February 25, 2004

Note to: Tom Stetka, Chief Examiner

Operations Branch

From: Anthony Gody, Chief Operations Branch Division of Reactor Safety

androg

ORIGINAL

SUBJECT: EXAMINATION ASSIGNMENT

You have been assigned as Chief of the River Bend examination. The operating test has been scheduled to be completed by September 24, 2004. Please contact the River Bend facility to finalize the details of the examination by March 28, 2004. You are reminded that the RPS/IP system must be maintained to ensure that the examiners and numbers of candidates are accurate. Please contact me if you need assistance with RPS/IP.

Assignment Tickler: Tom Stetka, RB IN EX

Replaces NUREG-1021, Revision 8, Supplement 1 ES Forms 201-1 and 501-1

Due Date	Chief	Facilitv/	Description	Date	Notes
	5	Task		Complete	
3/28/04	TFS	RB IN EX	Exam/Inspection Schedule Agreement (C.1.a;C.2.a&b)	2/19/04	
3/28/04	TFS	RB IN EX	NRC Staff & Fac. Contact Assigned (C.1.c;C.2.e)	2/19/04	
3/28/04	TFS	RB IN EX	Facility contact briefed on security & other issues (C.2.c)	3/23/04	
3/28/04	TFS	RB IN EX	Corp. Notification Letter Sent (C.2.d) (Exams only)	3/25/04	
8/10/04	TFS	RB IN EX	Inspection Announcement Letter Sent (PIR & LORT if req'd)	N/A	
6/26/04	TFS	RB IN EX	Task Expectations, Issues, & Standards Discussed w/ BC	40/32/E	
5/27/04	TFS	RB IN EX	[Reference Material Due (C.1.d;C.3.c)	5/24/04	
5/27/04	TFS	RB IN EX	Integrated Exam Outlines Due (C.1.d&eC.3.d)	5/24/14	
6/10/04	TFS	RB IN EX	Outlines reviewed by NRC & Feedback Sent (c.2.h;C.3.e)	6/8/04	
8/25/04	TFS	RB IN EX	Preliminary Applications Due (C.1.j;C.2.g;ES202)	8/23/04	
7/26/04	TFS	RB IN EX	Draft Exams w/ Doc./Ref. Due (C.1.d/e/f;C.3.d)	1/26/04	
8/5/04	TFS	RB IN EX	Peer Reviewer Initials As Reviewed All Parts*	Stalet when	
8/5/04	TFS	RB IN EX	NRC Supervisor. Initials Approving for Fac. Rev. (C.2.h;C.3.f)*	Johopela	(AT)
8/5/04	TFS	RB IN EX	Exams Reviewed w/ Fac. (C.1.h;C.2.f&hC.3.g)	8/27/04	
9/10/04	TFS	RB IN EX	Final Appl. Due & Assign. Sheet Prepared (C.1.j;C.2.h;ES202)	40/1./k	
9/17/04	TFS	RB IN EX	NRC Supervisor Approved Final Exams (C.2.i;C.3.h)*	9/15/00	$(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{C}})$
9/3/04	TFS	RB IN EX	Director debrief (PIR Only)	N/A	
9/17/04	TFS	RB IN EX	Final Appl. Rec'd & Waivers Sent (C.2.g)	q/it/oct	
9/17/04	TFS	RB IN EX	Proctor Rules Reviewed w/ Fac. & Written Authorized (C.3.k)	9/15/04	

Assignment Tickler: Tom Stetka, RB IN EX

Replaces NUREG-1021, Revision 8, Supplement 1 ES Forms 201-1 and 501-1

9/17/04	TFS	RB IN EX	Exam/Insp Material to Team (C.3.i)	NA	whole cramteum attended pash week
10/6/04	TFS	RB IN EX	Fac. graded exam & Comments Rec'd	9/29/04	
9/24/04	TFS	RB IN EX	Administer Exam/Conduct Inspection	9/17-23/04	
10/9/04	TFS	RB IN EX	NRC Written Grading Completed	9/30/04	
10/9/04	TFS	RB IN EX	Examiners Finished Grading Op. Tests	9/30/04	
10/19/04	TFS	RB IN EX	NRC Ch. Ex. Review Completed	9/30/24	
10/20/04	TFS	RB IN EX	NRC BC Review Completed*	a/30 /04	
10/25/04	TFS	RB IN EX	RPS/IP # Examinees Updated Before Report Issued	10/25/04	
10/25/04	TFS	RB IN EX	License/Denials Signed & Report Issued	9/30/04	
11/15/04	TFS	RB IN EX	Package Closed Out	2/17/05	
12/23/04	TFS	RB IN EX	Chief QA of ADAMS	2/17/05	

