

*release*

From: *RRF* Ronald Frahm  
 To: Johnson, Michael; Tracy, Glenn *NSIR*  
 Date: Fri, Nov 30, 2001 1:41 PM  
 Subject: Re: NEED YOUR AGREEMENT ON ROP FRAMEWORK

FYI. I spoke with Carl Konzman yesterday re when we plan to repost the ROP stuff to the external web. The current goal is December 17th to roll out the ROP and other NRR web pages. Carl is planning to set up a meeting on December 11(?) to hash out the details as to exactly what will be posted on December 17. However, I will be out of the office from December 3 through December 17.

**If we plan on making a change to the ROP web page(s) as proposed below, we need to give Conchita See the go ahead ASAP so that she can modify the pages to meet our needs.**

Our proposed solution has been posted to the internal ROP web page based on our understanding of the preferred approach. The inspection findings greater than green will be shown as gray with a note stating "info not available" (these words can be changed, if desired). This would be true on both the inspection findings summary (IFS) page ([http://nrr10.nrc.gov/NRR/OVERSIGHT/ASSESS/pim\\_summary.html](http://nrr10.nrc.gov/NRR/OVERSIGHT/ASSESS/pim_summary.html)) and the individual plant performance summary page. Oyster Creek has the only greater than green inspection finding within the physical protection cornerstone currently on the ROP web page ([http://nrr10.nrc.gov/NRR/OVERSIGHT/ASSESS/OC/oc\\_chart.html](http://nrr10.nrc.gov/NRR/OVERSIGHT/ASSESS/OC/oc_chart.html)). Note that the words in the gray box in 2Q/2001 are being changed to match the IFS words, "info not available". When you click on the link in either of these boxes, they go to the PIM page with a standard set of words. As you'll see on the PIM page for Oyster Creek, my proposed words are . . .

"In the wake of the September 11 attacks and the increasingly cautious climate in the United States regarding national security, the NRC has decided to remove all inspection finding details regarding the physical protection cornerstone from the ROP Web pages.

The details are documented in an NRC inspection report and are considered to be safeguards information. All corrective/ compensatory actions to address the deficiencies were completed prior to the inspection team leaving the site."

In addition, green physical protection findings will continue to show up as green, and the PIM page for that particular site would include the PIM entry, but the link to the inspection report will be removed. Please take the time to review our proposal, and work from that to develop consensus on the best method/words. I understand that if we miss the mid-December date, we could roll out the ROP pages in the mid-January timeframe. I'll be returning to the office on December 18. Thanks. - - Ron

✓>>> Michael Johnson 11/27/01 12:46PM >>> *RRF*  
 Know you're busy. Wanted to run by you, for your agreement, my plans regarding the external web ROP pages.

Would like to get the entire ROP back up asap. With respect to the safeguards cornerstone would include the following:

(1) Safeguards PIs would be displayed as they were previously. The Security equipment performance index simply provides a historical perspective on the licensee's ability to maintain equipment (compensatory actions are taken when the equipment becomes unavailable, therefore no safeguards vulnerability exists). The other indicators (PSP performance and FFD/PRP performance) count the number of reportable failures by the licensee to properly implement the regulatory requirements. While these give a historical perspective regarding the effectiveness of the licensee's programmatic efforts to implement 10 CFR 73.56 & 57 and 10 CFR Part 26, the specific deficiencies were recognized, reported, and corrected by the licensee.

(2) The green inspection findings would remain on the web. Would disable the link to the inspection reports as a conservative precaution for pre-9/11 findings (as an alternative to screening each IR using

*B-5*

post 9/11 sensitivities to ensure they are ok). Future inspection reports would contain the links. These issues are of very low significance.

(3) Inspection findings that are greater than green would be shown only as grey. Although the difference in color could be seen as an indicator that a problem existed, compensatory action to address the deficiency would have been taken. The PIM would contain a note that indicates that details are documented in an inspection report and are considered to be safeguards information and that corrective/compensatory actions were completed prior to the inspection team leaving the site (or whatever). Obviously no link would be provided to the inspection report.

Can you support this approach?

**CC:** Boger, Bruce; Coe, Doug; Dean, William; Madison, Alan; Reckley, William; Satorius, Mark; See, Conchita