

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION		Conversation Date: March 4, 2005	
TELEPHONE CONVERSATION RECORD		Time: 1:30pm	
Mail Control or Report No. 135047	License No. 08-01738-02	Docket No. 03001317	
Licensee/Applicant Participant(s): Mr. Burton	Organization: Walter Reed Army Medical center	Telephone No. 202-356-0058	
Person(s) Calling: Jim Dwyer			
Subject: License Renewal - additional information required			
<p>Summary:</p> <p>The licensee submitted an entire new renewal package dated January 10, 2005. I called LTC Mercier and discovered he is on leave until Tuesday, March 8. I spoke with " Mr. Burton" who is the senior civilian employee in the RSO's office. In the interest of time, I discussed the deficiencies with Mr. Burton, asked him to relay the information to LTC Mercier, and told him to have LTC Mercier call me after he had a chance to consider the issues. Specifically... (1) I told the licensee we could not locate information on the Tracerlab Model RA-2A or the 3M Model 606C-CA sources that would allow us to include them on the license. Mr. Burton said they would try to locate and provide the information. (2) In several places they request sealed sources by manufacturer and model number and then add "or similar sources." I told Mr. Burton we had no mechanism for including this language on the license and would only list those sealed sources that were identified and approved. (3) I told Mr. Burton we needed the manufacturer and model number of the Pu-239 and Am-241 sealed or plated sources. (4) With regards to Items 5, 7, and 9 of the submission, I told Mr. Burton that we could not permit the RSO to give interim approvals to new users and uses, be they medical or non-medical. I told him we had programs that used subcommittees to make interim approvals between RSC meetings and other programs that used electronic mail to get RSC approval between meetings. Mr. Burton stated they used RSC subcommittees to advise the RSO. I told Mr. Burton this might be acceptable and asked that they describe the process in their response. I also told Mr. Burton that we would be able to give the RSO authority to make changes to existing users/uses. (5) In Item 6 of the submission, I told Mr. Burton we only approved of training provided by the vender or provided by individuals who received their training from the vender. We did not approve of training provided by individuals who were trained by non venders.</p>			
Action Required/Taken: Await response.			
Prepared By: Jim Dwyer		Date: 3/4/05	