Examination Outline Quality Checklist

Facility:	RIVER BEND STATION Date of Examination: 9/.	20/04		
			Initial	s
Item	Task Description	a	b*	c#
1.	a. Verify that the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model per ES-401.	K	(QY)	tel.
W R I	b. Assess whether the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with Section D.1 of ES-401 and whether all K/A categories are appropriately sampled.	<u>k</u>	Ø	VAR 4
T T	c. Assess whether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.	(K	<u>ON</u>	ir
E N	d. Assess whether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate.	K	ÛÐ	K
2. S	a. Using Form ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of normal evolutions, instrument and component failures, and major transients.	Ŵ	,000	724
I M	b. Assess whether there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number and mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity; ensure each applicant can be tested using at least one new or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s)*, and scenarios will not be repeated on subsequent days.	p	0117	Tal .
	c. To the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conform(s) with the qualitative and quantitative criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D.	P	af	411
3. W / T	 a. Verify that: (1) the outline(s) contain(s) the required number of control room and in-plant tasks, (2) no more than 30% of the test material is repeated from the last NRC examination, (3)* no tasks are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s), and (4) no more than 80% of any operating test is taken directly from the licensee's exam banks. 	Q	D)	179
	 b. Verify that: (1) the tasks are distributed among the safety function groupings as specified in ES-301, (2) one task is conducted in a low-power or shutdown condition, (3) 4 - 6 (2 - 3 for SRO-U) of the tasks require the applicant to implement an alternate path procedure, (3) one in-plant task tests the applicant's response to an emergency or abnormal condition, and the safety function of the in-plant walk-through requires the applicant to enter the RCA. 	P	M	ABS.
	c. Verify that the required administrative topics are covered.	Ø	M	A-S
	d. Determine if there are enough different outlines to test the projected number and mix of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on subsequent days.	Ø	M	al a
4. G	a. Assess whether plant-specific priorities (including PRA and IPE insights) are covered in the appropriate exam section.	R	M	THAN S
E N	b. Assess whether the 10 CFR 55.41/43 and 55.45 sampling is appropriate.	R	M	VF8
E R	c. Ensure the K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.	K	M	18
A L	d. Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections.	A.	ÔØ	118
	e. Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.	R	ØØ	110
	f. Assess whether the exam fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO).	R	M	TAN
	Printed Name / Signature		Date	- I
a. Author b. Facilit	y Reviewer(*) Cary A Huston (Han Must	<u>5</u>	12.10	* - 104
	Chief Examiner (#) Thomas F. Stetka / Thomas & Stutko		126	04
	Supervisor RANE. LANTZ/ TEL FON ATG	0 8 0	126/2	xu xu
NOTE:	* Not applicable for NRC-developed examinations. # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c;" chief examiner concurrence required.	7	/ • /	7

NUREG-1021, Draft Revision 9

Written Examination Quality Checklist

Form ES-401-6

Facility:	RIVER BEND STATION	Date of Exam: 9/	20/2004	Exam	Level:	RO/SR	0
	Item Descripti	on			a	Initial b*	s c#
1.	Questions and answers technically accurate and a	applicable to facil	ity.		P	ÔØ	NA-
2.	a. NRC K/As referenced for all questionsb. Facility learning objectives referenced as available	lable			A	010	H
3.	SRO questions are appropriate per Section D.2.d	of ES-401	1		R	(M)	IK
4.	Question selection and duplication from the last t with a systematic sampling process	wo NRC licensin	g exams ap	pears consistent			17
5.	Question duplication from the license screening/a (check the item that applies) and appears appropri- the audit exam was systematically and random the audit exam was completed before the licen- the exams were developed independently; or the licensee certifies that there is no duplication other (explain)	riate: nly developed; or nse exam was star		indicated below	P	avo	H
6.	Bank use meets limits (no more than 75 percent	Bank	Modifi	ed New	1		
	from the bank at least 10 percent new, and the re- modified); enter the actual RO / SRO-only question distribution(s) at right	st 13/3	9/8	53/14	P	or	14
7.	Between 50 and 60 percent of the questions on the RO exam are written at the		ry	C/A			
	comprehension/analysis level; the SRO exam ma exceed 60 percent if the randomly selected K/As support the higher cognitive levels; enter the actual RO / SRO question distribution(s) at right	y 36/8	3	39/17	PP	100	H
8.	References/handouts provided do not give away	answers		-	Â	ad	R
9.	Question content conforms with specific K/A sta examination outline and is appropriate for the Tig justified				R	ar	H
10.	Question psychometric quality and format meet I	ES, Appendix B,	guidelines		AP	M	A
11.	The exam contains the required number of one-p correct and agrees with value on cover sheet	oint, multiple cho	oice items; t	he total is	R	M	FA
c. NRC	Printed Name / S	II / Jan II / Jan iel Themes iny an	y Just # Ste Yody	the second	9/ 9 9 9	Dat 13/0 13/0 14/04 15/04	° 4 4

