered, there's a meeting feedback form. 6 7 We're always looking for ways to do better and to serve you better when we hold these meetings. 8 If you have any ideas, please write them down on 9 You can either do that now and drop 10 that form. 11 it off on the way out, or it's prepaid postage 12 so you can mail it back. We appreciate that. Again, as Rich mentioned, the comment 13 period runs to March 11th. So if you think of 14 15 anything after the meeting, you still have a lot of time to prepare any comments and submit them. 16 17 And we'll stay here after the meeting. you have any questions or just want to talk 18 about some aspect of what we're doing, we'll be 19 happy to talk to you about it. Thank you. 20 21 (Proceedings adjourned: 2:15 p.m.) 22 23 24 25