Facility:	RIVER BEND STATION Date of Exam: 9/20/2004			
	1. GENERAL CRITERIA		Initial	5
		a A	b*	c#
a.	The operating test conforms with the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with sampling requirements (e.g., 10 CFR 55.45, operational importance, safety function distribution).	R	M	₹Ţ
b.	There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination.	R	D	H
с.	The operating test shall not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s)(see Section D.1.a).	<u>R</u>	\mathcal{P}	Ħ
d.	Overlap with the written examination and between different parts of the operating test is within acceptable limits.	R	M	4
e.	It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants at the designated license level.	R	M	K
	2. WALK-THROUGH CRITERIA			
a.	Each JPM includes the following, as applicable:			
	 initial conditions initiating cues references and tools, including associated procedures reasonable and validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if deemed to be time critical by the facility licensee specific performance criteria that include: detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature system response and other examiner cues statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant criteria for successful completion of the task identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards restrictions on the sequence of steps, if applicable 	AP.	M	Ŧ
b.	Repetition from operating tests used during the previous licensing examination is within acceptable limits (30% for the walk-through) and do not compromise test integrity.	R	ar	H
с.	At least 20 percent of the JPMs on each test are new or significantly modified.	W/	(MY	K
	3. SIMULATOR CRITERIA			
a.	The associated simulator operating tests (scenario sets) have been reviewed in accordance with Form ES- 301-4 and a copy is attached.	R	any	R
a. Author b. Facility	Printed Name / Signature Reviewer(*) Cary H Hurton I How Th	<u>ד</u> ן ז <u>ר</u>	Date 26 26/0	104- 04-
c. NRC C	hief Examiner (#) Thomas F. Stetka / Thomas & Stutts	8	26 0	
d. NRC S	upervisor Reachartz/Tomp	0/ g	2409	l 1
NOTE:	 * The facility signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests. # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c;" chief examiner concurrence required. 			

Simulator Scenario Quality Checklist

Form ES-301-4

	QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES			Initial	
	QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES		a	b*	
	The initial conditions are realistic, in that some equipment and/or inst but it does not cue the operators into expected events.	trumentation may be out of service,	R	00	
	The scenarios consist mostly of related events.		N	00	,
	 Each event description consists of the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated the malfunction(s) that are entered to initiate the event the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew the expected operator actions (by shift position) the event termination point (if applicable) 		R	09	
_	No more than one non-mechanistic failure (e.g., pipe break) is incorp credible preceding incident such as a seismic event.	orated into the scenario without a	R	60	
	The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics.		<u>N</u>	ag-	
	Sequencing and timing of events is reasonable, and allows the examinevaluation results commensurate with the scenario objectives.	nation team to obtain complete	R	âŋ	-
	If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clear sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue time co		NA	NA	1
	The simulator modeling is not altered.	·····	p	ar	,
	The scenarios have been validated. Pursuant to 10 CFR 55.46(d), and deficiencies have been evaluated to ensure that functional fidelity is a scenarios.		Ø	010	r
_	Every operator will be evaluated using at least one new or significant scenarios have been altered in accordance with Section D.5 of ES-30		P	00	
	All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified us along with the simulator scenarios).	ing Form ES-301-6 (submit the form	R	000	-
	Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios).	r of transients and events specified on	R	00	ł
	The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for	or each crew position.	a p	m	
	TARGET QUANTITATIVE ATTRIBUTES (PER SCENARIO; SEE SECTION D.5.d)	1 2 3 [BU] Actual Attributes			10000000000000000000000000000000000000
	Total malfunctions (5-8)	7 / 7 / 8	R	D?	•
	Malfunctions after EOP entry (1-2)	1 / 2 / 2	D	100	
	Abnormal events (2-4)	2 / 2 / 2	P	000	
	Major transients (1-2)	1 / 1 / 1	R	OW	
	EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1-2)	2 / 2 / 2	P)	(00)	
	EOP contingencies requiring substantive actions (0-2)	1 / 1 / 0	N2	(OY	
	Critical tasks (2-3)	3 / 2 / 2	N T	(NY)	1

Transient and Event Checklist

OPERATING TEST for RIVER BEND STATION, 9/20/04

Applicant	Evolution	Minimum			S	cenario	Numbe	er		
Туре	Туре	Number		1		2	3 (1	BU)		
			RO	BOP	RO	BOP	RO	BOP	RO	BOP
	Reactivity	1*	1		5		4			
	Normal	1*				1		1		
RO	Instrument / Component	4*	2, 3, 4, 5	2, 4, 7	3, 5	2, 4, 7	2, 4	3, 7		
	Major	1	6	6	6	6	5,6	5,6		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								<u> </u>
	Reactivity	1*	1		4		5			
	Normal	0								
As RO	Instrument / Component	2*	2, 3, 4, 5		3, 5		2,4		 	
	Major	1	6		6		5, 6			
SRO-I										
	Reactivity	0	1		5		4			
	Normal	1*			1		1			
As SRO	Instrument / Component	2*	2, 3, 4, 5, 7		2, 3, 4, 5, 7		2, 3, 4, 7			
	Major	1	6		6		5,6			
						r <u> </u>	r			
	Reactivity	0	1	<u> </u>	5		4			ļ
	Normal	1*			1		1			
SRO-U	Instrument / Component	2*	2, 3, 4, 5, 7		$ \begin{array}{c} 2, 3, \\ 4, 5, \\ 7 \end{array} $		2, 3, 4, 7			
	Major	1	6		6		5,6			

Instructions:

- (1) Enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each evolution type.
- (2) Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or *controlled* abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.5.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D. *Reactivity and normal evolutions may be replaced with additional instrument or component malfunctions on a one-for-one basis.
- (3) Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward the minimum requirement.

Author:

NRC Reviewer:

HAIRE

Competencies Checklist

Form ES-301-6

Facility: RIVER BEND STATION

Date of Exam: 9/20/2004

	11	·U or S as SRO			or SR as RO	O-I		BOP	
Competencies	SC	CENAR	0	SC	CENAR	Ю	SC	CENAR	IO
	1	2	BU	1	2	BU	1	2	BU
Interpret / Diagnose Events and Conditions	2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7	2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8	2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7	2, 3, 4, 5, 6	2, 4, 6, 7	3, 4, 5, 6	2, 4, 6, 7	3, 6, 8	2, 5, 6, 7
Comply With and Use Procedures (1)	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6	2, 4, 5, 6, 7	3, 4, 5, 6	2, 4, 6, 7	1, 3, 6, 8	1, 2, 5, 7
Operate Control Boards (2)				1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6	2, 4, 5, 6, 7	3, 4, 5, 6	2, 4, 6, 7	1, 3, 6, 8	1, 2, 5, 7
Communicate and Interact	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6	2, 4, 5, 6, 7	2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7	2, 4, 5, 6, 7	1, 3, 6, 8	1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7
Demonstrate Supervisory Ability (3)	$ \begin{array}{c} 1, 2, \\ 3, 4, \\ 5, 6, \\ 7 \end{array} $	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8	$ \begin{array}{c} 1, 2, \\ 3, 4, \\ 5, 6, \\ 7 \end{array} $						
Comply With and Use Tech. Specs. (3)	2, 3	2, 3	2, 3						

Notes:

- (1) Includes Technical Specification compliance for an RO.
- (2) Optional for an SRO-U
- (3) Only applicable to SROs.

Instructions:

Circle the applicant's license type and enter one or more event numbers that will allow the examiners to evaluate every applicable competency for every applicant.

Author: NRC Reviewer:

NUREG-1021, Draft Revision 9

Written Examination Grading Quality Checklist

Facility: RIVER BEND STATION Date of Exam: 9/17/2004 Exam	n Level	: RO	
		Initials	
Item Description	a	b	с
1. Clean answer sheets copied before grading.	f	μd	#
2. Answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented	A	he	H
3. Applicants' scores checked for addition errors (reviewers spot check >25% of examinations)	Ŵ	Law	17
4. Grading for all borderline cases (80 +/- 2% overall and 70 +/- 4% on the SRO-only) reviewed in detail	R	Lew	H
5. All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified	R	NW	H
6. Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by half or more of the applicants	Q	pen	K
a. Author b. Facility Reviewer (*) c. NRC Chief Examiner (*) d. NRC Supervisor (*) Printed Name / Signature Recel L. PERSons / Orthological MIKE WAQUEN MIKE WAQUEN F. Stettle A.T. GORY OT Hod	-) -	Da 9/3 0/2 2/17 2/17	0 /04 0 /04
(*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations graded by the independent NRC reviews are required.	NRC; t	wo	

Written Examination Grading Quality Checklist

Facility: RIVER BEND STATION Date of Exam: 9/17/2004 Exam	n Level:	SRO	
		Initials	
Item Description	a	b	с
1. Clean answer sheets copied before grading.		Mŵ	#
2. Answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented	R	MW	H
3. Applicants' scores checked for addition errors (reviewers spot check >25% of examinations)	R	NŴ	H
4. Grading for all borderline cases (80 +/- 2% overall and 70 +/- 4% on the SRO-only) reviewed in detail	A	WŴ	H
5. All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified	R	NW	H
6. Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by half or more of the applicants	R	NW	X
a. Author b. Facility Reviewer (*) c. NRC Chief Examiner (*) d. NRC Supervisor (*) Printed Name / Signature Rec. L. Percons (*) MIKE WAGDEN MIKE WAGDEN F. Stetkel Thimes # Stitles A.T. Gory (*)	2	Da 9/3 9/2 2/11 2/11	te 0 04 105 105
(*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations graded by the independent NRC reviews are required.	e NRC; t	wo	

Page 1 of 4 10/25/2004 09:32:50 Report 21

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule From 09/01/2004 To 09/30/2005

Region: 4 Phase Code: 5 Operational

Exam Week	Exam Week Stite/Docket No /Inso Bot #	# Candi	didates	Tvpe	Exam Author	Exam Author Chief Examiner	Examiners Assigned
09/06/2004	39/06/2004 River Bend / 05000458 / 2004301	-		Prep		STETKA, THOMAS F.	HAIRE, MARK S.
	TAC #: X02267						SANCHEZ, ALFRED
							STETKA, THOMAS F.
09/20/2004	River Bend / 05000458 / 2004301	RO - 4	SROI - 1	Admin	FFF	STETKA, THOMAS F.	HAIRE, MARK S.
	TAC #: X02267	SROU - 4					SANCHEZ, ALFRED
							STETKA, THOMAS F.

 The Framination I. The Framination I. The Framination I. The Framination I. The Framination I. The Framination I. The Framination I. The Framination I. The Framination I. The Framination I. The Framination I. The Framination I. The Framination I. The exacting of provide I. The exacting of the provide I. Dot Examination I. Dot Examination	Examination Security Agreement Form ES-201
Wedge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC September 20 – 24, 2004 as of the date of my signature. I agree titons to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC cance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administrent of the number of more tered back to those applicants scheduled to be administrent to the math instruction of the examination and/or an enforcement actination and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's process to the math instruct, evaluation of the examination and/or an enforcement actination and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's process of more tered these licensing examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluation of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluation of examinations, except as specifically noted by the NENTED NAME Firmination Framination PRINTED NAME JOB TITLE/RESPONSIBILITY PRINTE Stop Potenter P	
and requirements Simulation Examination Simulation Examination Simulation est of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persections est of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persection est of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persection est of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persection PRINTED NAME PRINTED NAME PRINTED NAME Interest Size standard PRINTED NAME Interest Size standard PRINTED NAME Interest Size standard Intenerst Size s	censing examinations scheduled for September 17, 2004 an at I will not knowingly divulge any information about these f examiner I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or
and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's proc the in cancellation of the examination and/or an enforcement action Examination set of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persa- set of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persa- set of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persa- set of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persa- tered September 17, 2004 and during the week of September completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, eva- reach these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted b PRINTED NAME PRINTED NAME PRINTED NAME PRINTED NAME Exam Description, I did not instruct, eva- tered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted b PRINTED NAME Exam Description, I did not instruct, eva- tered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted b PRINTED NAME Exam Description, I did not instruct, eva- PRINTED NAME Exam Description, I did not instruct, eva- PRINTED NAME Exam Description, I did not instruct, eva- Exam Description, I did not instruct. Exam Description I did not instruct. Exam Des	e licensing examinations from this date until completion of
Examination Examination st of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized pers ered September 17, 2004 and during the week of September completion of examinations, except as specifically noted b PRINTED NAME PRINTED N	against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to
st of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized perso tered September 17, 2004 and during the week of September completion of examinations, except as specifically noted b PRINTED NAME PRINTED NAME	ехаплиацоп ѕесилцу лиду паус осел сонцргонльса.
st of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized pers dered September 17, 2004 and during the week of September completion of examinations, except as specifically noted b PRINTED NAME PRINTED NAME P	
EDNAME ID NAME ID TITLE RESPONSIBILITY FERENCE FROM DELEMENT Relation Supervised Sim Superit Supervised Sim Superit Supervised Sim Superit Supervised Sim Superit Supervised Sim Superit Supervised Sim Superit Supervised Sim Superit Relating Sim Superit Re	as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.
The space and the space of	SIGNATUPE(1) DATE // SIGNATUPE() DATE
BERGE ROJ BERGE ROJ BERGE ROJ BERGE ROJ BERGE ROJ BERGER LUGINES HULER J.M.LERN	2/2/ 3/31/04 Day Of 21/0
BERGERON Bria Barnes Warraubur J. Milean J. Milean	3/31/04 12 11/19/10 10/10
USERTACIONES Training Sept USENFLUERE L'EAM BEVELO DAMAUDIU J. Ballmer Simulater Sing J. Melean NCO Varian	Bergen 3/31/24 Chrone Burgen 3/22
Weinstructur Exam Beverio parraubuy Training Su 4 Huston Agaily Review WY AUEY VAUDATOS 4 Guine BarHAM VAUDATOS 4 Guine BarHAM VAUDATOS 2. Melean NCO Varion	Pares 3/3 /04 Darling Bar
Training Lacity Cavier VAUDATOC VAUDATOC	terte the total
r Simulator Sup Lacilty Raviev VAUDATOC VAUDATOC	posilon pomosing my polally momente
	Lacking 5-10-41 pplas 1 for 9-27-4
> >	104 Clary UNWAL
	Bendler 717/04 Auffertaller 10-200
	1 - 20 - 203 - 1 - 203 -
	NUREG-1021, Draft Revision 9

ES-201	Exar	Examination Security Agreement	t.		Form ES-201-3
1. Pre-Examination					
I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for September 17, 2004 and the week of September 20 – 24, 2004 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these	specialized knowledge abo as of the date of my signat	ut the NRC licensing examine. I agree that I will not kno	ations sche wingly divu	duled for September	17, 2004 and the out these
examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of	ave not been authorized by icants scheduled to be adm	the NRC chief examiner. I u inistered these licensing exam	nderstand the	at I am not to instruct, e m this date until compl	evaluate, or provident
examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC. Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this acreement	as specifically noted belov mented in the facility lice	/ and authorized by the NRC. nsee's procedures) and unders	Furthermor tand that vio	e, I am aware of the ph lation of the conditions	ysical security of this agreemen
may result in cancellation of the examination and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediate management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised	umination and/or an enforc niner any indications or su	an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility ons or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.	e facility lice urity may ha	ensee. I will immediate ave been compromised	ly report to facilit
2. <u>Post-Examination</u>			н н	د مریک مریک میں ایک مریک مریک مریک	
To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examination administered September 17, 2004 and during the week of September 20 – 24, 2004. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.	not divulge to any unauthc and during the week of S administration, I did not ii lations, except as specifica	v unauthorized persons any information concerning the week of September 20 – 24, 2004. From the date the did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance fease specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC	concerning om the date t erformance f d by the NR(the NRC licensing exa hat I entered into this s feedback to those applic C.	t mination ecurity agreement ants who were
PRINTED NAME JOB	JOB TITLE/RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	
1. Timothy CManry V.	Validator / NCO	Timothy C Manry	7002/80/LO	I.	~~
Sitrein R Gainton	Pereze par Site RP	a a	1-14-2	And I want	- 10-17-09 9.8%.04
Thomas Nay	Eustruter/ C	Man My Milling K	4-7-04	Army Mayle	1-18-04
6. Rick moventy 11	Valledation / ces	1 march	9- 9- 1- 4	l'ma Di	
Joey A. CLARK	-		9/20/200 Y	in 1 contract	9/28/01
8. John Fralick Si	Sim Instructor	Sec.	9/20/24	Sund	9/28/04
9. 5 pm Berever 01	OPS MANALER	5.12	60/12/5	Sull.	14/14
10. Mile (1) 10. S.	Suprimer Or The	Myle Magne	<u>apalog</u>	1 million	6/38/01

NUREG-1021, Draft Revision 9